

Response of slow-growing chickens to feed restriction and effects on growth performance, blood constituents and immune markers

Productividad, constituyentes sanguíneos y marcadores inmunológicos en pollos de lento crecimiento con restricción alimenticia

Youssef A. Attia^{a*}, Abd-Elhamid E. Abd-Elhamid^b, Manal Mustafa^c, Mohammed A. Al-Harathi^a, Mai Muhammad^b

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to study the response of a slow growing chickens breed "Sinai" to feed restriction (FR) and the effects on growth performance, blood constituents and immune markers using a total number of 60 unsexed 7-d-old chicks. Chickens were housed in battery brooders during d 1 to 35 of age and randomly distributed keeping similar initial body weight, in two FR treatment groups. During 0-6 d of age, chickens were fed *ad libitum*, a mash commercial diet. During d 7-14 of age, chickens were fed either 100 or 80 % of the daily amount of feed consumed by the control group during the previous day. From d 15 to d 35, chickens were fed *ad libitum* diets a mash commercial diet. At the end of the experiment, body weight gain on the FR regimen was significantly lower than that of the control group, but feed intake and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were not affected. In addition, red blood cells parameters and white blood cells traits were not negatively affected. However, hemagglutination inhibition titter for New castle disease virus, monocyte and total cholesterol were significantly decreased by FR regimen, but blood plasma albumin and immunoglobulin A significantly increased. In conclusion, slow growing chickens could tolerate 27.2 % FR during the 2nd week of age without significant differences in feed intake and FCR for the whole period, and general health status and metabolic profiles indicating a compensatory growth during 15-35 d of age although growth was in favour to the unrestricted group.

KEY WORDS: Feed restriction, Slow-growing chickens, Growth performance, Physiological traits, Immunity.

RESUMEN

El comportamiento productivo, constituyentes sanguíneos y marcadores inmunológicos se estudiaron en pollos de la raza SINAI de lento crecimiento bajo un régimen de restricción de alimento (RA). Se utilizaron 60 pollitos de peso similar desde la edad de 1 día hasta los 35 días. Se distribuyeron al azar en dos tratamientos de RA. De 0-6 días de edad, los animales se alimentaron con una mezcla comercial *ad libitum*. De 7 a 14 días de edad, se alimentaron con la misma dieta ya fuera al 100 o al 80 % de consumo de alimento diario. De 15 a 35 días de edad, todas las réplicas se alimentaron con la misma dieta *ad libitum*. Después de los 35 días, la ganancia de peso en el régimen RA fue significativamente más baja, a pesar de que la ingesta de alimento y la tasa de conversión alimenticia (TCA) no se vieron afectados. El título de inhibición de la hemaglutinación por el virus de la enfermedad de Newcastle, los monocitos y el colesterol total fueron significativamente más bajos en el tratamiento RA. Los valores de albúmina del plasma sanguíneo y de la inmunoglobulina A fueron más altos en el tratamiento RA. Los pollos de crecimiento lento toleraron una reducción del alimento del 27.2% durante la segunda semana de edad sin exhibir diferencia significativa en la ingesta del alimento y la TCA durante el tiempo de experimentación. A pesar de que el comportamiento productivo fue óptimo para el grupo control, el estatus general de salud y los perfiles metabólicos en el tratamiento de RA no se vieron afectados.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Restricción alimenticia, Pollos, Crecimiento lento, Comportamiento productivo, Características fisiológicas, Inmunidad.

Received May 4, 2016. Accepted August 26, 2016.

^a Arid Land Agriculture Department, Faculty of Meteorology, Environment and Arid Land Agriculture, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80208, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

^b Animal and Poultry Production Dpt. Faculty of Agriculture. Damanhour University, Egypt.

^c Plant Protection Dpt. Faculty of Agriculture. Damanhour University, Egypt.

Corresponding author: yaattia@kau.edu.sa

INTRODUCTION

In the tropics, where grains and oilseeds are scarce or expensive, it may be appropriate to take advantage of catch-up growth and even incorporate it into normal production systems. Animals may respond differently to feed restriction (FR) during re-alimentation period when FR is removed, thus resulted in compensatory growth and reached the growth of unrestricted animals⁽¹⁾.

Extensive studies in poultry, particularly in broiler chickens, demonstrated inconsistency in the compensatory growth due to genetic factors, FR period, duration and verity of FR, the feeding regimen during the re-alimentation period^(2,3,4). In literature, FR was reported to improve feed utilization, decrease fat deposition and decrease leg problems in broiler chickens⁽⁵⁾. However, it seems that there is a critical time in broilers from hatch to 21 d of age, when nutritional manipulation can cause a catch-up growth. Fed-restricted broilers displayed similar growth at market as the FR for 4- and 6-d and the control group showed similar post-restriction growth and market weights⁽⁶⁾. The FR for 6 h of feeding mash diet increased growth⁽⁷⁾. The catch-up growth of slow-growing/native chicks to cope with early FR are interesting as shortage of feeds is a problem in many developing countries. On the other hand, studies on slow-growing chicks rare, however, they may have higher adoption to FR because of lower growth and less nutrient requirements than fast-growing chicks. Therefore, this research was conducted to expand the knowledge of catch-up growth in slow-growing chicks in terms of productive performance, physiological traits, and immunity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Chickens, diet and experimental design

