

# Estimadores de parámetros genéticos para características de crecimiento predestete de bovinos. Revisión

## Estimates of genetic parameters for preweaning growth traits of cattle. Review

Ángel Ríos Utrera

### RESUMEN

En la presente revisión, se presentan 2,689 estimadores reportados en la literatura para cinco parámetros genéticos y tres características de crecimiento de bovinos. Estos estimadores provinieron de 89 grupos raciales localizados en 38 países. La media, el rango y el número de estimadores fueron calculados para cada parámetro genético por característica. Trescientos treinta y siete (337) artículos publicados en la literatura fueron usados para esta revisión. Para peso al destete, las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa, heredabilidad materna, correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos, y heredabilidad total fueron: 0.27, 0.17, -0.23 y 0.25. Los estimadores de parámetros genéticos variaron grandemente para cada característica. Los rangos de los estimadores fueron de: -0.01 a 0.95, 0.00 a 0.76, -1.00 a 1.00, y de 0.01 a 0.81, respectivamente. Para peso al destete, las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad total para Angus, Charolais, Brahman, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore y Simmental fueron, en general, similares. Para peso al destete, la media de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa obtenidos mediante el método de correlación entre medios hermanos paternos tendió a ser más grande que las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa obtenidos con modelos animal, semental y semental-abuelo materno. Las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa y de heredabilidad materna indican que el genotipo del becerro fue más importante que el genotipo de la vaca para determinar características de crecimiento predestete. Sin embargo, las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad total confirman que la selección podría ser efectiva para mejorar dichas características.

**PALABRAS CLAVE:** Correlación genética, Heredabilidad directa, Heredabilidad materna, Heredabilidad total, Peso al nacimiento, Peso al destete, Bovinos.

### ABSTRACT

Two-thousand six-hundred eighty-nine (2,689) estimates published for five genetic parameters and three growth traits of cattle are presented. Those estimates arose from 89 breed groups located in 38 countries. The mean, the range and the number of the estimates were calculated for each genetic parameter and trait. Three-hundred thirty-seven (337) papers published in the literature were used for this review. For weaning weight, the means of the estimates of direct and maternal heritability, direct-maternal genetic correlation, and total heritability were: 0.27, 0.17, -0.23 and 0.25, respectively. Estimates of genetic parameters varied greatly for each trait. The ranges of the estimates were from: -0.01 to 0.95, 0.00 to 0.76, -1.00 to 1.00, and from 0.01 to 0.81, respectively. For weaning weight, the means of the estimates of total heritability for Angus, Brahman, Charolais, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore and Simmental were, in general, similar. For weaning weight, the mean of the estimates of direct heritability obtained with paternal half-sib analyses tended to be greater than the means of the estimates of direct heritability obtained with animal, sire, and sire-maternal grand sire models. The means of estimates of direct and maternal heritability indicate that the genotype of the calf was more important than the genotype of the dam to determine preweaning growth traits. However, the means of the estimates of total heritability confirm that selection would be effective to improve preweaning growth traits.

**KEY WORDS:** Direct heritability, Maternal heritability, Total heritability, Genetic correlation, Birth weight, Weaning weight, Cattle.

---

Recibido el 11 de enero de 2007 y aceptado para su publicación el 22 de julio de 2007.

a Campo Experimental La Posta. (INIFAP). km 22.5 Carretera Veracruz-Córdoba, 94277, Paso del Toro, Ver., Teléfono: 01 (229) 934-7738. ariosu@hotmail.com

## INTRODUCCIÓN

Extensas revisiones bibliográficas de estimadores de parámetros genéticos para características de crecimiento de ganado bovino han sido realizadas alrededor del mundo por varios investigadores. En una amplia revisión, Mercadante *et al*<sup>(1)</sup> presentaron estimadores individuales de parámetros genéticos junto con sus medias ponderadas para características de crecimiento pre y posdestete de ganado bovino. Sin embargo, los estimadores reportados por estos investigadores fueron solamente para razas *Bos indicus* o Cebú. Por otro lado, la revisión realizada por Davis<sup>(2)</sup> incluyó estimadores de parámetros genéticos para razas tropicales *Bos taurus* y *Bos indicus*, pero los estimadores fueron para ganado criado en el norte de Australia. En un estudio previo, cuyo objetivo fue estimar parámetros genéticos para características de crecimiento de ganado australiano para producción de carne, Meyer<sup>(3)</sup> resumió estimadores de parámetros genéticos adicionales (e.g., la covarianza entre efectos genéticos directos y maternos como proporción de la varianza fenotípica) publicados en la literatura para características de crecimiento. Sin embargo, las fuentes de información (artículos), así como el número de estimadores, fueron limitados porque el propósito de su breve revisión fue comparar los estimadores obtenidos en su evaluación genética con estimadores de parámetros genéticos publicados en la literatura.

Los análisis de los estimadores de parámetros genéticos y fenotípicos publicados en la literatura realizados por Mohiuddin<sup>(4)</sup> y Koots *et al*<sup>(5)</sup> fueron para una amplia variedad de razas y características económicamente importantes. Sin embargo, la información publicada de estimadores de parámetros genéticos ha aumentado considerablemente en los últimos trece años para crecimiento predestete y otras características debido al aumento de la capacidad y eficiencia de las computadoras, permitiendo el uso de nuevos algoritmos. La presente revisión de literatura fue realizada para 1) actualizar estimadores individuales de parámetros genéticos para características de crecimiento predestete de bovinos y 2) reportar medias totales y rangos, así como también medias marginales de dichos

## INTRODUCTION

Comprehensive reviews of estimates of genetic parameters for growth traits of cattle have been carried out around the world by several researchers. In an extensive review, Mercadante *et al*<sup>(1)</sup> presented individual estimates of genetic parameters along with their weighted means for pre- and post-weaning growth traits of cattle. However, reported estimates by those researchers were for *Bos indicus* or Zebu breeds only. On the other hand, the review by Davis<sup>(2)</sup> included estimates of genetic parameters for tropical *B. indicus* and *B. taurus* breeds, but estimates were for cattle reared in Northern Australia. In a previous study, whose objective was to estimate genetic parameters for growth traits of Australian beef cattle, Meyer<sup>(3)</sup> summarized estimates of additional genetic parameters (e.g., direct-maternal covariance as a proportion of phenotypic variance) published in the literature for growth traits. Sources of information (papers) as well as the number of estimates, however, were limited because the purpose of her brief review was to compare estimates obtained in her genetic evaluation with published estimates of genetic parameters.

The analyses of published estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters carried out by Mohiuddin<sup>(4)</sup> and Koots *et al*<sup>(5)</sup> were for a broad spectrum of beef breeds and economically important traits. However, published information on estimates of genetic parameters has increased considerably in the last thirteen years for preweaning growth and other traits due to increased capacity and efficiency of computers, allowing the use of newer algorithms. The present review of literature was conducted 1) to update individual estimates of genetic parameters for preweaning growth traits of cattle, and 2) to report overall means and ranges as well as marginal means of estimates of such genetic parameters, by breed of cattle and by statistical model, to investigate possible differences in mean estimates between breeds and between models.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three-hundred thirty-seven (337) papers published in the scientific literature from 1946 to 2006, that

estimadores, por raza bovina y por modelo estadístico, para investigar posibles diferencias en estimadores promedio entre razas y entre modelos.

## MATERIALES Y MÉTODOS

Se utilizaron 337 artículos publicados en la literatura científica de 1946 a 2006, que reportaron estimadores de parámetros genéticos para características de crecimiento predestete de bovinos. Las características de crecimiento predestete fueron peso al nacimiento, peso al destete y ganancia diaria de peso, mientras que los parámetros genéticos analizados fueron: heredabilidad directa, heredabilidad materna, correlación entre efectos genéticos directos y maternos, heredabilidad total, y varianza del ambiente materno permanente como proporción de la varianza fenotípica. El número, la media no ponderada y el rango de los estimadores de cada parámetro genético se calcularon para cada una de las tres características de crecimiento predestete. Además, para peso al nacimiento y peso al destete, la media no ponderada de los estimadores de cada parámetro genético fue calculada por raza, para las razas (Angus, Brahman, Charolais, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore y Simmental) con más estimadores de parámetros genéticos. De modo similar, las medias no ponderadas de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para peso al nacimiento y para peso al destete fueron calculadas por modelo estadístico, para los modelos (animal, semental y semental-abuelo materno) con más estimadores de heredabilidad directa.

