

# Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* Linn.) as a phytogenic growth promoter alternative for antibiotic and comparable to mannan oligosaccharides for broiler chicks

Youssef A. Attia<sup>a\*</sup>, Mohammed A. Al-Harathi<sup>a</sup>, Saber S. Hassan<sup>b</sup>

## ABSTRACT

This work aimed at investigating the potential as a growth enhancer of different dietary concentrations of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* Linn.) as an alternative to oxytetracycline (OTC) as antibiotic and as comparable to mannan oligosaccharide for broiler chicks. A total of 252 Hubbard broiler chicks at one day of age were distributed randomly in a straight run experimental design among six treatments, each replicated seven times, with six unsexed chicks per replicate. The basal diet was administered without supplements (control group) or supplemented with turmeric at 0.5, 1, and 2 g/kg diet, or with mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) at 1 g/kg feed or with OTC at 50 mg/kg feed. Growth performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality traits, blood biochemical constituents, antioxidant status and red blood cell (RBCs) were investigated. Turmeric supplementation at 1 g/kg feed significantly improved feed conversion ratio (FCR) and European production index compared to the control group and MOS groups. The results indicated that turmeric can be used at 1 kg/t feed as a phytogenic feed additive as an alternative to OTC or MOS without negative effects on the productive and economic traits of broilers. There were no differences from using OTC and MOS, while there was an increase in the European production efficiency index and the broilers' health status.

**KEY WORDS:** Turmeric, Antibiotic, Prebiotics, Carcass traits, Meat quality, Blood biochemical, Blood biochemistry, Broiler.

## RESUMEN

Este trabajo se realizó para investigar el potencial como promotor del crecimiento de diferentes concentraciones dietéticas de cúrcuma (*Curcuma longa* Linn.) como una alternativa a los antibióticos y oxitetraciclina y comparable a oligosacáridos mannan (MOS) para pollos de engorda. Un total de 252 pollos Hubbard de un día de edad se distribuyeron al azar en un diseño experimental de seis tratamientos, siete repeticiones y con seis pollos sin sexar por repetición. La dieta basal se administró sin suplementos (grupo control) o complementada con cúrcuma en dosis de 0.5, 1 y 2 g/kg de dieta, o con 1 g/kg de alimento de oligosacárido mannan, o con oxitetraciclina 50 mg/kg de alimento. Se evaluó el crecimiento, características de la canal, características de calidad de carne, constituyentes bioquímicos sanguíneos, estado antioxidante y glóbulos rojos. La suplementación de cúrcuma en 1 g/kg alimento significativamente mejoró la tasa de conversión alimenticia y el Índice de Producción Europea en comparación con el grupo control y grupo MOS. Los resultados indican que la cúrcuma puede usarse en 1 kg/t de alimento como una alternativa a oxitetraciclina o MOS sin efectos negativos sobre los rasgos productivos y económicos de pollos de engorda. No hubo diferencias de uso entre oxitetraciclina y MOS, mientras que con cúrcuma hubo un aumento en el índice de eficiencia de la producción europea y el estado de salud de los pollos.

**PALABRAS CLAVE:** Cúrcuma, Antibióticos, Probióticos, Calidad de canal, Calidad de carne, Química sanguínea, Pollos.

Received December 7, 2015. Accepted March 14, 2016.

<sup>a</sup> Arid Land Agriculture Department, Faculty of Meteorology, Environment, and Arid Land Agriculture, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. P.O. Box 80208, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia Tel: +966568575961, fax: +9666952364.

<sup>b</sup> Animal and Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University, Egypt.

\* Corresponding author: Youssef A. Attia. yaattia@kau.edu.sa.

Funding agent: This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant No. (G-1436/155/205). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR technical and financial support.

## INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, antibiotic residuals and the development of bacterial strains resistant to antibiotics have become a problem<sup>(1)</sup> due to the addition of antibiotics in animal feed formulations<sup>(2,3,4)</sup>. However, the prohibition of the use of antibiotics in animal feed in Europe in 2006 to improve the safety and security of the food chain caused significant health problems in poultry, such as increasing the incidence of intestine necrotic enteritis and clostridia. These in turn caused major complications related to decreasing animal welfare and increasing economic losses<sup>(4,5)</sup>. Hence prebiotics, probiotics, synbiotics, herbs, spices and essential oils has been investigated as an alternative to antibiotics because of their antibacterial, antioxidant, digestive and metabolic enhancing effects<sup>(6)</sup>.

Botanical compounds have been shown to be potential alternatives to antibiotics for poultry production<sup>(7,8,9)</sup>. Turmeric is a member of the Zingiberaceae family. It is mainly utilized in the food industry to enhance the palatability, preservation and appearance of food. Turmeric contains different bioactive compounds, such as curcumin, demethoxycurcumin, bisdemethoxycurcumin and tetrahydrocurcuminoids<sup>(10,11,12)</sup>. These bioactive compounds have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and nematocidal activities<sup>(10,13,14,15)</sup>, protective effects against mutagenicity and hepatocarcinogenicity induced by aflatoxin<sup>(2,16)</sup> and against coccidiosis<sup>(5,17,18)</sup>. In the literature, the effects of turmeric supplementation between 0 to 10 g/kg on chicken performance have been inconclusive. For example, broilers fed diets supplemented with turmeric at 5 g/kg feed exhibited improved performance but did not affect serum total protein, albumin, globulin, ALKP, ALT and AST enzymes<sup>(1,6,19)</sup>. On the other hand, turmeric powder did not significantly affect growth performance or the carcass yield of broiler chickens<sup>(9,12,20)</sup>. Turmeric powder at 0.6 and 0.9 g/kg alleviated the negative effect of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> on serum total protein, albumin and globulin, boosted antioxidant defense enzymes, e.g. catalase and superoxide dismutase, and decreased MDA<sup>(2)</sup>. The levels of liver enzymes (ALT and ALKP) were substantially reduced by feeding broilers turmeric powder at 5 g/kg<sup>(21)</sup>.

Prebiotics are also possible alternatives, particularly mannan oligosaccharides (MOS) derived from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*<sup>(22,23)</sup>. The

mode of action of MOS involves supplying intestinal microflora by nutrients (as prebiotics) and inhibiting the attachment of pathogenic bacteria, i.e. *E. coli* and *Salmonella enteritidis*, to the intestinal mucosa by binding the mannose receptors on the type 1 fimbriae<sup>(22)</sup>. The positive effect of MOS on broiler performance was already reviewed<sup>(22)</sup>.