A total of 60 unsexed chicks of native chickens' breeds (Sinai) were used in this study. Chicks were randomly distributed into two feed restriction treatment groups keeping similar body weight at 7 d of age. Each treatment was replicated five times of six unsexed chicks each. During 0-6 d of age, chickens were fed *ad libitum* commercial diets

containing adequate nutrients for growth, 22.92 % crude protein (CP) and 12.72 MJ ME/kg diet with adequate amino acids, minerals and vitamins. During d 7-14 of age, chicks were fed either 100 or 80 % of the daily amount of feed consumed by the control group during the previous day. From d 15 to 35, chickens were fed *ad libitum* diets containing 21.1 % CP and 12.98 MJ ME/kg until the end of the experiment.

Husbandry

Chicks were housed in battery brooders during d 1 to 35 of age and vaccinated with Hitchiner + IB + Gambaro, Influenza H5 N2, Colon30, Gambaro 123, Colon79 and Lasota at d 7, 9, 10, 16, 20 and 30 of age. During the experiment, the ambient temperature and the relative humidity were 31.4 ± 4 °C and 44.9 ± 6 %, respectively; with 24 h light in day one, and 23:1 light-dark cycle during d 2 to 35 of age.

Measurements

Chickens were weighed (g) on replicate basis at d 7, 14, 28, and 35 of age. Chicks were weighed in the morning before offering feed, while body weight gains were predicated by deducting body weight at end of each period from the initial body weight at the same period per replicate. Feed intake per replicate was recorded during the periods 7-14, 7-28 and 7-35 d of age. Feed conversion ratio (kg feed/kg gain) per replicate was calculated as the units (g) of feeds needed to yield one unit (g) of growth during the 7-14, 7-28 and 7-35 d of age. Survival rate was presented as number of lived chickens at the termination of the experiment in each group as relative to the initial number of chicks in each treatment.

At 35 d of age, six chickens comprising three males and three females representing all treatment replicates were selected randomly from each treatment, weighed after overnight fasting, slaughtered according to the Islamic method, bleed, and feather picked. The lymphoid organs thymus, bursa of Fabricius and spleen, were separated and individually weighed and expressed as relative to live body weight. At 14 and 35 d of age, blood samples (n= 6 chickens per treatment representing all

treatment replicates at each age, which were selected randomly) were taken from the wing-vein of chickens before access to feed and water. The blood samples were collected in two clean dry centrifuge tubes with or without an anti-coagulant (heparin). The one with heparin was used for determination of blood hematology, phagocytic activity (PA) and phagocytic index (PI). Then the tubes were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 20 min to clearly separate serum and plasma. Serum and plasma were stored at -20 °C until analysis. Blood biochemical constituents were determined using commercial diagnostic kits purchased from Diamond Diagnostic company (23 EL-Montazah St. Heliopolis, Cairo, Egypt), while blood hematology were performed as cited by Attia *et al*^(8,9). Plasma tri iodo threonine (T₃) and thyroxine (T₄) were determined according to the method of Young *et al*⁽¹⁰⁾. Phagocytic activity and phagocytic index were determined as cited by Kawahara *et al*⁽¹¹⁾. The serum antibody against New Castle disease virus (NDV) and avian influenza virus (AIV) was done via haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test^(12,13). The antibody titer for infectious bursa disease virus (IBDV) was carried out according to Cosgrove⁽¹⁴⁾. Bactericidal activity (BacA) in serum was according to Rainger *et al*⁽¹⁵⁾.

Statistical analyses

Analysis of variance was done using one-way ANOVA of SAS®⁽¹⁶⁾ for growth performance and two-ways for traits containing two factors such as feed restriction and sex or age of chickens. All the

percentages were transformed to log¹⁰ to normalize data distribution. Mean difference at $P \leq 0.05$ was tested using the Student Newman Keuls test⁽¹⁶⁾. Survival rate was analyzed using chi-square test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth performance

Table 1 indicates the effect of FR on growth, feed intake and FCR and survival rate. FR significantly decreased growth during d 7-14, 7-28 and 7-35 of age. It was found that 27.2 % FR during the 2nd wk of age had long term negative effect on growth of Sanai chickens (for example, 31.6, 14.6 and 11.7 % during 7-14, 7-28 and 7-35 d of age, respectively). These indicated a compensatory growth during 14-28 and 14-35 d of age without induction of complete recovery at the termination of the experiment (88.3 %). The decreased growth of FR group during the FR period coincided with lowered nutrients intake (72.8 %), which account for 86 % of the decrease in growth. Similar to the present results, growth of broilers was significantly higher for the control group than those of the FR groups^(7,17,18). However, a compensatory growth in broilers following FR was reported^(1,5,7). Moreover, broilers feed restricted grow faster and were heavier at market age than the control group, while group on the 4- and 6-d FR and the control chickens have similar weight at marketing⁽⁶⁾. The published results indicated that most weight loss during early FR in chickens could be compensated by 20-25 d of the

Table 1. Effect of feed restriction regimen on growth performance of native strain during 7-35 d of age (g)