Los errores estándar de muchos estimadores no se reportaron en varios de los 337 artículos científicos revisados. Además, diferentes métodos de estimación se usaron para estimar los parámetros genéticos. Ha sido reportado<sup>(5)</sup> que medias ponderadas y no ponderadas de estimadores de parámetros genéticos son similares para peso al nacimiento, peso al destete y ganancia diaria de peso. Por lo tanto, las medias ponderadas de los estimadores de los parámetros genéticos no se calcularon. Cuando los estimadores de heredabilidad total no fueron reportados en los artículos científicos revisados, los estimadores de heredabilidad total ( $h_t^2$ ) se calcularon a partir de la heredabilidad directa ( $h_d^2$ ), la heredabilidad

reported estimates of genetic parameters for preweaning growth traits of cattle, were used for this review. Preweaning growth traits were birth weight, weaning weight and average daily gain, while reviewed genetic parameters were direct heritability, maternal heritability, genetic correlation between direct and maternal effects, total heritability, and maternal permanent environmental variance as a proportion of phenotypic variance. The number, the unweighted mean and the range of the estimates of each genetic parameter were calculated within each of the three preweaning growth traits. In addition, the unweighted mean of the estimates of each genetic parameter for birth weight and weaning weight was calculated by breed, for the breeds (Angus, Brahman, Charolais, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore and Simmental) with the most estimates of genetic parameters. Similarly, the unweighted means of the estimates of direct heritability for birth weight and for weaning weight were calculated by statistical model, for animal, sire, and sire-maternal grand sire models, to investigate if estimates of direct heritability obtained with different procedures are comparable.

Standard errors for many estimates were not reported in several of the 337 papers reviewed. In addition, several different methods of estimation were used to estimate genetic parameters. It has been reported<sup>(5)</sup> that weighted and unweighted means of estimates of genetic parameters are similar for birth weight, weaning weight and average daily gain. Therefore, weighted means of the estimates of genetic parameters were not calculated. When estimates of total heritability were not reported in reviewed papers, estimates of total heritability ( $h_t^2$ ) were estimated from direct heritability ( $h_d^2$ ), maternal heritability ( $h_m^2$ ), and direct-maternal genetic correlation ( $r_{dm}$ ) with the following formula:

$$h_t^2 = h_d^2 + 0.5h_m^2 + 1.5r_{dm} h_d h_m .$$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 contains individual estimates of direct heritability, maternal heritability, genetic correlation between direct and maternal effects, fraction of phenotypic variance due to maternal permanent environmental effects, and total heritability,

materna ( $h_m^2$ ) y la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos ( $r_{dm}$ ), usando la siguiente fórmula:  $h_t^2 = h_d^2 + 0.5h_m^2 + 1.5r_{dm}h_dh_m$ .

**RESULTADOS Y DISCUSIÓN**

El Cuadro 1 contiene estimadores individuales de heredabilidad directa, heredabilidad materna, correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos, fracción de la varianza fenotípica debida a efectos del ambiente materno permanente y heredabilidad total, paralelamente con información del autor, modelo estadístico, método de estimación, grupo racial y país, para peso al nacimiento, peso

according to author, model, method of estimation, breed group and country information, for birth weight, weaning weight and average daily gain. The number, the unweighted mean and the range (minimum and maximum value) of estimates of each genetic parameter within growth trait also are shown in Table 1, at the end of the table. A total of 2,689 individual estimates are presented in this table. Those estimates arose from 89 breed groups located in 38 countries.

Authors repeated two or more times in Table 1 reported estimates of genetic parameters for different categories of a factor. For example, estimates for a

Cuadro 1. Estimadores de heredabilidad directa ( $h_d^2$ ), heredabilidad materna ( $h_m^2$ ), correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos ( $r_{dm}$ ), fracción de la varianza fenotípica debida a efectos del ambiente materno permanente ( $c^2$ ), y heredabilidad total ( $h_t^2$ ) publicados en la literatura científica para características de crecimiento predestete de bovinos

Table 1. Published estimates of direct heritability ( $h_d^2$ ), maternal heritability ( $h_m^2$ ), direct-maternal genetic correlation ( $r_{dm}$ ), fraction of phenotypic variance due to maternal permanent environmental effects ( $c^2$ ), and total heritability ( $h_t^2$ ) for preweaning growth traits of cattle

Author	Birth weight					Weaning weight					Average daily gain					Model <sup>a</sup>	Method <sup>b</sup>	Breed group <sup>c</sup>	Country <sup>d</sup>
	$h_d^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_t^2$	$h_d^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_t^2$	$h_d^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_t^2$				
Meyer (3)	0.41	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.46	0.14	0.13	-0.59	0.23	0.08						AM	DFREML	HE	AUS
Meyer (3)	0.36	0.07	0.29	0.03	0.46	0.20	0.14	0.22	0.04	0.32						AM	DFREML	AN	AUS
Meyer (3)						0.58	0.36		0.11	0.23						AM	DFREML	AX,AXBX	AUS
Rosales-Alday et al. (6)	0.05	0.09				0.23	0.11									SD	AIREML	SI, BR,SB	MEX
Rosales-Alday et al. (6)	0.84					0.63	0.33									SD	AIREML	SI, BR,SB	MEX
Rosales-Alday et al. (6)	0.03	0.15				0.22	0.17									SD	AIREML	SI, BR,SB	MEX
Gregory et al. (7)	0.45					0.26					0.00					PHS	---	HE	USA
Gregory et al. (7)	1.00					0.52					0.45					PHS	---	HE	USA
Ríos-Utrera et al. (8)	0.22	0.16	-0.65		0.12	0.33	0.17	-0.72	0.04	0.16						AM	AIREML	CH	MEX
Martínez and Galíndez (9)	0.31	0.09	0.16		0.40	0.17	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.25						AM	DFREML	BR	VEN
Carter et al. (10)	0.40					0.22					0.16					SM	REML	AN	NZ
Eriksson et al. (11)	0.48	0.11	-0.34		0.42											AM	AIREML	CH	SWE
Eriksson et al. (11)	0.51	0.12	-0.39		0.43											AM	AIREML	CH	SWE
Eriksson et al. (11)	0.51	0.06	-0.27		0.47											AM	AIREML	HE	SWE
Eriksson et al. (11)	0.50	0.07	-0.31		0.45											AM	AIREML	HE	SWE
Mackinnon et al. (12)	0.61	0.11	0.01		0.67	0.20	0.32	0.00		0.36						AM	DFREML	AX,AXBX	AUS
Knights et al. (13)	0.70					0.46										SM	REML	AN	USA
Martínez et al. (14)	0.17	0.01	-0.89	0.04	0.17	0.21	0.05	-0.13	0.04	0.24						AM	DFREML	CT	COL
Nelsen et al. (15)	0.36	0.61	-0.42													PHS	---	HE	USA
Nelsen et al. (15)	0.36	1.02	-0.60													PHS	---	HE	USA
Nelsen et al. (15)	0.26															OSR	---	HE	USA
Nelsen et al. (15)	0.47															ODR	---	HE	USA
Nelsen et al. (15)	0.32															OMR	---	HE	USA
Pico et al. (16)	0.28	0.11	-0.36		0.24	0.14	0.06		0.07							AM	AIREML	BR	SAF
Elzo et al. (17)	0.26	0.29	-0.16		0.34	0.10	0.11	-0.48		0.13						SMGS	EMREML	SM	COL
Elzo et al. (17)	0.30	0.26	-0.31		0.30	0.08	0.10	-0.53		0.11						SMGS	EMREML	ZE	COL
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (18)	0.31	0.34				0.48	0.00									AM	DFREML	AN	MEX
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (18)	0.32	0.33				0.45	0.00									AM	DFREML	AN	MEX
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (18)	0.33	0.33				0.45	0.01									AM	DFREML	AN	MEX
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (18)	0.06	0.03				0.09	0.07									AM	DFREML	TC	MEX
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (18)	0.07	0.02				0.10	0.08									AM	DFREML	TC	MEX
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (18)	0.06	0.03				0.12	0.12									AM	DFREML	TC	MEX
Kriese et al. (19)	0.22	0.55	-0.53		0.22	0.21	0.21	-0.06		0.30						SMGS	PEA	BM	USA
Kriese et al. (19)	0.34	0.26	-0.58		0.21	0.25	0.18	-0.43		0.20						SMGS	PEA	SG	USA
Kriese et al. (19)	0.37	0.18	-0.15		0.40	0.23	0.16	0.15		0.27						SMGS	PEA	BR	USA
Kriese et al. (19)	0.28	0.12	-0.52		0.20	0.21	0.15	-0.23		0.22						SMGS	PEA	BA	USA
Cantet et al. (20)	0.16	0.18	-1.03		-0.01	0.31	0.33	-0.79		0.10						---	---	HE	USA
Cantet et al. (20)	0.27	0.63	-0.86		0.05	0.26	0.67	-0.63		0.20						---	---	HE	USA
Cantet et al. (20)	0.18	0.21	-1.05		-0.02	0.32	0.27	-0.57		0.20						---	---	HE	USA
Naazie et al. (21)	0.40															SM	REML	MB	CAN
Migose et al. (22)	0.05	0.05	0.99		0.15						0.08	0.05	-0.43		0.06	AM	DFREML	SA	KEN
Snelling et al. (23)	0.30	0.14	0.13	0.01	0.41	0.17	0.23	-0.08	0.14	0.26						AM	DFREML	HE	USA
Snelling et al. (23)	0.51	0.12	0.04	0.03	0.58	0.20	0.11	0.13	0.26	0.28						AM	DFREML	HE	USA