Oxytetracyclines (OTC) are broad-spectrum bacteriostatic agents derived from the bacteria *Streptomyces*. Oxytetracyclines prevent bacteria from multiplying while the host animal's immune system deals with the original infection. The recommended dose is 5 to 50 g/t feed as a continuous feed additive. In the literature, oxytetracyclines have been used for the amelioration of the growth of broilers, but the

Table 1. Fatty acid (FA) composition as percentage of fatty acids and antioxidants indices of turmeric

Fatty acid	As a % of FA <sup>1</sup>	As a % of FA <sup>2</sup>
C6:0	0.166	---
C8:0	0.039	---
C10:0	0.347	---
Undecanoic acid, C11:0	0.099	---
Tridecanoic acid, C13:0	0.282	---
Myristic acid, C14:0	0.94	---
Pentadecenoic acid, C15:1	11.29	4.04
Palmitoleic C16:1	28.11	32.50
Palmitic acid, C16:0	8.60	9.76
Heptadecenoic C 17:1	19.15	20.98
Linolenic C 18.3	---	6.01
Linoleic acid, C18:2c	15.70	18.63
Oleic acid, C18:1	14.1	8.08
Stearic acid, C18:0	0.103	---
Arachidic acid, C20:0	0.16	---
SFA	10.73	9.76
MUFA	72.65	61.66
PUFA	15.70	24.64
UFA	89.35	86.30
SFA/UFA ratio	0.120	0.113
Antioxidant activity inhibition, %	60.38	---
Total phenolic compounds, mg/kg	14.25	---

<sup>1</sup> Represents the present sample of turmeric <sup>2</sup> according to Radwan<sup>(28)</sup>, SFA= Saturated fatty acids, MUFA= Mono unsaturated fatty acids, PUFA= polyunsaturated fatty acids, UFA= Unsaturated fatty acids.

results have been contradictory<sup>(24,25)</sup>. Thus this research aims to investigate the growth promoting effect of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* Linn.) and to compare it to OTC and MOS on growth performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality, serum biochemical constituents and health status during the 1<sup>st</sup> through 35<sup>th</sup> days of age of broiler chickens.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Source of turmeric, fatty acid profiles and antioxidant indices

Turmeric purchased from the local market in a powder form was used in this experiment. The chemical analyses of the experimental diets were according to AOAC<sup>(26)</sup>, meanwhile, metabolizable energy value was calculated using the equation for

vegetable/plant feedstuffs according to Janssen<sup>(27)</sup>. The fatty acids profile analysed according to Radwan<sup>(28)</sup> (Table 1) after the extraction of lipids<sup>(26)</sup>. The total phenolic contents according to Balinsky *et al*<sup>(29)</sup> and the antioxidant activity (%) inhibition, determined to Benzie *et al* methodology<sup>(30)</sup>.

### Chicks, diets and experimental design

A total of 252 Hubbard broiler chicks 1 d of age were used in this experiment. They were fed the experimental diets (Table 2) according to a two-phase feeding system, with a starter-grower diet from d 1 to 27 and a finisher diet from d 28 to 35. The chicks were distributed randomly in a complete randomized design among six treatments. Each was replicated seven times with six unsexed chicks per replicate. The basal diet was

Table 2. Diets composition and nutrient profiles of the experimental diets percentage as fed basis

Ingredients/ profiles	Starter-grower diets 1-27 d of age (kg/t)				Finisher diets 28-35 d of age (kg/t)			
	0	0.5	1.0	2.0	0	0.5	1.0	2.0
Maize	508	507.5	507	506	600	599.5	599	598
Full fat soybean	80	80	80	80	100	100	100	100
Soybean meal	335	335	335	335	230	230	230	230
Vegetable oil blend	40	40	40	40	37	37	37	37
Turmeric powder	0.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.00	0.5	1.0	2.0
Sodium chloride	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
DL- methionine	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
L-lysine	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Dicalcium phosphate	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Limestone	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Vit. + Min. mixture <sup>1</sup>	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
	Calculated <sup>2</sup> and chemical composition <sup>3</sup> (g/kg)							
ME MJ/kg diet <sup>2</sup>	.1297	.1297	.1297	.1297	.1346	.1346	.1346	.1346
Dry matter <sup>3</sup>	897	895	898	896	895	897	898	891
Crude protein <sup>3</sup>	222	223	220	221	189	187	189	187
Calcium <sup>2</sup>	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2
Inorganic phosphorus <sup>2</sup>	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
Methionine+cystine <sup>2</sup>	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4
Lysine <sup>2</sup>	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
Crude fibre <sup>3</sup>	52.3	52.3	52.3	52.3	56.5	54.7	55.5	57.5
Crude fat <sup>3</sup>	88.3	88.3	88.3	88.3	89.1	87.1	88.1	89.3
Ash <sup>3</sup>	85.3	84.3	83.7	86.4	91.2	90.8	88.5	89.0

<sup>1</sup>Vit+Min mix. provides per kilogram of the diet: Vit. A, 12000 IU, vit. E (dl- $\alpha$ -tocopheryl acetate) 20 mg, menadione 2.3 mg, Vit. D3, 2200 ICU, riboflavin 5.5 mg, calcium pantothenate 12 mg, nicotinic acid 50 mg, Choline 250 mg, vit. B12 10  $\mu$ g, vit. B6 3 mg, thiamine 3 mg, folic acid 1 mg, d-biotin 0.05 mg. Trace mineral (mg/ kg of diet): Mn 80 Zn 60, Fe 35, Cu 8, and Selenium 0.1 mg.

formulated to be isocaloric and isonitrogenous and to meet the nutrient requirements<sup>(31)</sup>. The basal diet was administered without the tested supplements (the control group) or supplemented with turmeric at 0.5 (T\_0.5), 1 (T\_1), and 2 (T\_2) g/kg diet. The concentrations of turmeric supplementation was chosen based in previous studies<sup>(12,20,21)</sup> in which 0 to 10 g/kg was included in broiler diets with inconclusive results. The basal diet was also supplemented with mannan oligosaccharides (MOS; Alltech Inc., Nicholasville, KY, USA) at 1 g/kg diet or oxytetracycline (OTC) at 50 mg/kg diet. OTC is a broad-spectrum bacteriostatic agent derived from the bacteria *Streptomyces*. The recommended dose is 5 to 50 g/t feed as a continuous feed additive. Terramycin (OTC) is a registered trademark of Pfizer, Inc., USA. It is US FDA NADA (new animal drug application) #95-143, approved by the FDA 7870000 101-9010-07 and licensed to Phibro Animal Health Corporation for OTC HCl.

### **Broilers husbandry**

Chicks were kept in battery brooders (40×45×60 cm) under similar managerial and hygienic conditions in semi-opened housing. Water and mash feeds were offered *ad libitum*. The brooding temperature was 34, 32 and 30 °C during the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> wk of age, respectively. During 21 to 35 d of age, the average ambient temperature and relative humidity (RH) were 30 ± 3 °C to 45 ± 4 %, respectively. The light-dark cycle was 23:1.