Treatment	Body weight gain			Feed intake			Feed conversion ratio, feed / gain			Survival rate (%)
	Days 7-14	Days 7-28	Days 7-35	Days 7-14	Days 7-28	Days 7-35	Days 7-14	Days 7-28	Days 7-35	
Effect of feed restriction regimen ¹										
Control	38 ^a	205 ^a	230 ^a	92 ^a	550	701	2.421	2.683 ^b	3.047	90.0
Feed restriction	26 ^b	175 ^b	203 ^b	67 ^b	562	716	2.577	3.211 ^a	3.527	87.7
SEM	2.04	9.90	8.69	6.85	20.32	37.70	0.123	0.109	0.148	2.13
Probability level										
FR	0.001	0.05	0.041	0.046	0.615	0.743	0.40	0.01	0.061	0.667

¹=Number of observation were 5 replicates of 6 unsexed chicks each/treatment; SEM= standard error of mean, FR= Feed restriction.

^{a, b} Differences among means within a column within each factor not sharing similar superscripts are significant ($P < 0.05$).

re-feeding period⁽¹⁹⁾. Moreover, FR at 75 % or 50 % for 7 and 14 d period, respectively did not significantly influence the final body weight of broilers at 42 d of age⁽²⁰⁾. These contradictory results about the effect of FR on the growth could be due to the duration and intensity of FR and/or strain of chickens.

Feed intake of FR group was decreased during 7-14 d of age and there was a significant difference ($P=0.046$) compared to the control. The re-alimented chickens consumed more feed by 6.6 % during d 14-35 of age; this indicates an attempt to compensate for the decrease (27.2 %) in feed intake that occurred during FR period. Similarly, feed intake at 21 d of age was significantly decreased for feed-restricted chicks⁽²⁾. In addition, the feed-restricted chicks and the control groups at 63 d of age consumed similar amount of feed. In addition, FR did not affect feed intake for the whole period⁽²¹⁾.

Feed conversion was not affected by FR treatments during the period 7-14 and 7-35 of age, but significantly impaired by 19.7 % compared to the control group, during d 7-28 of age. In concert with the current results, there was no significant effect of FR regimen on the body weight, feed

intake, and FCR in the whole period^(21,22). However, an improvement in FCR due to increased growth and decreased feed intake via FR was observed⁽⁷⁾. Others, observed that feed efficiency for maintaining body weight may be affected by the plan of nutrition, but this was confounded by nutritional regimen and duration, growth, strain and age of chickens⁽²³⁾.

Survival rate was similar among the experimental groups, showing that FR had no harmful effect and correlate to the findings of other experiments^(1,5,20). However, published results indicated that FR increased survival rate due to less sudden death, ascites and skeletal disorders^(24,25).

Lymphoid organs, antioxidant status and immune markers

FR regimen did not have significant influence on lymphoid organs (Table 2), total antioxidant capacity (TAC), malondialdehyde (MDA), Immunoglobulin M (IgM), Immunoglobulin G (IgG), HIIBD, HIAI, Lysosome activity (LyZA), BacA, PA and PI, but immunoglobulin A (IgA) significantly increased by 3.9 % compared to the control (Table 3). On the other hand, humeral immunity HINDV decreased by 24.6 % and non-specific immune components such

Table 2. Effect of feed restriction regimen and/or sex of chickens on the absolute and relative weight of thymus, bursa and spleen at 35 d of age

Treatment		Thymus (g)	Thymus (%)	Bursa (g)	Bursa (%)	Spleen (g)	Spleen (%)
Effect of feed restriction regimen ¹							
Control (C)		1.833	0.621	0.875	0.291	1.113	0.378
Feed restriction (FR)		1.728	0.583	1.000	0.297	1.210	0.397
Effect of sex of chickens ²							
Male		1.827	0.574	1.153	0.349	1.293	0.406
Female		1.716	0.640	0.545	0.197	0.978	0.361
Interaction between FR regimen and sex ³							
C	Male	1.807	0.580	1.240	0.401	1.100	0.355
	Female	1.860	0.661	0.510	0.180	1.127	0.401
FR	Male	1.842	0.570	1.088	0.311	1.438	0.444
	Female	1.500	0.609	0.650	0.245	0.755	0.302
SEM		0.324	0.092	0.467	0.108	0.236	0.062
Probability level							
Feed restriction		0.636	0.745	0.991	0.917	0.945	0.939
Sex		0.672	0.535	0.295	0.268	0.208	0.463
Interaction		0.565	0.827	0.785	0.535	0.177	0.173

¹= number of observation were 6 chickens/treatment. ²= number of observation were 6 chickens/sex, ³= number of observation were 3 chickens/treatment; SEM=standard error of mean.