PARÁMETROS GENÉTICOS PARA CRECIMIENTO PREDESTETE DE BOVINOS

Author	Birth weight					Weaning weight					Average daily gain					Model <sup>a</sup>	Method <sup>b</sup>	Breed group <sup>c</sup>	Country <sup>d</sup>
	$h_a^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_r^2$	$h_a^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_r^2$	$h_a^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_r^2$				
Snelling et al. (23)	0.55	0.03	-0.14	0.09	0.54	0.19	0.17	-0.22	0.10	0.22						AM	DFREML	HE	USA
Snelling et al. (23)	0.50	0.14	0.03	0.02	0.58	0.30	0.21	-0.19	0.21	0.33						AM	DFREML	HE	USA
Snelling et al. (23)	0.49	0.18	-0.27	0.04	0.46	0.24	0.23	-0.28	0.02	0.26						AM	DFREML	HE	USA
Plasse et al. (24)	0.42	0.07	0.06	0.01	0.47	0.13	0.14	0.28	0.09	0.25						AM	DFREML	BR	VEN
Ribeiro et al. (25)	0.16	0.09	-0.39	0.75	0.13	0.13	0.10	-0.53	0.67	0.09						AM	DFREML	SG	BRA
Hetzel et al. (26)	0.47	0.05		0.07	0.49	0.14	0.29		0.06	0.29	0.11	0.33		0.06	0.27	SDMGS	---	AX	AUS
Hetzel et al. (26)	0.23	0.03		0.18	0.24	0.20	0.12		0.19	0.26	0.21	0.15		0.15	0.29	SDMGS	---	HS	AUS
Hetzel et al. (26)	0.45	0.14		0.00	0.52	0.12	0.08		0.21	0.16	0.11	0.09		0.20	0.15	SDMGS	---	BX	AUS
Tosh et al. (27)	0.34	0.13	0.67	0.00	0.67	0.21	0.10	1.00	0.11	0.48						AM	DFREML	CSL	CAN
Grotheer et al. (28)	0.38	0.16	-0.49		0.28	0.25	0.21	-0.54		0.17						AM	DFREML	CH	GER
Grotheer et al. (28)	0.24			0.00		0.31			0.12							AM	DFREML	AN	GER
Marcondes et al. (29)	0.24	0.11	0.22	0.00	0.35											AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Norris et al. (30)	0.36	0.13	-0.43	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.16	-0.52	0.17	0.20						AM	AGREML	NG	SAF
Corbet et al. (31)	0.23	0.10	-0.09	0.00	0.26	0.14	0.19	-0.21	0.16	0.18	0.13	0.18	-0.12	0.17	0.19	AM	AIREML	MBC	SAF
Andries et al. (32)	0.69	0.21	-0.14		0.72	0.61	0.13	0.00		0.68						AM	DFREML	MBC	USA
Maiwashe et al. (33)	0.32	0.13	-0.44	0.09	0.25	0.25	0.18	-0.54	0.12	0.17						AM	AIREML	BN	SAF
Trus and Wilton (34)	0.37	0.13	-0.34		0.32											SMGS	MHM4	AN	CAN
Trus and Wilton (34)	0.39	0.13	-0.39		0.32											SMGS	MHM4	HE	CAN
Trus and Wilton (34)	0.27	0.20	0.55		0.56											SMGS	MHM4	SH	CAN
Trus and Wilton (34)	0.42	0.17	-0.39		0.35											SMGS	MHM4	CH	CAN
Trus and Wilton (34)	0.34	0.20	-0.22		0.36											SMGS	MHM4	SI	CAN
Ferreira et al. (35)	0.35	0.14	-0.05	0.04	0.40	0.18	0.17	-0.34	0.18	0.18						AM	DFREML	HE	USA
Lee and Bertrand (36)	0.55	0.17	-0.42		0.44	0.25	0.23	-0.36	0.18	0.24						AM	EMREML	HE	USA
Lee and Bertrand (36)	0.56	0.20	-0.49		0.41	0.22	0.19	-0.40	0.15	0.19						AM	EMREML	HE	USA
Lee and Bertrand (36)						0.21	0.19	-0.50	0.17	0.16						AM	EMREML	HE	USA
Lee and Bertrand (36)	0.54	0.16	-0.29		0.49	0.23	0.21	-0.44	0.19	0.19						AM	EMREML	HE	CAN
Lee and Bertrand (36)	0.55	0.21	-0.40		0.45	0.21	0.19	-0.41	0.17	0.18						AM	EMREML	HE	CAN
Lee and Bertrand (36)						0.20	0.16	-0.36	0.22	0.18						AM	EMREML	HE	CAN
Lee and Bertrand (36)	0.51	0.19	-0.51		0.37	0.18	0.19	-0.37	0.17	0.17						AM	EMREML	HE	ARG
Lee and Bertrand (36)	0.57	0.18	-0.46		0.44	0.16	0.16	-0.31	0.16	0.17						AM	EMREML	HE	ARG
Lee and Bertrand (36)						0.18	0.15	-0.43	0.20	0.15						AM	EMREML	HE	ARG
Lee and Bertrand (36)						0.18	0.16	-0.43	0.16	0.15						AM	EMREML	HE	URU
Lee and Bertrand (36)						0.21	0.17	-0.50	0.17	0.15						AM	EMREML	HE	URU
Lee and Bertrand (36)						0.21	0.17	-0.52	0.18	0.15						AM	EMREML	HE	URU
Mwansa et al. (37)	0.48	0.11	-0.09		0.50	0.19	0.18	-0.42		0.16						AM	DFREML	HE	CAN
Brown et al. (38)	0.42	0.22	-0.12		0.48	0.63	0.16	-0.36		0.54	0.57	0.15	-0.32		0.50	SDMGS	REML	AN	USA
Brown et al. (38)	0.58	0.22	-0.13		0.62	0.66	0.43	-0.08		0.81	0.58	0.39	-0.05		0.74	SDMGS	REML	HE	USA
Swiger et al. (39)	0.30					-0.01					-0.02					PHS	HM2	MBC	USA
Swiger et al. (39)	0.37					0.20					0.14					PHS	HM2	MBC	USA
Quintanilla et al. (40)						0.33										SMGS	AIREML	CH	FRA
Quintanilla et al. (40)						0.26										SMGS	AIREML	CH	FRA
Quintanilla et al. (40)						0.30										SMGS	AIREML	CH	FRA
Quintanilla et al. (40)						0.33										SMGS	AIREML	CH	FRA
Quintanilla et al. (40)						0.34										SMGS	AIREML	CH	IRL
Quintanilla et al. (40)						0.95										SMGS	AIREML	CH	ITA
De Mattos et al. (41)						0.21	0.15	-0.36	0.14	0.19						AM	EMREML	HE	USA
De Mattos et al. (41)						0.21	0.17	-0.41	0.14	0.18						AM	EMREML	HE	USA
De Mattos et al. (41)						0.18	0.17	-0.31	0.19	0.18						AM	EMREML	HE	CAN
De Mattos et al. (41)						0.17	0.17	-0.33	0.19	0.17						AM	EMREML	HE	CAN
De Mattos et al. (41)						0.22	0.15	-0.48	0.14	0.16						AM	EMREML	HE	URU
De Mattos et al. (41)						0.19	0.16	-0.51	0.17	0.14						AM	EMREML	HE	URU
Cabrera et al. (42)						0.25	0.10	-0.20	0.17	0.25						AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Fahmy and Lalande (43)	0.21					0.35										PHS	---	SH	CAN
Fahmy and Lalande (43)	0.21					0.13										ODR	---	SH	CAN
Wasike et al. (44)	0.36					0.40										AM	AIREML	BO	KEN
Gutierrez et al. (45)	0.40	0.22	-0.35		0.35	0.43	0.12	-0.40		0.35	0.32	0.01	-0.73		0.26	AM	DFREML	AV	SPA
Gutierrez et al. (45)	0.34	0.21	-0.27		0.34	0.31	0.09	-0.20		0.30	0.23	0.01	-0.52		0.20	AM	DFREML	AV	SPA
Carolino et al. (46)	0.56	0.17	-0.79		0.28	0.50	0.21	-0.81		0.21						AM	DFREML	AL	POR
Gengler et al. (47)						0.55										AM	DFREML	BB	BEL
Northcutt and Wilson (48)	0.16					0.62										SM	DFREML	AN	USA
Pang et al. (49)	0.65	0.19	-0.11		0.69	0.07	0.76	-1.00		0.10	0.07	0.70	-0.27		0.33	AM	DFREML	HE	CAN
Pang et al. (49)	0.71	0.36	-0.54		0.48	0.15	0.29	0.05		0.32	0.14	0.49	-0.53		0.18	AM	DFREML	SY1	CAN
Pang et al. (49)	0.26	0.23	0.20		0.45	0.09	0.36	-0.71		0.08	0.20	0.53	-0.28		0.35	AM	DFREML	DS	CAN
Pang et al. (49)	0.16	0.17	-0.99		0.04	0.11	0.48	-0.99		0.01	0.25	0.09	-0.98		0.07	AM	DFREML	DM	CAN
Diop et al. (50)	0.08	0.03	-0.17	0.04	0.08	0.20	0.21	-0.58	0.15	0.13						AM	AIREML	GO	SEN
Gutierrez et al. (51)	0.32	0.13				0.60	0.30	-0.73		0.29	0.49	0.37	-0.87		0.12	AM	DFREML	AV	SPA
Ribeiro et al. (52)						0.16	0.36	-0.70		0.09						AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Hohenboken and Brinks (53)						0.23	0.34	-0.28		0.28						---	---	HE	USA
Hohenboken and Brinks (53)						0.27	0.40	-0.28		0.34						---	---	HE	USA
Wright et al. (54)						0.21	0.47	-0.57		0.18						SDMGS	REML	SE	USA
Fridrich et al. (55)						0.02	0.31	1.00		0.29						AM	DFREML	TA	BRA
Fridrich et al. (55)						0.17	0.19	-0.18		0.22						AM	DFREML	TA	BRA
Fridrich et al. (55)						0.20	0.09	0.00		0.25						AM	DFREML	TA	BRA
Fridrich et al. (55)						0.06	0.16	0.00		0.14						AM	DFREML	TA	BRA
Rasali et al. (56)	0.51	0.10	-0.09		0.53	0.70	0.20	-0.61		0.46						AM	GIBBS	AN	CAN
Rasali et al. (56)	0.45	0.18	-0.08		0.51	0.70	0.24	-0.30		0.64						AM	DFREML	AN	CAN
Nephawe et al. (57)	0.30	0.05	-0.33	0.04	0.27	0.10	0.26	0.05		0.24						AM	AGREML	BN	SAF
Nephawe et al. (57)	0.26	0.09	-0.41	0.03	0.22	0.11	0.18	-0.20		0.16						AM	AGREML	BN	SAF
Nephawe et al. (57)	0.44	0.08	-0.30	0.04	0.42	0.07	0.24	0.09		0.20						AM	AGREML	BN	SAF
Nephawe et al. (57)	0.30	0.09	-0.26	0.03	0.29	0.11	0.18	0.11		0.23						AM	AGREML	BN	SAF
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (58)	0.61	0.06	-0.08	0.15	0.62	0.41	0.22	0.38	0.08	0.69						AM	DFREML	MB	USA
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (58)	0.51	0.18	-0.01	0.02	0.60	0.46	0.30	0.03	0.04	0.63						AM	DFREML	MC	USA
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (58)	0.47	0.20	0.12	0.10	0.63	0.37	0.19	0.30	0.14	0.58						AM	DFREML	MF1	USA
Núñez-Domínguez et al. (58)	0.40	0.19	0.27	0.11	0.61	0.34	0.22	0.17	0.11	0.52						AM	DFREML	MF1	USA
Ishida and Mukai (59)	0.22	0.38	-0.18		0.33	0.25	0.10	0.89		0.51	0.24	0.19	0.55		0.16	AM	AIREML	JB	JPN
Crews and Kemp (60)	0.28	0.21	-0.55	0.09	0.18	0.16	0.40	-0.37	0.02	0.22	0.12	0.22	-0.95	0.28					