### **Data collection**

Body weight was recorded at the 1<sup>st</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup> and 35<sup>th</sup> d of age; body weight gain, feed intake and the feed conversion ratio (FCR) were calculated for the periods 1-14, 1-27, and 1-35 d of age. At 35 d of age, seven chickens from each treatment representing all replicates were randomly taken and slaughtered to determine their carcass characteristics. In addition, the lymphoid organs, including the thymus, spleen and bursa of Fabricius, were removed and weighed. Meat quality traits (n= 7 samples/treatment) represented all replicates, such as chemical composition (dry matter, protein, lipid and ash) and physical characteristics (pH, colour of meat, water holding capacity [WHC] and tenderness) were carried out as previously reported<sup>(32)</sup>.

At d 35 of age, seven blood samples were collected in both not-heparinized and heparinized tubes from each treatment represented all treatment replicates. The serum was separated by centrifugation at 1,500 xg for 10 min at 4 °C and stored at -18 °C until analysis. The selected serum biochemical profile such as serum total protein and albumin concentrations (g/dL), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST), (μ/L), alkaline phosphatase (ALKP) enzymes, total antioxidant capacity (TAC) as an indicator of antioxidant status, and malnodialdehyde (MDA as a biomarker for lipid peroxidation respectively were determined using commercial diagnostic kits (Diamond Diagnostics Company, Cairo, Egypt)<sup>(32,33)</sup>. Globulin concentration (g/100 mL) was calculated as the difference between total protein and albumin. Red blood cell (RBCs) characteristics, including haemoglobin (Hgb), packed cell volume (PCV), mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular volume (MCV) and mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC), were measured as previously cited<sup>(32,33)</sup>. Haemagglutination (HINDV) inhibition for New Castle disease virus was determined according to Snyder *et al*<sup>(34)</sup>.

Upon necropsy, the intestine was removed, thoroughly washed with a physiological saline (0.9% NaCl) solution, blotted on filter paper and then buffered with formalin 10%. The fixed specimens were processed using a conventional paraffin embedding technique. From the prepared paraffin blocks, 5 mm thick sections were obtained and stained with haematoxylin and eosin for light microscopic examination<sup>(35)</sup>. In order to determine the length of the villi, 5 villi were measured on each segment for all groups. The villi lengths were measured from their base upwards to the end of the villus. The morphometric measurements were taken in a binocular microscope equipped with a clear Nikon camera and coupled with an image-analysing system from Optika<sup>(36)</sup>.

### **Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed using the SAS software program<sup>(37)</sup>, using a completely randomized design, considering the replicate as the experimental unit according to the following model:

$$Y_{i,j} = \mu + T_i + \varepsilon_{i(j)}$$

With  $Y_{ij}$  being any observation for which  $X_i=i$  ( $i$  and  $j$  denote the level of the factor and the replication within the level of the factor, respectively);  $\mu$ = general location parameter;  $T_i$ = is the effect of having treatment level  $i$ ;  $\epsilon_i(j)$ = is the random error.

Mean differences were tested by the Tukey's studentized test<sup>(37)</sup> using  $P \leq 0.05$ ; although when  $P$  value was great than 0.05 and less than 10 was reported as trend. Before analysis, all percentages were converted to arc sin to normalize data distribution.

## RESULTS

### **Chemical composition, fatty acids, antioxidant activity percentage inhibition and total phenolic compounds**

Chemical composition of turmeric showed 89.7 % dry matter, 5.8 % crude protein, 4.7 % ether extract, 4.2 % ash 3.5 % crude fiber and 71.5 % nitrogen free extract and calculated ME value was found to be 3,664 kcal/kg turmeric. The

results, as displayed in Table 1, describe the fatty acids content of turmeric, antioxidant activity inhibition and total phenolic compounds. The results indicate that linoleic acid is the dominant polyunsaturated fatty acid and palmitoleic acid is the dominant monounsaturated fatty acid. These indicate that turmeric is a good source of unsaturated fatty acids. The antioxidant activity inhibition and total phenolic compounds are 60.38 % and 14.25 mg/g, revealing a potential antioxidant activity.

### **Growth performance**

Data for broiler performance are shown in Table 3. The results showed that different supplements did not significantly affect BWG of chickens during different experiment period except for a trend for greater ( $P \leq 0.089$ ) growth of T\_0.5, and the MOS groups during d 15-27 and T\_0.5 and T\_1 ( $P \leq 0.095$ ) during the whole experimental period (d 1-35 of age) in comparison to the control group, T\_2 group and OTC groups and the control group, T\_2 group, MOS and the OTC groups, respectively.

Table 3. Growth performance of broiler chickens fed diets supplemented with different concentrations of turmeric, mannanoligosacchride and oxytetracycline

Criteria	Control	Curcumin g/ kg diet			MOS	OTC	SEM	P-value
		0.5	1	2				
Body weight and body weight gain, g								
Body weight at 1 d	46	46	48	47	45	46	1.01	0.524
BWG 1-14 d of age	386	352	376	341	377	347	13.9	0.139
BWG 15-27 d of age	837	954	882	829	905	836	34.1	0.089
BWG 28-35 d of age	495	527	580	565	510	599	40.1	.0401
BWG 1-35 d of age	1718	1833	1838	1735	1792	1781	34.2	0.095
Feed intake, g/chick/ period								
1-14 d of age	646 <sup>a</sup>	607 <sup>ab</sup>	560 <sup>ab</sup>	511 <sup>b</sup>	649 <sup>a</sup>	549 <sup>ab</sup>	23.6	0.001
15-27 d of age	1495 <sup>abc</sup>	1652 <sup>a</sup>	1465 <sup>abc</sup>	1416 <sup>bc</sup>	1597 <sup>ab</sup>	1316 <sup>c</sup>	52.8	0.001
28-35 d of age	994	1093	1072	1002	1045	1252	77.6	0.225
1-35 d of age	3135 <sup>ab</sup>	3352 <sup>a</sup>	3097 <sup>ab</sup>	2929 <sup>b</sup>	3292 <sup>a</sup>	3118 <sup>ab</sup>	73.3	0.005
Feed conversion ratio, kg feed/kg gain								
1-14 d of age	1.67 <sup>ab</sup>	1.72 <sup>a</sup>	1.49 <sup>c</sup>	1.50 <sup>c</sup>	1.72 <sup>a</sup>	1.58 <sup>bc</sup>	0.022	0.001
15-27 d of age	1.78 <sup>a</sup>	1.74 <sup>ab</sup>	1.66 <sup>ab</sup>	1.71 <sup>ab</sup>	1.77 <sup>a</sup>	1.59 <sup>b</sup>	0.038	0.012
28-35 d of age	2.01	2.09	1.85	1.74	2.04	2.19	0.109	0.076
1-35 d of age	1.82 <sup>a</sup>	1.83 <sup>a</sup>	1.68 <sup>b</sup>	1.69 <sup>b</sup>	1.84 <sup>a</sup>	1.75 <sup>ab</sup>	0.021	0.001
Survival rate and European production efficiency index (EPEI)								
Survival rate, %	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	ND
EPEI	262 <sup>b</sup>	279 <sup>ab</sup>	303 <sup>a</sup>	286 <sup>ab</sup>	271 <sup>b</sup>	282 <sup>ab</sup>	5.98	0.001

MOS= Mannoligosacchride; OTC=Oxytetracycline; BWG= Body weight gain; SEM= Standard error of means.