RESPONSE OF SLOW-GROWING CHICKENS TO FEED RESTRICTION

Table 3. Effect of feed restriction regimen and/or age of chickens on antioxidant status and immune markers

Treatment	TAC (μmol/L)	MDA (μM/L)	IgA	IgM	IgG	HINDV, Log2	HIIBD, Log2	HIAI, log 2	LyZA IU	BacA (%)	Phagocytes activity (%)	Phagocytes index (%)	
Effect of feed restriction regimen ¹													
Control	424.3	0.997	66.7 ^b	221.8	972.9	3.42 ^a	2.75	2.42	0.090	36.8	21.2	1.55	
Feed restriction	427.9	1.039	69.3 ^a	224.5	973.2	2.58 ^b	3.17	2.00	0.088	36.8	21.1	1.64	
Effect of age ²													
14	428.5 ^a	1.096	71.2 ^a	222.4	970.8 ^b	2.67	2.67 ^b	2.08	0.088	36.5	20.7	1.63	
35	423.7 ^b	0.940	64.8 ^b	223.9	975.3 ^a	3.33	3.25 ^a	2.33	0.090	37.0	21.6	1.57	
Interaction between FR regimen and age of chickens ³													
C	14	424.2 ^b	1.020	68.7 ^b	219.0	973.8 ^{ab}	3.00	2.67	2.17	0.107 ^a	37.2	20.2	1.52
	35	424.3 ^b	0.973	64.7 ^c	224.7	972.0 ^{bc}	3.83	2.83	2.67	0.073 ^b	36.3	22.2	1.58
FR	14	432.8 ^a	1.172	73.7 ^a	225.8	967.7 ^c	2.33	2.67	2.00	0.068 ^b	35.8	21.2	1.73
	35	423.0 ^b	0.907	64.8 ^c	223.2	978.7 ^a	2.83	3.67	2.00	0.107 ^a	37.7	21.0	1.55
SEM	1.833	0.077	0.926	2.131	1.887	0.354	0.271	0.318	0.006	0.732	0.622	0.084	
Probability level													
FR	0.059	0.587	0.011	0.225	0.896	0.029	0.140	0.206	0.693	1.000	0.895	0.288	
Age	0.016	0.057	0.0001	0.490	0.025	0.074	0.044	0.442	0.693	0.503	0.156	0.495	
Interaction	0.013	0.172	0.017	0.065	0.003	0.643	0.140	0.442	0.0001	0.084	0.097	0.152	

TAC=Total antioxidant capacity; MAD= Malondialdehyde; IgA= Immunoglobulin A; IgM=Immunoglobulin M; IgG=Immunoglobulin G; HINDV= Newcastle disease; HIIBD= Infection bursa disease; HIAI=Avian influenza; LyZA=Lysosome activity; BacatA= Bactrio activity; SEM= standard error of mean.

¹= Number of observation were 12 chicks/treatment, ²= Number of observation were 12 chicks/age, ³= Number of observation were 6 chicks/treatment/age.

^{a,b,c} Differences among means within a column within each factor not sharing similar superscripts are significant ($P<0.05$).

as monocyte (macrophages; Table 4) decreased by 13.6 %. The increase in IgA of the FR groups indicated the stressful condition of chickens exposed to FR regimen undergo as proved by the low antibody titter to NDV and low growth at the end of the experiment. IgA involves in mucosal surface protection⁽²⁶⁾, and prevents immunoglobulin degradation by proteolytic enzymes, thus improve its survival in the harsh gut environment and protection against the multiplication of microbes in body secretions⁽²⁷⁾. IgA can also prevent inflammatory influences of other immunoglobulin⁽²⁸⁾.

Age of chickens had a significant effect on TAC and IgA showing a significant decrease at 35 d of age compared to 14 d of age; but, IgG and HIIBD significantly increased. FR increased TAC and IgA of FR group at 14 d of age, but IgG and LysA were decreased. In addition, 35-d-old FR group increased IgG and LysA, revealing the positive impact of re-alimentation on immune response of chickens^(29,30). Nonetheless, IgG of broiler chickens at 14 and 35 d

of age were not significantly influenced by either quantitative FR regimen⁽³¹⁾, qualitative FR regimen or one-third reduction in amino acids, protein and energy⁽³²⁾. However, there were no significant effects of FR on immune structure and function of the gut, including bursa mass, spleen mass, and total IgA content of intestinal flush samples⁽³³⁾.

There was significant interaction between FR regimen and age of chicks on only TAC, IgA, IgG and LysA. The results indicate that TAC and IgA of FR group at 14 d of age was significantly greater than those of the other groups, but IgG and LysA were lower than those of the control group at d 14 and FR group at d 35 of age. On the other hand, IgG and LysA of 35-d-old FR group were higher than the control at the same age. In accordance with the current results, immune response against NDV and IBD at 30th d of age was found to be lower in the FR group than the control group⁽³⁴⁾. In addition, FR at 75 and 50 % of daily feed intake during 7-14 or 7-21 d of age did not affect absolute and relative

weight of spleen and thymus, but groups on FR at 75 and 50 % for 7 d significantly increased Fabricius bursa compared to their counterparts groups⁽²⁰⁾. Similarly, nutritional status had a crucial role in immunity and under-nutrition can negatively affect immune function^(29,31).

Blood components

Table 4 shows the effect of FR regimen and age of chicks on the parameters of white and red blood cells. Obviously, FR regimen had no significant effect on most of white blood cell parameters except for monocyte, showing that lower value of FR group than that of the control group, while there was a trend for heterophile to increase ($P \leq 0.068$).