Author	Birth weight					Weaning weight					Average daily gain					Model <sup>a</sup>	Method <sup>b</sup>	Breed group <sup>c</sup>	Country <sup>d</sup>
	$h_a^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$	$h_a^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$	$h_a^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$				
DeNise et al. (70)	0.18					0.33					0.32					PHS	---	HE	USA
DeNise et al. (70)	0.20					0.38					0.37					PHS	---	HE	USA
Shibata and Kumazaki (71)	0.74					0.36					0.42					PHS	---	JBR	JPN
Salgado and Franke (72)	0.36	0.14	0.25		0.51	0.54	0.27	-0.37		0.46	0.55	0.31	-0.48		0.41	AM	DFREML	MBC	USA
Magaña et al. (73)	0.42	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.47	0.46	0.17	-0.59	0.11	0.30	0.60	0.23	-0.89	0.17	0.22	AM	DFREML	BR	MEX
Sapp et al. (74)	0.39	0.09	-0.13		0.40						0.27	0.14	-0.35		0.24	AM	GIBBS	GX	USA
Roso et al. (75)											0.32	0.20	-0.63		0.18	AM	AIREML	MBC	CAN
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.19	0.05	0.01	0.09	0.21	AM	DFREML	SI	SWE
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.22	0.09	-0.26	0.08	0.21	AM	DFREML	SI	SWE
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.18	0.11	-0.49	0.16	0.13	AM	DFREML	CH	SWE
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.29	0.13	-0.51	0.14	0.20	AM	DFREML	CH	SWE
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.10	0.18	-0.48	0.30	0.09	AM	DFREML	HE	SWE
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.18	0.15	-0.48	0.27	0.14	AM	DFREML	HE	SWE
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.18	0.24	-0.54	0.13	0.13	AM	DFREML	LI	SWE
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.32	0.31	-0.54	0.03	0.22	AM	DFREML	LI	SWE
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.30	0.10	-0.22	0.19	0.30	AM	DFREML	AN	SWE
Stålhammar and Philipsson (76)											0.16	0.13	-0.17	0.11	0.19	AM	DFREML	AN	SWE
Deese and Koger (77)											0.18	0.15	0.00	0.08	0.25	---	---	BR	USA
Deese and Koger (77)											0.40	0.46	-0.72	0.07	0.17	---	---	SH-BR	USA
Gusso et al. (78)											0.40	0.11	-0.79	0.17	0.21	AM	DFREML	HE	BRA
Eriksson et al. (79)											0.31	0.17	-0.51	0.22	0.22	AM	AIREML	CH	SWE
Eriksson et al. (79)											0.41	0.21	-0.32	0.37	0.37	AM	AIREML	HE	SWE
Eriksson et al. (79)											0.34	0.12	-0.63	0.21	0.21	AM	AIREML	SI	SWE
Cardoso et al. (80)	0.29			0.05	0.29						0.25	0.16	-0.51	0.12	0.18	AM	DFREML	AN	BRA
Shi et al. (81)	0.31	0.08	-0.40	0.05	0.26	0.26	0.13	-0.24	0.08	0.26	0.25	0.13	-0.25	0.09	0.25	SDMGS	THA	LI	FRA
Fernandes et al. (82)	0.54	0.12	-0.57		0.38						0.12	0.05	-0.05		0.14	AM	DFREML	CH	BRA
Gunski et al. (83)						0.26	0.10	-0.24	0.09	0.25	0.25	0.08	-0.36	0.06	0.21	AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Prayaga and Henshall (84)	0.38	0.17		0.46		0.23	0.12		0.19	0.29	0.19	0.11		0.22	0.24	AM	AIREML	MBC	AUS
Johnston et al. (85)	0.25					0.09					SM	REML				CH	CAN		
Demeke et al. (86)	0.14	0.07	0.47		0.25	0.08	0.04		0.09		AM	AIREML			0.08	MBC	ETH		
Simonelli et al. (87)											0.20	0.06	-0.24		0.19	AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Miller et al. (88)	0.48	0.21	-0.20		0.49						0.32	0.26	-0.20		0.36	AM	---	MBC	CAN
Aaron et al. (89)	0.32					0.42					PHS	HM3				SG	USA		
Abdullah and Olutogun (90)	0.27										SM	REML				ND	NGR		
Ahunu et al. (91)	0.45			0.45		0.38	0.32	-0.29		0.39	AM	DFREML				MBC	GHA		
Albuquerque and Meyer (92)	0.28	0.01		0.03	0.29						AM	AIREML				NE	BRA		
Albuquerque and Meyer (93)	0.32	0.02				0.20					RRAM	REML				NE	BRA		
Alenda and Martin (94)	0.51					0.30					PHS	---				AN	USA		
Alenda and Martin (94)	0.41					0.21					PHS	---				AN	USA		
Andrade et al. (95)						0.24					PHS	---				GU	BRA		
Ap Dewi et al. (96)						0.15	0.07		0.18	0.19	AM	DFREML				WB	UK		
Armstrong et al. (97)	0.48	0.21	-0.20		0.49						AM	REML				MBC	CAN		
Arnason and Kassa-Mersha (98)	0.11	0.02				0.22	0.11				SD	---				BO	ETH		
Arnold et al. (99)						0.09					SM	REML				HE	USA		
Arthur et al. (100)	0.53	0.18	-0.35		0.46	0.06	0.41	-0.98		0.03	AM	DFREML				HE	CAN		
Arthur et al. (100)	0.68	0.16	-0.44		0.54	0.14	0.27	-0.45		0.14	AM	DFREML				SY1	CAN		
Arthur et al. (101)						0.17	0.13	-0.17		0.20	AM	REML				AN	AUS		
Aziz et al. (102)	0.38	0.04		0.03		0.49	0.06		0.03		RRAM	GIBBS				JB	JPN		
Baharin and Beilharz (103)	0.34										PHS	---				---	MBC	AUS	
Bakir et al. (104)	0.13										PHS	HM3				HO	TUR		
Barkhouse et al. (105)	0.32					0.20					SM	DFREML				CX	USA		
Barlow and Deltmann (106)						0.21					PHS	---				AN	AUS		
Barlow and O'Neill (107)	0.77					0.59					PHS	---				CX	AUS		
Bennett and Gregory (108)	0.50	0.09	0.11	0.03	0.58	0.32	0.10	0.06	0.12	0.39	AM	DFREML				MB	USA		
Benyshek and Little (109)	0.18					0.34					PHS	---				SX	USA		
Bergmann et al. (110)						0.13					PHS	---				NE	BRA		
Berruecos and Robison (111)	0.41					0.47					PHS	---				BR	MEX		
Bertrand and Benyshek (112)	0.22	0.05	-0.16		0.22	0.16	0.15	-0.30		0.17	SDMGS	---				LI	USA		
Bertrand and Benyshek (112)	0.25	0.13	-0.12		0.28	0.28	0.20	-0.29		0.28	SDMGS	---				BA	USA		
Berweger Baschnagel et al. (113)						0.13	0.04		0.09		AM	DFREML				AN	SWL		
Bishop (114)						0.19					AM	REML				HE	UK		
Blackwell et al. (115)						0.08					PHS	HM2				HE	USA		
Blackwell et al. (115)						0.31					PHS	HM2				HE	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.26	0.06	0.97	0.08	0.47	AM	DFREML				RP	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.32	0.04	0.89	0.08	0.49	AM	DFREML				BS	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.22	0.19	-0.45	0.34	0.18	AM	DFREML				HE	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.22	0.17	-0.09	0.16	0.28	AM	DFREML				AN	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.17	0.20	-0.01	0.18	0.27	AM	DFREML				SI	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.28	0.22	-0.30	0.15	0.28	AM	DFREML				LI	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.18	0.18	-0.32	0.19	0.18	AM	DFREML				CH	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.19	0.06	0.29	0.06	0.27	AM	DFREML				GE	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.39	0.03	0.19	0.10	0.44	AM	DFREML				PI	USA		
Boldman et al. (116)						0.21	0.07	0.23	0.19	0.29	AM	DFREML				MIJ	USA		
Bourdon and Brinks (117)	0.43					0.63					PHS	HM3				MB	USA		
Bourdon and Brinks (117)	0.35					0.69					PHS	HM3				MB	USA		
Bradfield et al. (118)						0.18					AM	DFREML				SG	AUS		
Bradfield et al. (118)						0.21					AM	DFREML				SG	AUS		
Brinks et al. (119)	0.38					0.43					PHS	HM2				HE	USA		
Brown and Galvez (120)	0.56	0.30	-0.58		0.36						---	---				HE	USA		
Brown and Galvez (120)	0.14	0.25	-0.39		0.17						---	---				AN	USA		
Buchanan et al. (121)	0.34					0.23					PHS	---				HE	USA		
Buchanan et al. (121)	0.36					0.18					PHS	---				HE	USA		
Bueno et al. (122)						0.26					AM	DFREML				CX	BRA		
Bullock et al. (123)	0.49					0.24					SMGS	---				---	PHE	USA	
Burfening et al. (124)	0.31					0.22					PHS	---				SX	USA		
Burfening et al. (125)	0.32					0.28					---	---				SX	USA		
Burfening et al. (126)	0.21	0.11	-0.24		0.21						---	---				SX	USA		
Burris and Blunn (127)	0.22										PHS	---				MB	USA		
Campelo et al. (128)						0.15	0.17	-0.48		0.12	AM	DFREML				TA	BRA		
Cantet et al. (129)						0.11	0.03	-0.31	0.02	0.10	AM	EMREML				AN	ARG		
Cardellino and Cardellino (130)						0.02					PHS	HM3				HE	BRA		
Cardellino and Castro (131)	0.28					0.28					PHS	HM3				NE	BRA		
Carter and Kincaid (132)						0.08					PHS	---				---	USA		
Carter and Kincaid (132)						0.69					PHS	---				---	USA		
Choi et al. (133)	0.09	0.04	0.61	0.02	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.06	AM	DFREML				HW	KOR		
Correa et al. (134)																			