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Differences among means within a column within each factor not sharing similar superscripts are significant ( $P < 0.05$ ),

Feed intake during the different experimental periods was significantly affected by the different treatments. During d 1-14 of age, the T<sub>2</sub> group consumed significantly less feed than the control and MOS groups. During d 15-27 of age, the T<sub>2</sub> and OTC groups reduced feed intake in comparison to the T<sub>0.5</sub> group. In addition, OTC groups reduced feed intake in comparison to the MOS group. For the 1-35 d period, the T<sub>2</sub> group significantly decreased feed intake in comparison to the T<sub>0.5</sub> and MOS groups.

During most of the experimental periods, the different supplements significantly affected FCR. During d 1-14 of age, the T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and OTC groups significantly improved FCR in comparison to the other groups, but the T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups had more favorable effects than the OTC group as the difference between OTC and control group was not significant. During d 15-27 of age, the OTC group significantly improved FCR in comparison to

most of the experimental groups except for the T<sub>0.5</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups. During d 28-35 of age, there was a trend for improved FCR of groups on T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> in comparison to the other experimental groups. For the whole period, the T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups significantly boosted FCR in comparison to the other groups except for OTC.

The survival rate was 100 % in the different experiment groups. The European Production Efficiency Index of the T<sub>1</sub> group was significantly higher than that of the control and MOS groups. Other groups exhibited intermediate values.

### ***Carcasses characteristics and inner body organs***

The results for carcass traits, relative weight of internal organs, chemical composition and physical parameters of meat are presented in Table 4. Most of the traits were significantly

Table 4. Carcass characteristics, inner body organs and meat quality of broiler chickens fed diets supplemented with different concentrations of turmeric, mannanoligosacchride and oxytetracycline

Criteria	Control	Curcumin g/kg diet			MOS	OTC	SEM	P value
		0.5	1	2				
<b>Carcass characteristics and inner body organs</b>								
Dressing,%	72.7 <sup>a</sup>	68.1 <sup>b</sup>	69.4 <sup>ab</sup>	69.9 <sup>ab</sup>	68.7 <sup>ab</sup>	66.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.951	0.006
Abdominal fat,%	0.759	0.715	0.670	0.634	0.544	0.673	0.091	0.654
Proventriculus,%	0.582 <sup>a</sup>	0.495 <sup>ab</sup>	0.379 <sup>b</sup>	0.532 <sup>ab</sup>	0.604 <sup>a</sup>	0.505 <sup>ab</sup>	0.056	0.010
Gizzard,%	1.38 <sup>a</sup>	1.32 <sup>a</sup>	0.889 <sup>b</sup>	1.25 <sup>a</sup>	1.11 <sup>ab</sup>	1.15 <sup>ab</sup>	0.079	0.005
Liver,%	2.36	2.23	2.16	2.25	2.34	2.48	0.111	0.422
Heart,%	0.540 <sup>a</sup>	0.352 <sup>b</sup>	0.430 <sup>ab</sup>	0.533 <sup>a</sup>	0.484 <sup>ab</sup>	0.450 <sup>ab</sup>	0.038	0.022
Pancreas,%	0.283	0.274	0.214	0.253	0.299	0.228	0.019	0.039
Intestinal,%	7.93	8.53	8.13	8.97	7.65	7.99	0.451	0.332
Intestinal villi length, $\mu$ m	1261 <sup>c</sup>	1435 <sup>b</sup>	1406 <sup>b</sup>	1289 <sup>b</sup>	1255 <sup>c</sup>	1806 <sup>a</sup>	57.4	0.001
Spleen weight, %	0.102 <sup>ab</sup>	0.084 <sup>b</sup>	0.114 <sup>ab</sup>	0.091 <sup>b</sup>	0.162 <sup>a</sup>	0.088 <sup>b</sup>	0.014	0.008
Thymus weight, %	0.394 <sup>a</sup>	0.377 <sup>ab</sup>	0.335 <sup>b</sup>	0.481 <sup>a</sup>	0.307 <sup>b</sup>	0.340 <sup>b</sup>	0.078	0.004
Fabricius bursa weight, %	0.134 <sup>ab</sup>	0.117 <sup>ab</sup>	0.179 <sup>a</sup>	0.157 <sup>ab</sup>	0.159 <sup>ab</sup>	0.100 <sup>b</sup>	0.017	0.040
<b>Chemical composition of meat,%</b>								
Dry matter	25.1	24.9	25.0	25.0	24.9	24.9	0.064	0.215
Crude protein	19.2	19.1	19.3	19.0	18.9	19.1	0.101	0.086
Lipids	4.72	4.75	4.58	4.73	4.81	4.64	0.071	0.294
Ash	0.973	0.993	0.990	0.987	0.983	0.990	0.012	0.879
<b>Physical characteristics of meat</b>								
pH	5.93	6.08	6.01	6.00	6.02	5.98	0.079	0.862
Color, optical density	0.197	0.210	0.212	0.203	0.209	0.209	0.094	0.864
Tenderness cm <sup>2</sup> /g	9.82	10.02	10.19	10.26	9.86	10.26	0.066	0.579
WHC, cm <sup>2</sup> /g	17.48	18.25	17.48	17.92	17.82	17.98	0.059	0.315

SEM= Standard error of means, MOS= Mannoligaosacchride, OTC=Oxytiteracycline, pH= hydrogen power; WHC= Water holding capacity.

<sup>a,b,c</sup>Differences among means within a column within each factor not sharing similar superscripts are significant ( $P < 0.05$ ).

affected by the treatments with the exception of the relative weight of the abdominal fat, liver, pancreas (although F value was significant  $P \leq 0.039$  for only pancreas) and intestine. Dressing percentage significantly decreased due to T\_0.5 and OTC supplements in comparison to the control group. It was found that the T\_1 group significantly decreased proventriculus in comparison to the control and MOS groups, and also decreased rate of gizzard in comparison with the control, T\_0.5 and T\_2 groups. The heart percentage was significantly lower in the T\_0.5 group than in the control and T\_2 groups. Most supplemented groups, except the MOS group, significantly increased intestinal villi length in comparison to the control one, with the OTC group displaying the greatest effect.