Age of chickens had a significant influence on white blood cells (WBC) count and monocyte, showing higher WBC of 35-d old than those of 14 d-old, but monocyte was lower. There were significant interactions between FR regimen and chickens age in the lymphocyte, heterophile and heterophile/lymphocyte ratio. The results show that 14 d-old

chickens on FR regimen showed lower WBC count ($P \leq 0.092$) than the other groups, but higher lymphocyte ($P \leq 0.004$) than the 14 d-old control group and 35 d-old FR group. Chickens (35 d-old) on the control treatment exhibited significantly lower heterophile than those of the other groups, and lower heterophile/lymphocyte ratio than those of the control group at 14 d of age and FR group at 35 d of age. At the end of FR period (14 d of age), WBC count decreased, but lymphocyte (cell-mediated immunity) increased compared to 35 d-old FR group. These results indicate that though WBC count decreased at the end of FR period, chickens are compensated with increasing cell mediated immunity and had similar non-specific immune component (heterophile) and stress index heterophile/lymphocyte ratio. However, at 35 d of age, FR groups exhibited greater stress than the control group at the same age; this can be attributed to the significant decrease in cell-mediated immunity (lymphocyte). These changes in WBC count, lymphocyte and heterophile/lymphocyte ratio indicate that slow-growing chickens adapted to FR

Table 4. Effect of feed restriction regimen and/or age of chickens on characteristics of white and red blood cells

Treatment		White blood cell parameters					Red blood cell parameters		
		WBC (10 ³ /l)	Lymphocyte (%)	Monocyte (%)	Heterophile (%)	HLR	Hgb (mg/l)	PCV (%)	MCV (fl/cell)
Effect of feed restriction regimen ¹									
Control (C)		24.5	44.6	11.7 ^a	31.5	0.709	10.67	32.5	205.5
Feed restriction (FR)		23.2	44.5	10.3 ^b	33.2	0.748	10.83	32.6	194.8
Effect of age ²									
14 d-old		22.9 ^b	45.0	32.9 ^a	0.733	11.5	10.75	32.5	197.7
35 d-old		24.8 ^a	44.1	31.8 ^b	0.724	11.1	10.75	32.6	202.6
Interaction between FR regimen and sex ³									
C	14	24.2	44.0 ^{bc}	10.3	33.0 ^a	0.752 ^a	10.17 ^b	31.0 ^b	195.1 ^{ab}
	35	24.8	45.2 ^{ab}	13.0	30.0 ^b	0.666 ^b	11.17 ^{ab}	34.0 ^a	216.0 ^a
FR	14	21.7	46.0 ^a	9.2	32.8 ^a	0.714 ^{ab}	11.33 ^a	34.0 ^a	200.3 ^{ab}
	35	24.7	43.0 ^c	11.5	33.5 ^a	0.782 ^a	10.33 ^{ab}	31.2 ^{ab}	189.2 ^b
SEM		0.660	0.638	0.361	0.828	0.028	0.346	0.978	7.467
Probability level									
FR		0.057	0.897	0.002	0.068	0.169	0.635	0.933	0.165
Age		0.012	0.166	0.001	0.174	0.752	1.000	0.933	0.521
Interaction		0.092	0.004	0.649	0.038	0.011	0.009	0.007	0.044

WBC= white blood cells; HLR= Heterophile/Lymphocyte ratio; Hgb= Hemoglobin; PCV= Packed cell volume; MCV= Mean corpuscular values; SEM= Standard error of mean.

¹= Number of observation were 12 chicks/treatment, ²= Number of observation were 12 chicks/age, ³= Number of observation were 6 chicks/treatment/age.

^{a,b,c} Differences among means within a column within each factor not sharing similar superscripts are significant ($P < 0.05$).

regimen by modifying different immune components. The decrease in IgG, HINDV and HIAI at the end of FR period and at the end of the re-alimentation period confirm this hypothesis. During the first 3 wk of age, a transition from maternal immunity to humoral immunity and development and maturation of immune system occurred⁽³⁵⁾. These changes in immunoglobulins IgG and antibody against NDV, IBDV and AI proved the improvement in the defence system with increasing age of chickens. However, the decrease of TAC with advanced age of chickens may indicate the use of antioxidants for antibody production during the transient period. Similarly, antibody to NDV of *ad libitum* fed chickens declined with duration of stress⁽³⁶⁾. H-L ratio and tonic immobility duration were higher at 41 d of age in broilers fed *ad libitum* than those FR for 4 h per day from 7 to 21 d and fed *ad libitum* thereafter⁽²³⁾, and FR induced stress on WBC during only the FR period^(37,38).

It was demonstrated that FR regimen and age of chickens did not significantly affect Hgb, PCV and MCV. However, there were significant interactions between FR regimen and chickens' age on the Hgb,

PCV and MCV. It was found that 14 d-old chickens on FR showed significantly higher Hgb and PCV than its counterpart group on the control regimen. However, MCV of the control group at 35 d of age was significantly higher than that of the FR group at the same age. Moderate FR regimen (27.2 %) during the 2nd wk of age had no deleterious effect on blood haematology, as difference within FR groups was not significant between 14 and 35 d old chickens. Results on the effects of FR regimen on blood haematology are rare in the literature. In concert with the current results, haematological values were not affected by FR or sex ($P > 0.05$)⁽¹⁷⁾. In addition, feed removal for 3 or 6 h a day during 5-37 d of age did not significantly affected the PCV and RBC, but feed removal for 6 h significantly increased Hgb compared to the control and 3 h FR groups⁽³⁹⁾.