PARÁMETROS GENÉTICOS PARA CRECIMIENTO PREDESTETE DE BOVINOS

Author	Birth weight					Weaning weight					Average daily gain					Model <sup>a</sup>	Method <sup>b</sup>	Breed group <sup>c</sup>	Country <sup>d</sup>
	$h_g^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$	$h_g^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$	$h_g^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$				
Cundiff et al. (137)	0.22					0.14					0.15					PHS	---	AN,HE	USA
Cundiff et al. (137)						0.14										OSR	---	AN,HE	USA
Cunningham and Henderson (138)											0.47					PHS	HM2	AN	USA
Cunningham and Henderson (138)											0.43					PHS	HM2	HE	USA
Dawson et al. (139)	0.11															PHS	---	SH	USA
de Alencar et al. (140)	0.53					0.69										PHS	---	CC	BRA
de Figueiredo et al. (141)	0.38					0.21					0.12					PHS	---	MB	BRA
de Freitas et al. (142)	0.33					0.77										SM	REML	CC	BRA
de los Reyes et al. (143)						0.18	0.36	-0.52		0.16						AM	AIREML	MBC	BRA
De Mattos et al. (144)						0.24	0.16	-0.42	0.16	0.19						AM	EMREML	HE	USA
De Mattos et al. (144)						0.20	0.16	-0.35	0.20	0.19						AM	EMREML	HE	CAN
De Mattos et al. (144)						0.23	0.18	-0.50	0.15	0.17						AM	EMREML	HE	URU
de Oliveira et al. (145)	0.11					0.20					0.20					PHS	---	GU	BRA
de Oliveira and Lobo (146)	0.07															PHS	---	GU	BRA
de Souza et al. (147)						0.26										SM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Dickerson et al. (148)						0.30										PHS	---	MBC	USA
Dinkel and Busch (149)						0.40										PHS	HM2	HE	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (150)	0.45	0.10	0.15	0.01	0.55	0.18	0.34	-0.13	0.07	0.30						AM	AIREML	HE	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (150)	0.47	0.09	-0.07	0.04	0.49	0.14	0.31	-0.44	0.16	0.16						AM	AIREML	HE	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (150)	0.38	0.14	0.15	0.02	0.50	0.16	0.13	-0.11	0.29	0.20						AM	AIREML	HE	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (150)	0.39	0.11	0.29		0.54	0.10	0.20	-0.25	0.28	0.15						AM	AIREML	HE	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (151)						0.21	0.11	-0.27	0.10	0.20						AM	AIREML	AN	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (151)						0.32	0.13	-0.35	0.10	0.28						AM	AIREML	AN	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (151)						0.20	0.11	-0.10	0.05	0.23						AM	AIREML	AN	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (151)						0.18	0.24	0.06	0.07	0.32						AM	AIREML	AN	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (151)						0.17	0.19	-0.08	0.11	0.24						AM	AIREML	AN	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (151)						0.20	0.22	0.03	0.05	0.32						AM	AIREML	AN	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (151)						0.17	0.11	-0.14	0.11	0.20						AM	AIREML	AN	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (151)						0.20	0.14	-0.04	0.10	0.26						AM	AIREML	AN	USA
Dodenhoff et al. (151)						0.21	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.34						AM	AIREML	AN	USA
Dominguez-Viveros et al. (152)	0.12	0.10	-0.96		0.01	0.08	0.14									AM	DFREML	TC	MEX
Dong et al. (153)	0.18															SMGS	REML	SI	USA
Donoghue and Bertrand (154)	0.34	0.13	-0.24		0.33	0.22	0.12	-0.68	0.07	0.11						AM	EMREML	CH	AUS
Donoghue and Bertrand (154)	0.55	0.18	-0.39		0.46	0.27	0.15	-0.33	0.14	0.25						AM	EMREML	CH	CAN
Donoghue and Bertrand (154)	0.47	0.13	-0.29		0.43	0.25	0.14	-0.33	0.14	0.23						AM	EMREML	CH	USA
Donoghue and Bertrand (154)	0.31	0.18	-0.39		0.26	0.21	0.18	-0.58	0.17	0.13						AM	EMREML	CH	NZ
Duangjinda et al. (155)						0.23	0.12	-0.30	0.13	0.22						AM	METHOD R	HE	CAN
Duangjinda et al. (155)						0.27	0.07	-0.23	0.10	0.26						AM	METHOD R	GE	USA
Duangjinda et al. (155)						0.34	0.15	-0.47	0.15	0.26						AM	METHOD R	CH	USA
Dunn et al. (156)	0.85					0.18										PHS	---	MBC	USA
Dunn et al. (156)	0.46					0.34										PHS	---	MBC	USA
Eler et al. (157)	0.42					0.24										PHS	---	NE	BRA
Eler et al. (158)	0.29	0.08	-0.38	0.03	0.23	0.14	0.17	-0.13	0.05	0.20						AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Elzo et al. (159)	0.16	0.18	-0.19		0.20	0.09	0.09	0.23		0.17						SMGS	EMREML	RO	COL
Elzo et al. (159)	0.24	0.14	-0.18		0.26	0.10	0.13	-0.50		0.08						SMGS	EMREML	BR	COL
Elzo and Wakeman (160)	0.22	0.17	0.01		0.31	0.25	0.18	-0.28		0.25						SMGS	EMREML	AN	USA
Elzo and Wakeman (160)	0.23	0.18	-0.05		0.30	0.29	0.21	-0.22		0.31						SMGS	EMREML	BR	USA
Elzo and Wakeman (160)	0.19	0.15	-0.02		0.26	0.22	0.16	-0.25		0.23						SMGS	EMREML	½AN½BR	USA
Elzo and Wakeman (160)	0.16	0.32	-0.08		0.29	0.18	0.35	-0.22		0.27						SMGS	EMREML	¾AN¼BR	USA
Elzo and Wakeman (160)	0.13	0.38	-0.11		0.28	0.15	0.41	-0.21		0.28						SMGS	EMREML	5/8AN3/8BR	USA
Eriksson et al. (161)	0.39	0.14	-0.48	0.08	0.29											AM	AIREML	CH	SWE
Eriksson et al. (161)	0.45	0.12	-0.47	0.08	0.35											AM	AIREML	CH	SWE
Eriksson et al. (161)	0.42	0.15	-0.16	0.03	0.43											AM	AIREML	HE	SWE
Eriksson et al. (161)	0.57	0.13	-0.37	0.07	0.48											AM	AIREML	HE	SWE
Eriksson et al. (161)	0.28	0.12	-0.15	0.06	0.30											AM	AIREML	SI	SWE
Eriksson et al. (161)	0.37	0.10	-0.38	0.07	0.31											AM	AIREML	SI	SWE
Euclides Filho et al. (162)	0.14					0.19										PHS	---	NE	BRA
Everitt and Magee (163)	0.22															PHS	---	HO	USA
Everitt and Jury (164)	0.31															PHS	---	MBC	NZ
Everling et al. (165)						0.23	0.29	-0.45		0.20	0.25	0.28	-0.45		0.21	AM	DFREML	AN-NE	BRA,ARG
Fan et al. (166)						0.46										AM	DFREML	HE	CAN
Fan et al. (166)						0.16										AM	DFREML	AN	CAN
Ferraz et al. (167)	0.16	0.09	-0.39		0.13	0.13	0.10	-0.53		0.09						AM	DFREML	SG	BRA
Ferraz et al. (168)	0.33	0.05		0.03	0.27	0.26	0.20		0.07	0.22						AM	DFREML	MBC	BRA
Ferraz Filho et al. (169)						0.16	0.10	-0.42		0.13						AM	DFREML	TA	BRA
Ferraz Filho et al. (170)						0.16	0.10	-0.42	0.04	0.15						AM	DFREML	TA	BRA
Ferreira et al. (171)						0.16	0.09	0.09		0.22						AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Ferreira et al. (171)						0.24	0.15	-0.09		0.29						AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Foulloux et al. (172)						0.25	0.16	-0.40	0.08	0.21						AM	AGREML	CH	FRA
Frank and Burns (173)	0.25					0.35					0.38					PHS	---	BR	USA
Garcia et al. (174)	0.59	0.17			0.37	0.29	0.19									SD	DFREML	NE	MEX
Garrick et al. (175)	0.44	0.12	-0.38		0.37	0.36	0.19	-0.32		0.33						SMGS	EMREML	SI	USA
Graser and Hammond (176)						0.10	0.13	0.04		0.17						SMGS	REML	SI	AUS
Gregory et al. (177)	0.25					0.34					0.35					PHS	HM3	MB	USA
Gressler et al. (178)						0.48										AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Groeneveld et al. (179)	0.52	0.07	-0.57		0.39	0.23	0.13	-0.44		0.18						AM	AGREML	AF	SAF
Haile-Mariam and Kassa-Mersha (180)	0.24	0.08	-0.55			0.16	0.29	0.06	-0.57	0.14	0.21					AM	DFREML	BO	
Hamann et al. (181)						0.47										PHS	---	AN	USA
Heyns (182)	0.18					0.05										PHS	---	AF	SAF
Hill et al. (183)						0.32													