Lymphoid organs such as the spleen, thymus and Fabricius bursa were significantly affected by the dietary supplementations. The MOS group exhibited significantly greater spleen weight than the T\_0.5, T\_2 and OTC groups. The thymus percentage was greater of the control and T\_2 groups than those of the other groups except for

T\_0.5 group. The Fabricius bursa of the T\_1 group was significantly higher than that of only the OTC group.

### Meat quality

Table 4 shows the content of the dry matter, protein, lipids and ash of the meat, as well as the physical traits such as pH, color, WHC and tenderness. These traits were not significantly affected by the different supplementations, but there was a trend ( $P \leq 0.086$ ) for higher CP of T\_1 group than that of MOS group.

### Blood biochemical, liver leakage, antibody titer and red blood cells characteristics

The blood serum biochemical components are shown in Table 5. Different supplements significantly affected serum total protein, globulin, ALKP, ALT, AST, AST/ALT ratio, HI NDV and TAC. It was found that the T\_0.5, MOS and OTC groups had a significant increase in the total protein in

Table 5. Serum biochemical, liver leakage markers, antioxidant indices and red blood cell parameters of broiler chickens fed diets supplemented with different concentrations of turmeric, mannanoligosacchride and oxytetracycline

Criteria	Control	Curcumin g/kg diet			MOS	OTC	SEM	P value
		0.5	1	2				
Serum protein metabolites								
Total protein, g/dL	6.18 <sup>ab</sup>	6.30 <sup>a</sup>	5.73 <sup>c</sup>	5.93 <sup>bc</sup>	6.40 <sup>a</sup>	6.40 <sup>a</sup>	0.066	0.001
Albumin, g/dL	3.30	3.27	3.12	3.12	3.07	3.07	0.103	0.628
Globulin, g/dL	2.88	3.02	2.60	2.80	3.33	3.32	0.143	0.032
Albumin to globulin ratio	1.16	1.083	1.24	1.14	0.928	0.942	0.087	0.051
Liver leakage and antibody markers								
Alkaline phosphatase, U/L	9.25 <sup>c</sup>	10.75 <sup>bc</sup>	12.75 <sup>a</sup>	11.25 <sup>ab</sup>	11.75 <sup>ab</sup>	11.00 <sup>abc</sup>	0.431	0.008
ALT, U/L	63.0 <sup>a</sup>	62.0 <sup>a</sup>	62.3 <sup>a</sup>	61.8 <sup>a</sup>	60.8 <sup>a</sup>	58.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.512	0.001
AST, U/L	54.3 <sup>a</sup>	50.0 <sup>b</sup>	52.3 <sup>ab</sup>	52.0 <sup>ab</sup>	52.3 <sup>ab</sup>	53.3 <sup>ab</sup>	0.731	0.017
AST/ALT ratio	0.862 <sup>ab</sup>	0.806 <sup>b</sup>	0.840 <sup>b</sup>	0.843 <sup>b</sup>	0.863 <sup>ab</sup>	0.909 <sup>a</sup>	0.014	0.003
HINDV, Log <sup>2</sup>	4.37 <sup>b</sup>	5.48 <sup>ab</sup>	6.73 <sup>a</sup>	5.62 <sup>ab</sup>	5.15 <sup>ab</sup>	4.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.325	0.013
Antioxidant indices								
TAC, mmol/l	416 <sup>b</sup>	439 <sup>a</sup>	434 <sup>a</sup>	422 <sup>b</sup>	431 <sup>a</sup>	431 <sup>a</sup>	1.43	0.001
MDA, $\mu$ mol/l	10.8	11.3	11.0	11.3	10.8	11.3	0.471	0.920
Red blood cell parameters								
RBCs, (10 <sup>6</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	1.58	1.70	1.67	1.62	1.72	1.70	0.039	0.071
Hgb, g/dL	11.8 <sup>a</sup>	10.8 <sup>ab</sup>	11.8 <sup>a</sup>	10.8 <sup>ab</sup>	10.3 <sup>b</sup>	11.3 <sup>ab</sup>	0.271	0.004
PCV, %	32.0 <sup>ab</sup>	32.2 <sup>ab</sup>	33.2 <sup>a</sup>	32.8 <sup>a</sup>	31.2 <sup>b</sup>	32.2 <sup>ab</sup>	0.381	0.008
MCV, $\mu$ m <sup>3</sup> /RBC	205 <sup>a</sup>	190 <sup>ab</sup>	200 <sup>a</sup>	200 <sup>a</sup>	181 <sup>b</sup>	190 <sup>ab</sup>	4.65	0.006
MCH, pg	74.4 <sup>a</sup>	63.0 <sup>cd</sup>	70.2 <sup>ab</sup>	65.4 <sup>bcd</sup>	60.0 <sup>d</sup>	67.0 <sup>bc</sup>	1.61	0.001
MCHC, %	36.2 <sup>a</sup>	32.8 <sup>b</sup>	34.8 <sup>ab</sup>	32.6 <sup>b</sup>	32.8 <sup>b</sup>	34.8 <sup>ab</sup>	0.721	0.002

MOS= Mannoligaosacchride; OTC=Oxytetracycline; SEM= Standard error of means; ALT= Alanine amino transferase; AST= Aspartate amino transferase; HINDV=haemagglutination inhibition for new castle disease virus; TAC= Total antioxidant capacity; MAD= Malnodialdehyde; Hgb=Hemoglobin; PCV= Packed cell volume; MCV= Mean cell volume; MCH= Mean cell hemoglobin; MCHC= Mean cell hemoglobin concentration.

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Differences among means within a column within each factor not sharing similar superscripts are significant ( $P < 0.05$ ).

comparison to the other groups except for the control group. The latter group had also greater total protein than T\_1 group. Differences in serum globulin were not significant among different means of groups. ALKP was significantly higher for the groups supplemented with T\_1, T\_2 and MOS in comparison to the control group; the T\_1 group showed the greatest effect and T\_0.5 and OTC exhibited intermediate values.

The antibiotic supplemented group had significantly decreased ALT in comparison to the other groups, while the T\_0.5 group had significantly decreased AST in comparison to only the control group. In addition, the AST/ALT ratio of the turmeric groups was significantly lower than that of the OTC group. HINDV was the highest of T\_1 group while the lowest was from the control and OTC groups. Most of supplemented groups except that T\_2 group had significantly higher TACs than that of the control group, with the T\_0.5 group exhibiting the greatest TAC. There were no significant differences in MAD among the different groups.

The different treatments had a significant effect on most of the hematological traits except for RBCs ( $P \leq 0.071$ ). Hgb, PCV, MCV, MCH and MCHC were the lowest in the MOS group, while Hgb, PCV, MCV of the T\_1 group had the highest values. MCH and MCHC were the highest in the control group but did not significantly differ from the T\_1 group.