Metabolic profiles

Table 5 reveals the influences of FR regimen and age of chicks on blood plasma biochemical constituents. It was found that FR had a significant effect on only plasma albumin and total cholesterol, but the effect on plasma total protein, globulin, T₃,

Table 5. Effect of feed restriction regimen and/or age of chickens on blood plasma biochemical traits

Treatment	Total protein (g/dl)	Albumin (g/dl)	Globulin (g/dl)	Cholesterol (mg/dl)	T ₃ (ng/ml)	T ₄ (ng/ml)	T ₃ /T ₄	Glucose mg/dl	Cortisone mg/dl	
Effect of feed restriction regimen ¹										
Control	5.62	3.21 ^b	2.41	218.8 ^a	2.44	1.35	1.83	213.3	3.88	
Feed restriction	5.64	3.37 ^a	2.27	211.7 ^b	2.51	1.43	1.80	208.9	4.05	
Effect of age ²										
14 d-old	5.75 ^a	3.07 ^b	2.68 ^a	214.9	2.58 ^a	1.38	1.88	222.5 ^a	3.94	
35 d-old	5.51 ^b	3.52 ^a	1.99 ^b	215.5	2.38 ^b	1.39	1.75	199.8 ^b	3.98	
Interaction between FR regimen and age of chickens ³										
C	14	5.88 ^a	2.83 ^c	3.03 ^a	219.0	2.53	1.40	1.82	223.7	3.88
	35	5.35 ^c	3.58 ^a	1.77 ^c	218.5	2.35	1.30	1.84	203.0	3.87
FR	14	5.62 ^b	3.30 ^b	2.32 ^b	210.8	2.62	1.37	1.94	221.3	4.00
	35	5.67 ^{ab}	3.45 ^{ab}	2.22 ^b	212.5	2.40	1.48	1.66	196.5	4.10
SEM	0.090	0.075	0.135	1.638	0.087	0.070	0.107	3.749	0.102	
Probability level										
FR	0.783	0.038	0.335	0.0003	0.455	0.294	0.817	0.253	0.101	
Age	0.014	0.0001	0.001	0.725	0.033	0.906	0.243	0.0001	0.686	
P value	0.004	0.001	0.0003	0.516	0.851	0.135	0.185	0.585	0.573	

C= control group; FR= Feed restriction; T₃=Tri-iodothyronine; T₄= Tetra-iodothyronine; T₃/T₄=Tri-iodothyronine/Tetra-iodothyronine ratio; SEM= Standard error of mean.

¹= Number of observation were 12 chicks/treatment, ²= Number of observation were 12 chicks/age, ³= Number of observation were 6 chicks/treatment/age.

^{a,b,c} Differences among means within a column within each factor not sharing similar superscripts are significant ($P < 0.05$).

T₄, T₃/T₄, glucose and cortisone was not significant. FR significantly increased plasma albumin compared to the control group, but decreased plasma cholesterol. On the other hand, increasing age of chickens significantly increased plasma albumin, but decreased plasma total protein, globulin, T₃ and glucose. There were significant interactions between FR regimen and age of chicks on plasma total protein, albumin and globulin. The control group at d 14 of age showed higher plasma total protein and globulin than the other groups except for total protein of the FR group at d 35 of age. However, the contrary trend was observed in the plasma albumin. FR significantly increased plasma albumin (non-specific immune protein) by 5.3 % compared to the control group, but decreased plasma cholesterol by 3.2 %. Serum glucose ($P<0.05$) and triglyceride ($P<0.001$) levels decreased at 41 d of age in broilers fed *ad libitum* compared to those restricted for 4 h per day from 7 to 21 d and fed *ad libitum* thereafter⁽²⁰⁾. FR at 12.5 and 25 % of the predicted requirement did not significantly influence Hgb, PCV, serum glucose, total protein, globulin, albumin/globulin ratio goats⁽⁴⁰⁾, but decreased serum albumin and urea and improved digestibility of DM, OM, CP, EE and ADF and decreased excretion of N and P. Hepatic leakage (AST and ALT enzymes), muscle catabolism (creatinine) and welfare of chickens (cortisone) at 14 d (end of restriction period) and 35 d of age (end of re-alimentation period) were not affected by FR regimen.

FR group decreased total protein and globulin (specific immune protein) at the termination of the FR period compared to the control group, but increased plasma albumin (nonspecific immune protein and the most favourable source of amino acids for protein synthesis). At the end of the experiment, total protein, albumin and globulin were completely restored. This is connected with lower IgG, HINDV and HIIBD at the termination of the FR period than those at d 35 of age after 21 d of *ad libitum* feeding, which indicates that FR decreased nutrient availability for building up specific immunity and that re-alimentation improved immune system⁽³⁴⁾. Similarly, plasma total protein and albumin are significantly decreased in either quantitative (fed 85 and 70 % of feed intake by the

control group) or qualitative FR (fed 85 and 70 % of the energy control diet). However, at 35 d of age these blood traits were higher in the qualitative FR groups⁽³⁶⁾.