Author	Birth weight					Weaning weight					Average daily gain					Model <sup>a</sup>	Method <sup>b</sup>	Breed group <sup>c</sup>	Country <sup>d</sup>
	$h_a^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$	$h_a^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$	$h_a^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$				
Kemp et al. (193)	0.19															PHS	HM3	SI	CAN
Kennedy and Henderson (194)						0.33					0.32					PHS	HM2	HE	CAN
Kennedy and Henderson (194)						0.19					0.20					PHS	HM2	HE	CAN
Kennedy and Henderson (194)						0.44					0.42					PHS	HM2	AN	CAN
Kennedy and Henderson (194)						0.20					0.28					PHS	HM2	AN	CAN
Khan et al. (195)	0.10	0.04	-0.14	0.05	0.11											AM	EMREML	SA	PAK
Khan and Akhtar (196)	0.98					0.70										MHS	---	JE	PAK
Khan and Akhtar (196)	0.49					0.76										MHS	---	JE	PAK
Khombe et al. (197)						0.28	0.11	-0.27	0.23	0.25						AM	DFREML	MS	ZIM
Knapp and Clark (198)	0.53					0.28										PHS	---	HE	USA
Knapp and Nordskog (199)	0.23					0.12										PHS	---	MB	USA
Knapp and Nordskog (199)	0.34					0.30										OSR	---	MB	USA
Koch (200)	0.55					0.18										PHS	HM2	HE	USA
Koch et al. (201)	0.49					0.15					0.13					PHS	---	HE	USA
Koch et al. (201)	0.57					0.25					0.21					PHS	---	HE	USA
Koch et al. (202)	0.51					0.16					0.13					PHS	---	HE	USA
Koch et al. (202)	0.59					0.19					0.16					PHS	---	HE	USA
Koch et al. (203)	0.43										0.07					PHS	---	HE	USA
Koch et al. (204)	0.46	0.08	0.13	0.02	0.53	0.16	0.17	-0.28	0.26	0.17						AM	DFREML	HE	USA
Koury Filho et al. (205)						0.31	0.09									AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Kriese et al. (206)	0.45	0.28	-0.47		0.34	0.33	0.25	-0.15		0.39						SMGS	PEA	HE	USA
Kriese et al. (206)	0.28	0.19	-0.58		0.17	0.19	0.14	-0.38		0.17						SMGS	PEA	BA	USA
Laloë et al. (207)	0.35					0.23										PHS	HM3	LI	FRA
Laloë et al. (207)	0.34					0.37										PHS	HM3	LI	FRA
Laloë et al. (207)	0.15					0.06										PHS	HM3	CH	FRA
Laloë et al. (207)	0.35					0.34										PHS	HM3	CH	FRA
Lamb et al. (208)						0.12										SM	REML	HE	USA
Lasley et al. (209)	0.67					0.11										PHS	---	HE	USA
Lee and Pollak (210)	0.21					0.34										SMGS	GIBBS	HW	KOR
Legault and Touchberry (211)	0.38															PHS	---	MB	USA
Legault and Touchberry (211)	0.48															ODR	---	MB	USA
Legault and Touchberry (211)	0.51															FS	---	MB	USA
Lehmann et al. (212)						0.21					0.20					PHS	---	MBC	USA
Liu et al. (213)	0.24										0.05					PHS	HM3	HE	CAN
Liu et al. (213)	0.65										0.16					PHS	HM3	SY	CAN
Liu et al. (214)						0.27	0.20	-0.86		0.07						AM	DFREML	AN, HE	CAN
Lobo et al. (215)	0.29					0.25										AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
MacNeil (216)	0.49	0.11				0.30	0.19									AM	DFREML	CGC	USA
MacNeil (217)	0.46	0.10	-0.05		0.49	0.48	0.13	-0.06		0.52						AM	GIBBS	CGC	USA
MacNeil et al. (218)	0.37										0.09					PHS	---	MBC	USA
Magnabosco et al. (219)						0.15	0.11	0.08	0.10	0.22						AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Magnabosco et al. (219)						0.23	0.16	-0.20	0.08	0.25						AM	GIBBS	NE	BRA
Magnabosco et al. (219)						0.26	0.15	-0.23	0.09	0.26						AM	GIBBS	NE	BRA
Magnabosco et al. (219)						0.26	0.15	-0.23	0.09	0.26						AM	GIBBS	NE	BRA
Marcondes et al. (220)						0.19	0.10									AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Marcondes et al. (220)						0.23	0.08									AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Marlowe and Vogt (221)											0.38					PHS	---	AN	USA
Marlowe and Vogt (221)											0.31					PHS	---	HE	USA
Marques (222)	0.58					0.39										PHS	---	SI	BRA
Marques (222)	0.72					0.16										PHS	---	SI	BRA
Marques et al. (223)	0.17					0.09					0.09					PHS	---	GU	BRA
Marques et al. (224)	0.25	0.10	0.25	0.03	0.31	0.09	0.09	-0.17	0.04	0.11						AM	DFREML	SI	BRA
Marques et al. (225)	0.22	0.05	-0.10	0.07	0.23	0.13	0.05	-0.17	0.02	0.13						AM	DFREML	SI	BRA
Martins et al. (226)	0.59					0.42					0.35					PHS	---	NE	BRA
Martins Filho et al. (227)						0.27										AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Mascioli et al. (228)	0.36					0.47										PHS	---	CC	BRA
Mason et al. (229)											0.27					PHS	---	MB	AUS
Mason et al. (229)											0.22					PHS	---	MB	AUS
Massey and Benyshek (230)	0.16					0.09					0.08					PHS	---	LI	USA
Mavrogenis et al. (231)						0.08										SSR	---	HE	USA
Mello et al. (232)	0.21															AM	GIBBS	CC	BRA
Mello et al. (232)	0.22															AM	GIBBS	CC	BRA
Mello et al. (232)	0.24															AM	GIBBS	CC	BRA
Mello et al. (232)	0.23															AM	GIBBS	CC	BRA
Mello et al. (232)	0.22															AM	GIBBS	CC	BRA
Mello et al. (232)	0.32															AM	GIBBS	CC	BRA
Mercadante et al. (233)						0.27	0.13		0.10							AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Mercadante et al. (233)						0.28	0.11		0.11							AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Mercadante et al. (233)						0.29	0.13		0.10							AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Mercadante et al. (233)						0.28	0.16		0.07							AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Mercadante and Lobo (234)						0.29	0.13	0.00	0.10	0.35						AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Meyer (235)	0.21			0.05		0.12	0.04		0.23							AM	DFREML	CH	AUS
Meyer (236)	0.58	0.19	-0.57	0.04	0.39	0.32	0.22	-0.67	0.22	0.15						AM	DFREML	PHE	AUS
Meyer (237)	0.29	0.10		0.06		0.20	0.08		0.15							AM	DFREML	AN	NZ
Meyer (237)	0.38	0.07		0.05		0.23	0.08		0.16							AM	DFREML	AN	AUS
Meyer (238)						0.22	0.24	-0.58	0.17	0.14						AM	DFREML	PHE	AUS
Meyer (238)						0.24	0.06	0.14	0.10	0.30						AM	DFREML	WO	AUS
Meyer (238)						0.16	0.17	-0.23	0.22	0.19						AM	DFREML	PHE	AUS
Meyer (238)						0.17	0.14	-0.02	0.18	0.24						AM	DFREML	HE	AUS
Meyer (238)						0.22	0.12	-0.36	0.15	0.19						AM	DFREML	AN	AUS
Meyer (238)						0.15	0.11	-0.23	0.14	0.16						AM	DFREML	AN	AUS
Meyer (238)						0.22	0.17	-0.30	0.14	0.22						AM	DFREML	LI	AUS
Meyer et al. (239)	0.35	0.08		0.04		0.07	0.10		0.22							AM	AIREML	HE	AUS
Milagres et al. (240)	0.32					0.40										PHS	---	NE	BRA
Minyard and Dinkel (241)						0.33										PHS	---	HE	USA
Minyard and Dinkel (241)						0.32										PHS	---	AN	USA
Miquel and Cartwright (242)	0.15					0.24										PHS	---	HE	USA
Miquel and Cartwright (242)	0.16					0.44										PHS	---	BR	USA
Miquel and Cartwright (242)	0.55					0.25										PHS	---	BH	USA
Miquel and Cartwright (242)	0.50					0.22										PHS	---	HB	USA
Miquel and Cartwright (242)	0.26					0.07										PHS	---	HF1	USA
Miquel and Cartwright (242)	0.20					0.19										PHS	---	BF1	USA
Miranda et al. (243)	0.46															PHS	---	GU	BRA
Morris et al. (244)	0.32	0.11	0.24		0.44	0.14	0.35	-0.16		0.26						AM	AIREML	AN	NZ
Mostert et al. (245)	0.51	0.06	-0.60		0.38	0.21	0.10	-0.49		0.15						AM	DFREML	AF	SAF
Mostert et al. (245)	0.37	0.15	-0.54		0.25	0.33	0.19	-0.81		0.12						AM	DFREML	AN	SAF
Mostert et al. (245)	0.45	0.08	-0.35		0.39	0.25	0.08	-0.67		0.15						AM	DFREML	BR	SAF
Mostert et al. (245)	0.29	0.11	-0.56		0.19	0.22	0.11	-0.52		0.15						AM	DFREML	SG	SAF