## DISCUSSION

The present results indicate that turmeric is a potential source of nutrients, poly-unsaturated fatty acids and antioxidants<sup>(38)</sup>, and T\_1 improved growth performance and the European production efficiency index. This indicates that 1 g/kg turmeric is adequate as an alternative growth promoter that could replace OTC and have a better impact on productive performance than MOS for both FCR and the European production index.

The potential effect of turmeric on growth performance and the production index of broilers are in line with those reported elsewhere<sup>(11,20,39)</sup>. The aforementioned authors concluded that turmeric supplementation at the rate of 1 to 10 g/kg improved growth performance of broiler chickens without adverse effects on mortality. In addition, turmeric supplementation at 5 g/kg feed

improved the growth of chickens exposed to aflatoxins<sup>(40,41)</sup>, and alleviated the negative influences of *Eimeria* infection<sup>(18,19,42)</sup> and of heat stress<sup>(39)</sup>. These potential effects of turmeric could be attributed to its curcuminoids (3 to 5 %, as found in turmeric powder), bisdemethoxy curcumin and demethoxy curcumin, the principle active compounds in turmeric<sup>(43)</sup>. These compounds show a wide spectrum of biological activities including antioxidant, antibacterial, antifungal, antiprotozoal, antiviral, anticoccidial and anti-inflammatory properties, digestion- and absorption-enhancing effects, and protection effects against coccidiosis and toxins<sup>(5,19,44)</sup>. Turmeric also improves liver and bile functions through increased bile secretions, protects the stomach from ulcers and reduces liver toxins. These improvements can enhance digestion, metabolic processes and nutrient utilisation for growth through stimulation of protein synthesis by the chicken enzymatic system<sup>(6,11)</sup>. Turmeric has been observed to enhance the intestinal lipases, amylase, trypsin and chymotrypsin secretions<sup>(45)</sup>. This is similar to our findings regarding the increase in the length and width of villi in the intestinal, which are also similar to other findings<sup>(45)</sup>. Therefore, the improvement in the growth performance due to turmeric supplementation to broilers' diets can be partly attributed to improving the ecology and function of the digestive tract of chickens. On the other hand, turmeric did not show constant effects on growth performance as had been reported<sup>(21)</sup>. No significant positive effect of turmeric powder at between 3.03 and 10 g/kg diet on the growth performance of broiler chickens<sup>(9,12)</sup>. This inconsistency in the reviewed results can be attributed to the different qualities of feed, breeder and age of the broilers, statistical design, doses of turmeric and the sanitary and environmental conditions. The improved production index by 15.6 % due to inclusion of turmeric powder at 1 g/kg is in the range cited in the literature of 1.5 %<sup>(39)</sup> and 11.8 %<sup>(6)</sup> when turmeric was supplemented at 5 g/kg feed.

Turmeric, particularly at 1 g/kg feed, induced adaptive changes in the different body organs. The decreased proventriculus and gizzard and increased intestinal villi length indicated enhanced digestive function that can explain the increased performance, meat protein and somewhat

decrease in meat lipid of the broilers on the T<sub>1</sub> treatment. On the other hand, turmeric at 1 and 2 g/kg diet had no negative effects on carcass traits. Meat quality is an important concept in broiler production nowadays and improved postharvest quality and shelf life is essential<sup>(32)</sup>. The present findings indicate that turmeric is a beneficial feed additive due to phytochemicals, such as curcumin, AR-turmerone, methylcurcumin and other active compounds that could improve carcass quality and reduce spoilage<sup>(1,5)</sup>. This increase in the quality of the carcass traits of broilers could be attributed to its antimicrobial effect, which improves the shelf life of the carcasses<sup>(1,6,11)</sup>.

Despite the absence of a significant effect of turmeric in this study on the relative weight of abdominal fat, liver and intestines, there was a numerical decrease in percentage abdominal fat of 11.7 % and 16.5 % and in liver of 8.5 and 4.7 % due to turmeric supplementation at 1 and 2 g/kg, respectively. The positive effect of turmeric in abdominal fat and liver could be attributed to its negative influence on liver fatty acid synthesis as manifested by an increase in meat CP and the decrease in meat lipid of T<sub>1</sub> group. In literature, liver triacylglycerol and plasma triacylglycerol in the VLDL fraction and liver cholesterol significantly decreased, but the activity of hepatic acyl-CoA oxidase increased<sup>(9,46)</sup>. In addition, turmeric at a rate of 3 g/kg feed reduced the meat fat content and increased the carcass quality of broilers<sup>(11,47,48)</sup>.

In partial agreement with the present results, turmeric supplementation at 5 g/kg feed did not significantly affect percent dressing, liver, gizzard and heart, but significantly increased the proventriculus<sup>(6)</sup>. In addition, turmeric at the same dose significantly increased percent dressing, weight of the breast and thigh, but did not affect percent liver, heart and gizzard<sup>(6)</sup>. In other studies, turmeric supplementation did not significantly affect the weight of the carcass, heart, pancreas or intestine<sup>(9)</sup>, gall bladder<sup>(11)</sup>, the ready-to-cook carcass, liver, pancreas, heart, gizzard, proventriculus, abdominal fat and length of the entire small intestinal, duodenum, jejunum and ileum<sup>(12)</sup>. However, turmeric decreased the abdominal fat and liver percentages<sup>(9,11)</sup> and increased percentage of the entire small intestinal and the ileum weight<sup>(12)</sup>.

The effect of turmeric, MOS and OTC on meat quality are in partial agreement with those reported elsewhere<sup>(11)</sup>, who showed that curcuma

longa did not affect crude protein or extracts of breast and thigh meat as well as organoleptic tests (smell, flavour, colour and tenderness).

Lymphoid organs, antibody level, antioxidant status and blood metabolites are a good markers of health status of the animal. The impact of turmeric concentrations on lymphoid organs indicates that different concentrations of turmeric did not affect spleen, thymus and Fabricius bursa percentages, but MOS increased percent spleen and decreased thymus and did not significantly affect Fabricius bursa and 28-d HINDV titer in comparison with the control and antibiotic groups. In the literature, the inclusion of turmeric powder increased the spleen weight and did not affect the Bursa and thymus weight index<sup>(11)</sup>, spleen and bursa of Fabricius<sup>(9,12)</sup> and relative weight of the spleen, bursa or thymus<sup>(20)</sup>. These results reveal that turmeric is a safe phytogetic feed supplement for chickens and may enhance their immune response as measured by specific antibody titres, as reviewed by others<sup>(5)</sup>.