FR regimen did not negatively affect liver leakage (AST and ALT enzymes), protein metabolism and renal function (creatinine) and welfare of chickens (cortisone) at 14 (end of restriction period) and 35 d of age (end of re-alimentation period) and markers of liver leakage⁽⁴⁰⁾. However, a significant changes of corticosterone, T₄, T₃, protein, alkaline phosphatase, creatinine kinase in meat type female chickens caused by moderate and severe quantitative FR, illustrating that FR induces an intensive stress during the rapid growth period of fast-growing chicks⁽⁴¹⁾. In addition, plasma levels of both T₃ and T₄ were reduced in the FR chicks, and up to 40 % diet dilution decreased cholesterol, triglycerides, plasma T₃⁽⁴²⁾, but uric acid and plasma T₄ increased at 21 d of age⁽⁴³⁾. Differences in metabolic profiles and thyroid hormones could be attributed to strain and growth of chickens, duration and intensity of FR.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Collectively, slow-growing chickens could tolerate 27.2 % of feed restriction during the 7-14 d of age without significant differences in feed intake, FCR, health status and metabolic profiles at 35 d of age, showing compensatory growth during 15-35 d of age.

LITERATURA CITADA

1. Zhan XA, Wang M, Ren H, Zhao RQ, Li JX, Tan ZL. Effect of early feed restriction on metabolic programming and compensatory growth in broiler chickens. *Poult Sci* 2007;86:654-660.
2. Saleh K, Attia YA, Younis H. Effect of feed restriction and breed on compensatory growth, abdominal fat and some production traits of broiler chicks. *Archiv Für Geflügelkunde* 1996;60:153-159.
3. Butzen FM, Vieira MM, Kessler AM, Aristimunha PC, Marx FR, Bockor L, Ribeiro A ML. Early feed restriction in broilers. II: Body composition and nutrient gain. *J Appl Poult Res* 2015;24:198-205.
4. Butzen FM, Ribeiro AML, Vieira MM, Kessler AM, Dadalt JC, Della MP. Early feed restriction in broilers. I- Performance, body fraction weights, and meat quality. *J Appl Poult Res* 2013;22:251-259.
5. Attia YA, Osman Mona, Abou-Egla El-Samra, El-Deek AA. Response of growth, feed conversion and characteristics of broiler chicks to