PARÁMETROS GENÉTICOS PARA CRECIMIENTO PREDESTETE DE BOVINOS

Author	Birth weight				Weaning weight					Average daily gain					Model <sup>a</sup>	Method <sup>b</sup>	Breed group <sup>c</sup>	Country <sup>d</sup>
	$h_g^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_r^2$	$h_g^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_r^2$	$h_g^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$				
Mostert et al. (245)	0.31	0.15	-0.48		0.23	0.21	0.18	-0.68		0.10					AM	DFREML	SD	SAF
Mpiri et al. (246)	0.33														PHS	---	BO	TAN
Mpiri et al. (246)	0.18														PHS	---	BO	TAN
Mrode and Thompson (247)						0.19			0.08						AM	REML	SI	UK
Mucari and Oliveira (248)						0.14	0.01	-0.16	0.10	0.14					AM	DFREML	GU	BRA
Nadarajah et al. (249)						0.63									PHS	---	AN	USA
Nadarajah et al. (249)						0.51									PHS	---	HE	USA
Nájera Ayala et al. (250)	0.19					0.14									PHS	---	NE	BRA
Neely et al. (251)						0.15									PHS	---	HE	USA
Nelsen et al. (252)	0.50					0.25					0.27				PHS	---	HE	USA
Nelsen et al. (252)	0.39					0.34					0.35				SSR	---	HE	USA
Nelsen and Kress (253)	0.40					0.35					0.38				PHS	---	AN	USA
Nelsen and Kress (253)	0.54					0.43					0.41				PHS	---	HE	USA
Nephawe et al. (254)						0.22									AM	REML	BN	SAF
Nephawe et al. (254)						0.27									AM	REML	BN	SAF
Neser et al. (255)						0.14	0.14	-0.33	0.15	0.14					AM	DFREML	BN	SAF
Nobre et al. (256)	0.46					0.51									PHS	---	NE	BRA
Nobre et al. (257)	0.35														PHS	---	NE	BRA
Nobre et al. (258)	0.33	0.21													AM	EMREML	NE	BRA
Nobre et al. (258)	0.21	0.06													AM	EMREML	NE	BRA
Nobre et al. (258)	0.10	0.11													RRAM	EMREML	NE	BRA
Nobre et al. (258)	0.14	0.08													RRAM	EMREML	NE	BRA
Pabst et al. (259)						0.39									PHS	---	AN	UK
Pabst et al. (259)						0.47									PHS	---	DE	UK
Pabst et al. (259)	0.23					0.38									PHS	---	HE	UK
Pabst et al. (259)						0.29									PHS	---	SU	UK
Pahnish et al. (260)						0.28									PHS	---	HE	USA
Pahnish et al. (260)						0.57									PHS	---	HE	USA
Pahnish et al. (261)	0.20					0.10					0.05				PHS	---	HE	USA
Pani et al. (262)	0.21					0.12					0.14				PHS	---	HE	USA
Pani et al. (262)	0.37					0.11					0.04				PHS	---	HE	USA
Pereira et al. (263)	0.36	0.07	-0.07	0.03	0.38	0.22	0.05	0.13	0.11	0.27					AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Peters et al. (264)	0.15					0.40									PHS	HM3	MBC	NGR
Phillipsson (265)	0.18	0.12													---	---	SLB	SWE
Phillipsson (265)	0.19	0.04													---	---	SLB	SWE
Phocas and Laloe (266)	0.33	0.11	-0.41	0.03	0.27	0.13	0.09	-0.41	0.08	0.11					SDMGS	AIREML	CH	FRA
Phocas and Laloe (266)	0.38	0.11	-0.59	0.02	0.25	0.29	0.12	-0.22	0.05	0.29					SDMGS	AIREML	LI	FRA
Phocas and Laloe (266)	0.37	0.10	-0.49	0.04	0.28	0.32	0.13	-0.22	0.02	0.32					SDMGS	AIREML	BL	FRA
Phocas and Laloe (266)	0.28	0.08	-0.39	0.02	0.23	0.20	0.07	-0.09	0.09	0.22					SDMGS	AIREML	MA	FRA
Pimenta Filho (267)						0.35	0.39	-0.68		0.17					AM	DFREML	GU	BRA
Pitchford et al. (268)	0.31														AM	AIREML	MC	AUS
Plasse et al. (269)	0.23	0.07	0.22	0.04	0.30	0.08	0.14	0.07	0.14	0.16					AM	DFREML	BR	VEN
Plasse et al. (269)	0.33	0.06	-0.02	0.08	0.36	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.16					AM	DFREML	BR	VEN
Plasse et al. (270)	0.33	0.08	-0.37	0.03	0.28	0.07	0.14	-0.13	0.16	0.12					AM	DFREML	BR	VEN
Pons et al. (271)						0.26									PHS	---	HE	BRA
Quaas et al. (272)	0.16	0.06	-0.44		0.13	0.12	0.08	-0.04		0.15					SMGS	EMREML	SI	USA
Quintanilla et al. (273)						0.21	0.11	-0.19	0.05	0.22					AM	GIBBS	BP	SPA
Redman and Brinks (274)	0.52					0.63									---	---	SI	CAN
Redman and Brinks (274)	0.54					0.48									---	---	SI	CAN
Renand (275)	0.31					0.21									PHS	HM3	CX	FRA
Renand (275)	0.32					0.18									PHS	HM3	CX	FRA
Reynolds et al. (276)	0.21					0.24					0.20				SSR	---	HE	USA
Ribeiro et al. (277)						0.31	0.06	-0.32	0.19	0.27					AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Ribeiro et al. (277)						0.17	0.07	0.36	0.09	0.26					AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Ribeiro et al. (277)						0.33	0.02	-0.21	0.19	0.31					AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Ribeiro et al. (277)						0.33	0.03	0.19	0.14	0.37					AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Ribeiro et al. (277)						0.21	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.28					AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Ribeiro et al. (277)						0.31	0.04	0.18	0.14	0.36					AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Rico and Planas (278)	0.10					0.20					0.08				PHS	---	CH	CUB
Robinson (279)						0.34	0.16			0.42					AM	---	BX	AUS
Robinson (280)	0.35	0.08		0.05		0.20	0.09		0.14						AM	DFREML	AN	AUS
Robinson and O'Rourke (281)	0.45	0.10				0.31	0.19								AM	DFREML	MC	AUS
Robinson and O'Rourke (281)						0.35	0.04								AM	DFREML	BR	AUS
Robinson and O'Rourke (281)						0.52	0.07								AM	DFREML	BR	AUS
Rodriguez-Almeida et al. (282)						0.14	0.13		0.23						SD	DFREML	HE	USA
Rodriguez-Almeida et al. (282)						0.20	0.14		0.04						SD	DFREML	AN	USA
Rollins and Wagnon (283)						0.09									PHS	---	HE	USA