The changes in serum metabolites indicate that, except for the decrease in serum total protein, turmeric supplementation at different doses did not affect serum albumin, globulin and albumen to globulin and indices of hepatocellular leakage (ALT, AST and AST/ALT). There were, however, numerical decreases in the AST and AST/ALT ratio, which show a potential decrease in hepatocellular leakage markers that could be attributed to the significant increase in TAC (antioxidants index) of broilers fed turmeric supplemented-diets. Similarly, serum ALT and AST were not affected by turmeric powder<sup>(49)</sup>. In addition, turmeric powder at 0.6 and 0.9 alleviated the negative effect of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> on serum total protein, albumin and globulin, boosted antioxidant defence enzymes, e.g. catalase and superoxide dismutase, and decreased MDA<sup>(2)</sup>. The levels of liver enzymes (ALT and ALKP) were substantially reduced by feeding broilers turmeric powder<sup>(22)</sup>. On the other hand, turmeric at 5 g/kg feed did not affect serum total protein, albumin, globulin, ALKP, ALT and AST enzymes<sup>(20)</sup>.

The increase in the Hgb and PCV of broilers supplemented with turmeric at 1 g/kg feed indicates an improvement in health status. This can be attributed to the antioxidant capacity of turmeric and its digestive-enhancing effect that

may improve iron absorption. Similar results were reported by for RBCs<sup>(11)</sup> and for PCV<sup>(12)</sup>. Also, found that turmeric improved the health status of broilers<sup>(20)</sup>. In accordance with the present results, no mortality up to 35 d of age in broilers was observed when turmeric was supplemented at 0.5 % in the broiler diet<sup>(6)</sup>, and less mortality was observed at 0.1 % inclusion<sup>(39)</sup>.

It was found that turmeric at 1 g/kg feed had effects comparable to MOS and OTC on growth, dressing percentage and lymphoid organs, and was better by 8.7 % than MOS for FCR. The lower feed utilization of the MOS group might have been due to their lowest villi length. OTC induced the highest increase in intestinal villi, with no difference in FCR and production index from the turmeric groups. The effect of OTC seems to be related to the anti-inflammatory action of OTC rather than to its antibiotic effect<sup>(26,50,51)</sup>. On the other hand, MOS and OTC increased serum total protein compared to the intermediate and highest turmeric doses. These results indicated that turmeric had antibiotic-like effects due to its antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory effects.

## CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

Turmeric can be used at 1 kg/t feed as a phyto-genic feed additive as an alternative to OTC or MOS without negative effects on the productive and economic traits of broilers. There were no differences from using OTC and MOS, while there was an increase in the European production efficiency index and the broilers' health status.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant G-1436/155/205. The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR for technical and financial support.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Windisch WM, Schedle K, Plitzner C, Kroismayr A. Use of phyto-genic products as feed additives for swine and poultry. J Anim Sci 2008;86:140-148.
2. Ahmadi F. Effect of Turmeric Curcumin longa powder on performance, oxidative stress state and some of blood parameters in broilers fed on diets containing aflatoxin. Global Vet 2010;5:312-317.
3. Attia YA, Zeweil HS, Alsaffar AA, El-Shafy AS. Effect of non-antibiotic feed additives as an alternative to flavomycin on broiler chickens production. Arch Geflügelk 2011;75:40-48.
4. Attia YA, Al-Harathi MA. Nigella seed oil as an alternative to antibiotic growth promoters for broiler Chickens. Europ Poult Sci 2015;79:DOI, 10.1399/eps.2015.80.
5. Eevuri TR, Putturu R. Use of certain herbal preparations in broiler feeds - A review, Vet World 2013;6:172-179.
6. Durrani FR, Ismail M, Sultan A, Suhail SM, Chand N, Durrani Z. Effect of different levels of feed added turmeric *Curcuma longa* on the performance of broiler chicks. J Agric Biol Sci 2006;1:9-11.
7. Nasir Z, Grashorn MA. Echinacea, A potential feed and water additive in poultry and swine production. Arch Geflügelk 2009;73:227-236.
8. Nasir Z, Grashorn MA. Effects of Echinacea purpurea and Nigella sativa supplementation on broiler performance, carcass and meat quality. J Anim Feed Sci 2010;19:94-104.
9. Nouzarian R, Tabeidian SA, Toghyani M, Ghalamkari G, Toghyani M. Effect of turmeric powder on performance, carcass traits, humoral immune responses, and serum metabolites in broiler chickens. J Anim Feed Sci 2011;20:389-400.
10. Kiuchi F, Goto Y, Sugimoto N, Akao N, Kondo K, Tsuda Y. Nematocidal activity of turmeric, Synergistic action of curcuminoids. Chem Pharm Bull 1993;41:1640-1643.
11. Al-Sultan SI. The effect of *Curcuma longa* turmeric on overall performance of broiler chickens. Int J Poult Sci 2003;2:351-353.
12. Sadeghi GH, Karimi A, Padidar JSH, Azizi T, Daneshmand A. Effects of cinnamon, thyme and turmeric infusions on the performance and immune response in of 1-to 21-day-old male broilers. Braz J Poult Sci 2012;14:15-20.
13. Osawa T, Sugiyama Y, Inayoshi M, Kawakisi S. Anti-oxidative activity of tetrahydrocurcuminoids. Biotech Biochem 1995;59:1609-1616.
14. Wuthi-Udomler M, Grisanapan W, Luanratana O, Caichompoo W. Anti-fungal activities of plant extracts. South East Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2000;31:178-182.
15. Alia BH, Marrif H, Noureldayemc SA, Bakheitd AO, Blunden G. Biological properties of curcumin, a review. NPC 2006;1:509-521.
16. Soni, KB, Lahiri M, Chackradeo P, Bhide SV, Kuttan R. Protective effect of food additives on aflatoxin-induced mutagenicity and hepatocarcinogenicity. Cancer Letters 1997;115:129-133.
17. Allen PC, Fetterer RH. Recent advances in biology and immunobiology of Eimeria species and in diagnosis and control of infection with these coccidian parasites of poultry. Clin Microbiol Rev 2002;15:58-65.
18. Lee SH, Lillehoj HS, Jang SI, Kim DK, Ionescu C, Bravo D. Effect of dietary curcuma, capsicum, and lentinus on enhancing local immunity against *Eimeria acervulina* infection. J Poult Sci 2010;47:89-95.
19. Abou-Elkhair R, Ahmed HA, S. Selim S. Effects of black pepper (*Piper nigrum*), turmeric powder (*Curcuma Longa*) and coriander seeds (*Coriandrum sativum*) and their combinations as feed additives on growth performance, carcass traits, some blood parameters and humoral immune response of broiler chickens. Asian-Austral J Anim Sci 2014;27:847-854.
20. Mehala C, Moorthy M. Production performance of broilers fed with aloe vera and *Curcuma longa* (turmeric). Int J Poult Sci 2008;7:852-856.