RESPONSE OF SLOW-GROWING CHICKENS TO FEED RESTRICTION

- feed restriction methods, time and diet quality. *Mansoura Univ. J Agric Sci* 1995;20:3261-3282.
6. Netshipale AJ, Benyi K, Baloyi JJ, Mahlako KT, Mutavhatsindi TF. Responses of two broiler chickens strains to early-age skip-a-day feed restriction in a semi-arid subtropical environment. *African J Agr Res* 2012;7:6523-6529.
 7. Hassanien HHM, Abdel-Wareth AAA, El-Deek AA. Interaction effects between feed physical form and feed restriction on performance and carcass characteristics of broilers. *Egypt. J Anim Prod* 2013;50:19-26.
 8. Attia YA, Abd Al-Hamid AE, Ibrahim MS, Al-Harathi M.A, Bovera F, El-Naggar A. Productive performance, biochemical and hematological traits of broiler chicks supplemented with propolis, bee pollen, and mannan oligosaccharides continuously or intermittently. *Livestock Sci* 2014;164:87-95.
 9. Attia YA, Abd- El-Hamid EA, El-Hanoun AM, Al-Harathi MA, Mansour GM, Abdella MM. Responses of the fertility, semen quality, blood constituents, immunity and antioxidant status of rabbit bucks to type and magnetizing of water. *Ann Anim Sci* 2015;15:387-407.
 10. Young DS, Pestaner CL, Gilberman U. Effects of drugs on clinical laboratory tests. *Clin Chem* 1975;21:3660-3669.
 11. Kawahara E, Ueda T, Nomura S. *In vitro* phagocytic activity of white spotted shark cells after injection with *Aeromonas salmonicida* extracellular products. *Gyobyo Kenkyu, Japan* 1991;26:213-214.
 12. King DJ, Seal BS. Biological and molecular characterization of Newcastle disease virus NDV field isolates with comparisons to reference NDV strains. *Avian Dis* 1998;42:507-516.
 13. Takatsy GY. The use of spiral loops in serological and virological micromethods. *Acta Microbiol. Acad Sci Hung* 1956;3:197.
 14. Cosgrove A. Handbook of histopathological and histochemical staining techniques. 3rd ed., London, UK: Butterworth; 1962.
 15. Rainger GE, Rowley AF. Antibacterial activity in the serum and mucus of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* following immunization with *Aeromonas salmonicida*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 1993;3:475-482.
 16. SAS Institute. SAS® User's Guide: Statistics. 6th ed., (Cary, NC, SAS Institute Inc.), USA. 1996.
 17. Junqueira OM, Fonseca LEC, Araújo LF, Duarte KF, Araújo CS. da S. Rodrigues EAP. Feed restriction on performance and blood parameters of broilers fed diets with different sodium levels. *Rev Bras Cienc Avic* 2003;5:225-230 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-635X2003000200003>.
 18. Jalal MAR, Zakaria HA. The effect of quantitative feed restriction during the starter period on compensatory growth and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *Pak J Nutr* 2012;11:719-724.
 19. Zubair AK, Leeson S. Compensatory growth in the broiler chickens: a review. *World's Poult Sci J* 1996;52:189-201.
 20. Jahanpour H, Seidavi A, Qotbi AAA, Van Den Hoven R, Rocha e Silva S, Laudadio V, Tufarelli V. Effects of the level and duration of feeding restriction on carcass components of broilers. *Arch Anim Breed* 2015;58:99-105.
 21. Onbaşlar EE, Yalçın S, Torlak E, Özdemir P. Effects of early feed restriction on live performance, carcass characteristics, meat and liver composition, some blood parameters, heterophil-lymphocyte ratio, antibody production and tonic immobility duration. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 2009;41:1513-1519.
 22. Rezaei M, Teimouri AJ, Sayyahzadeh PH, Waldroup PW. Effect of diet dilution in the starter period on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chicks. *J Centr Europ Agri* 2006;7:63-70.
 23. Ferrell CL, Koong LJ, Nienaber JA. Effects of previous nutrition on body composition and maintenance energy costs of growing animals. *Br J Nutr* 1986; 56:595-605.
 24. Tumova E, Skrivan M, Skirvanova V, Kacerovska L. Effect of early feed restriction on growth in broiler chickens, turkeys and rabbits. *Czech J Anim Sci* 2002;47: 418-428.
 25. Sahraei M. Feed restriction in broiler chickens production: A review. *Global Veterinaria* 2012;8:449-458.
 26. Woof JM, Kerr MA. The function of immunoglobulin A in immunity. *J Pathol* 2006; 208:270-82.
 27. Junqueira LC, Carneiro J. Basic histology, USA: McGraw-Hill; 2003. ISBN 0-8385-0590-2.
 28. Holmgren J, Czerkinsky C. Mucosal immunity and vaccines. *Nature Medicine* 2005; 11:S45-S53. doi:10.1038/nm1213. PMID 15812489.
 29. Kidd MT. Nutritional modulation of immune function in broilers-Review. *Poult Sci* 2004;83:650-657.
 30. Al-Khalifa Hanan, Givens DI, Rymer C, Yaqob P. Effects of n-3 fatty acids on immune function in broiler chickens. *Poult Sci* 2012;91:74-88.
 31. Jang IS, Kang SY, Ko YH, Moon YS, Sohn SH. Effect of qualitative and quantitative feed restriction on growth performance and immune function in broiler chickens. *Asian-Aust J Anim Sci* 2009;22:388-395.
 32. Glick B, Taylor JR, Martin DE, Watabe M, Day EJ, Thompson D. Calorie-protein deficiencies and the immune response of the chickens. II. Cell mediated immunity. *Poult Sci* 1983;62:1889-1893.
 33. Fassbinder-Orth CA, Karasov WH. Effects of feed restriction and realimentation on digestive and immune function in the Leghorn chick. *Poult Sci* 2006;85:1449-1456.
 34. Mahmood S, Ahmad F, Masood A, Kausar R. Effects of feed restriction during starter phase on subsequent growth performance, dressing percentage, relative organ weights and immune response of broilers. *Pak Vet J* 2007;27:137-141.
 35. Davison TF, Morris TR, Payne LN. Poultry immunology. Poultry Science Symposium Series, CARFAX Publishing Company, Abingdon, England. 1996(24).
 36. Zulkifli I, Che Norma MT, Israf DA, Omar AR. The effect of early age feed restriction on subsequent response to high environmental temperatures in female broiler chickens. *Poult Sci* 2000;79:1401-1407.
 37. Azis A. Performance and heterophil to lymphocyte (H/L) ratio profile of broiler chickens subjected to feeding time restriction. *Inter J Poult Sci* 2012;11:153-157.
 38. Bovera F, Lestingi A, Piccolo G, Iannaccone F, Attia YA, Tateo A. Effect of water restriction on growth performance, feed nutrient digestibility, carcass and meat traits of rabbits. *Animal* 2013;7:1600-1606.

39. Petek M. The effects of feed removal during the day on some production traits and blood parameters of broilers. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci* 2000;24:447-452.
40. Kumar Sunil, Dutta Narayan, Baliyan S, Pattanaik AK, Singh SK. Effect of feed restriction on nutrient metabolism, metabolic profile and excretion of nutrients in goats. *Anim Nutr Feed Technol* 2015;15:361-374.
41. Rajman M, Juráni M, Lamošová D, Máčajová M, Sedlačková M, Košťál L, Ježová D, Výboh P. The effects of feed restriction on plasma biochemistry in growing meat type chickens (*Gallus gallus*). *Comp Bioch Physiol Part A: Molecular & Integrative physiology* 2006;145:363-371.
42. Ghazanfari S, Kermanshahi H, Nassiry MR, Golian A, Moussavi ARH, Salehi A. Effect of feed restriction and different energy and protein levels of the diet on growth performance and growth hormone in broiler chickens. *Inter J Biol Sci* 2010;10:25-30.
43. Rezaei M, Hajati H. Effect of diet dilution at early age on performance, carcass characteristics and blood parameters of broiler chicks. *Italian J Anim Sci* 2010;9:e19:93-100.