## TURMERIC AS A PHYTOGENIC GROWTH PROMOTER

21. Emadi M, Kermanshahi H. Effect of turmeric rhizome powder on the activity of some blood enzymes in broiler chickens. *Int J Poult Sci* 2007;6:48-51.
22. Hooge DM. Meat-analysis of broiler chicken pen trials evaluating dietary mannan oligosaccharide 1993-2003. *Int J Poult Sci* 2004;3:163-174.
23. Attia YA, Abd El Hamid EA, Ismaiel AM, El Nagar ASH. The detoxication of nitrate by two antioxidants or a probiotic and the effects on blood and seminal plasma profiles and reproductive function of NZW rabbit bucks. *Anim* 2013;7:591-601.
24. Ogunwole OA, Abu OA, Adepoju IA. Performance and carcass characteristics of broiler finishers fed acidifier based diets. *Pak J Nut* 2011;10:631-636.
25. Khadem A, Soler L, Everaert N, Niewold TA. Growth promotion in broilers by both oxytetracycline and *Macleaya cordata* extract is based on their anti-inflammatory properties. *Br J Nut* 2014;112:1110-1118.
26. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. Official Methods of Analysis 16th ed. 2007.
27. Janssen WM. European table of energy values for poultry feedstuffs, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Wageningen, The Netherlands. 1989.
28. Radwan SS. Coupling of two dimension thin layer chromatography with gas chromatography for the quantitative analysis of lipids glasses and their constituent fatty acids. *J Chromatography Sci* 1978;16:538-542.
29. Blainski A, Lopes GC, De Mello JCP. Application and analysis of the folin ciocalteu method for the determination of the total phenolic content from *Limonium brasiliense* L. *Molecules* 2013;18:6852-6865.
30. Benzie IF, Strain JJ. Ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay, direct measure of total antioxidant activity of biological fluids and modified version for simultaneous measurement of total antioxidant power and ascorbic acid concentration. *Meth Enzymol* 1999;299:15-27.
31. National Research Council, NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th ed. Washington DC: National Academic Press; 1994.
32. Attia YA, El-Tahawy WS, Abd El-Hamid AE, Nizza A, El-Kelway MI, Al-Harhi MA, Bovera F. Effect of feed form, pellet diameter and enzymes supplementation on carcass characteristics, meat quality, blood plasma constituents and stress indicators of broilers. *Archiv Tierzucht* 2014;57:1-14.
33. Attia YA, Abd Al-Hamid AE, Ibrahim MS, Al-Harhi MA, Bovera F, El-Naggar A Sh. Productive performance, biochemical and hematological traits of broiler chicks supplemented with propolis, bee pollen, and mannan oligosaccharides continuously or intermittently. *Livestock Sci* 2014;164:87-95.
34. Snyder DB, Marquardt WW, Mallinson ET, Savage PK, Allen DC. Rapid serological profiling by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. III. Simultaneous measurements of antibody titers to infectious bronchitis, infectious bursal disease, and Newcastle disease viruses in a single serum dilution. *Avian Disease* 1984;28:12-24.
35. Bancroft JD, Layton C, Suvarna SK. Bancroft's theory and practice of histological techniques. 7<sup>th</sup> ed, Churchill Livingstone: Elsevier; 2013.
36. Aptekmann K, Artoni S, Stefanini M, Orsi M. Morphometric analysis of the intestine of domestic quails (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*) treated with different levels of dietary calcium *Anat Histol Embryol* 2001;30:277-280.
37. SAS, Institute. User's guide. Ver 9.2, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. SAS institute Inc. Cary NC. USA. 2009.
38. Gur S, Turgat-Bubk D, Gur N. Antimicrobial activates and some fatty acids of turmeric, ginger root and linseed used in the treatment of infectious diseases. *World J Agric Sci* 2006;2:439-442.
39. Kumar M, Choudhary RS, Vaishnav JK. Effect of supplemental prebiotic, probiotic and turmeric in diet on the performance of broiler chicks during summer. *Ind J Poult Sci* 2005;40:137-141.
40. Yarru LP, Settivari RS, Gowda NKS, Antoniou E, Ledoux DR, Rottinghaus GE. Effects of turmeric *Curcuma longa* on the expression of hepatic genes associated with biotransformation, antioxidant, and immune systems in broiler chicks fed aflatoxin. *Poult Sci* 2009;88:2620-2627.
41. Gowda NKS, Ledoux DR, Rottinghaus GE, Bermudez AJ, Chen YC. Efficacy of turmeric *Curcuma longa*, containing a known level of curcumin, and a hydrated sodium- calcium aluminosilicate to ameliorate the adverse effects of aflatoxin in broiler chicks. *Poult Sci* 2008;87:1125-1130.
42. Abbas RZ, Iqbal Z, Khan MN, Zafar MA, Zia, MA. Anticoccidial activity of *Curcuma longa* L. in broilers. *Braz Arch Biol Technol* 2010;53:63-67.
43. Roughley PJ, Whiting DA. Experiments in the biosynthesis of curcumin. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans* 1973;1:2379-2388.
44. Masuda T, Maekawa T, Hidaka K, Bando H, Takeda Y, Yamaguchi H. Chemical studies on antioxidant mechanism of curcumin, analysis of oxidative coupling products from curcumin and linoleate. *J Agric Food Chem* 2001;49:2539-2547.
45. Rajput N, Muhammah N, Yan R, Zhong X, Wang T. Effect of dietary supplementation of curcumin on growth performance, intestinal morphology and nutrients utilization of broiler chicks. *J Poult Sci* 2012;50:44-52.
46. Asai A, Miyazawa T. Dietary curcuminoid prevent high-fat induced lipid accumulation in the rate liver epididymal adipose tissue. *J Nutr* 2001;131:2932-2935.
47. Samarasinghe K, Wenk C, Silva KSFT, Gunasekera JMDM. Turmeric *Curcuma longa* root powder and mannanoligosaccharides as alternatives to antibiotics in broiler chicken diets. *Asian-Aust J Anim Sci* 2003;16:1495-1500.
48. Emadi M, Kermanshahi H. Effect of turmeric rhizome powder on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *Int J Poult Sci* 2006;5:1069-1072.
49. Reddy T. Effect of herbal preparations on the promoters. Poultry fortune performance of broilers [M.V.Sc., thesis]. Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Tirupathi. India. 2010.
50. Dibner JJ, Richards JD. Antibiotic growth promoters in agriculture, history and mode of action. *Poult Sci* 2005;84:634-643.
51. Niewold TA. The non-antibiotic anti-inflammatory effect of antimicrobial growth promoters, the real mode of action? A hypothesis. *Poult Sci* 2007;86:605-609.