Rollins and Wagnon (283)						0.54									PHS	---	HE	USA
Román (284)	0.40	0.09			0.20	0.28	0.19			0.38					AM	AIREML	MC	MEX
Rönningen et al. (285)	0.26														PHS	---	BO	KEN
Rönningen et al. (285)	0.57														ODR	---	BO	KEN
Rosa et al. (286)	0.26					0.28									PHS	---	NE	BRA
Rosales-Alday et al. (287)	0.40	0.12	-0.63	0.04	0.25	0.33	0.19	-0.39	0.10	0.28					AM	DFREML	SI	MEX
Roso and Fries (288)						0.34									PHS	REML	PHE	BRA
Rust et al. (289)	0.30	0.14	-0.45		0.23	0.26	0.17	-0.61		0.15					AM	AGREML	SI	SAF
Sakaguti et al. (290)	0.37					0.47									AM	AIREML	TA	BRA
Sampaio et al. (291)	0.69					0.38									PHS	---	GI	BRA
Sarmento et al. (292)											0.12	0.29	-0.77	0.05	AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.18									SM	MML	HE	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.24									SM	MML	HE	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.31									SM	MML	SI	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.40									SM	MML	SI	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.30									SM	MML	AN	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.40									SM	MML	AN	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.23									SM	MML	CH	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.30									SM	MML	CH	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.26									SM	MML	SH	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.33									SM	MML	SH	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.14									SM	MML	MA	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.17									SM	MML	MA	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.12									SM	MML	LI	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.15									SM	MML	LI	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.09									SM	MML	BL	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.09									SM	MML	BL	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.12									SM	MML	CN	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.18									SM	MML	CN	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.15									SM	MML	GE	CAN
Schaeffer and Wilton (293)						0.20									SM	MML	GE	CAN

Author	Birth weight					Weaning weight					Average daily gain					Model <sup>a</sup>	Method <sup>b</sup>	Breed group <sup>c</sup>	Country <sup>d</sup>
	$h_d^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$	$h_d^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$	$h_d^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{dm}$	$c^2$	$h_l^2$				
Schaeffer and Willton (293)						0.13										SM	MML	BS	CAN
Schaeffer and Willton (293)						0.16										SM	MML	BS	CAN
Schaeffer and Willton (293)						0.17										SM	MML	TT	CAN
Schaeffer and Willton (293)						0.23										SM	MML	TT	CAN
Schaeffer and Willton (293)						0.14										SM	MML	PI	CAN
Schaeffer and Willton (293)						0.15										SM	MML	PI	CAN
Scherre et al. (294)	0.38					0.37										PHS	---	NE	BRA
Scherre et al. (294)	0.48					0.30										PHS	---	NE	BRA
Schoeman and Jordaan (295)						0.57	0.13	-0.37	0.09	0.45						AM	AGREML	BV	SAF
Sharma et al. (296)	0.35					0.14					0.09					PHS	MIVQUE	HE	CAN
Sharma et al. (296)	0.47					0.25					0.28					PHS	MIVQUE	MBS	CAN
Shelby et al. (297)	0.72					0.23										PHS	HM1	HE	USA
Shelby et al. (298)	0.54					0.24					0.48					PHS	HM2	HE	USA
Shepard et al. (299)						0.19	0.24	-0.56		0.13						SMGS	EMREML	AN	USA
Silva et al. (300)	0.76					0.17	0.08	0.27	0.13	0.26						PHS	---	NE	BRA
Silveira et al. (301)						0.59										AM	DFREML	NE	BRA
Smith et al. (302)	0.68					0.14										PHS	---	MBC	USA
Smith et al. (303)	0.27					0.16										PHS	---	MB	USA
Splan et al. (304)						0.14	0.19	-0.18		0.19						SM	DFREML	MBC	USA
Splan et al. (305)						0.34	0.18	-0.39	0.08	0.29						AM	DFREML	CX	USA
Swalve (306)	0.33	0.07	-0.04		0.36	0.25										AM	DFREML	SI	AUS
Swiger (307)	0.22					0.58										PHS	HM2	HE	USA
Swiger et al. (308)						0.33										PHS	HM2	MBC	USA
Talib et al. (309)						0.11										PHS	HM3	BI	IDN
Tanida et al. (310)	0.23					0.61										DDR	---	HE	USA
Tanida et al. (310)	0.23					0.27	0.20	-0.68		0.13						PHS	---	HE	USA
Tawah et al. (311)	0.39	0.06	-0.86		0.22	0.29	0.27	-0.39		0.26						AM	DFREML	GD	CAM
Tawah et al. (311)	0.65	0.22	-0.93		0.23	0.38										AM	DFREML	WA	CAM
Tawonezvi (312)	0.44					0.38					0.37					PHS	---	MS	ZIM
Tawonezvi et al. (313)	0.32					0.38										PHS	---	NK	ZIM
Tess et al. (314)	0.83					0.35					0.25					PHS	---	HE	USA
Thrift et al. (315)	0.19					0.27										PHS	---	HE, AN	USA
Thrift et al. (315)	0.34					0.39										PHS	---	HE, AN	USA
Thrift et al. (315)	0.39					0.16										PHS	---	HE, AN	USA
Thrift et al. (315)	0.43					0.39										PHS	---	HE, AN	USA
Tonghainan and Sirisom (316)	0.26					0.28										SM	REML	MBC	THA
Torres et al. (317)	0.15					0.26					0.28					PHS	---	GI	BRA
Tosh et al. (318)	0.51	0.09	0.17	0.02	0.61	0.33	0.13	-0.11	0.20	0.36						AM	DFREML	MC	CAN
Trail et al. (319)	0.21					0.08										PHS	---	MBC	UGA
van der Westhuizen and Rust (320)						0.28	0.28	-0.39		0.26						AM	AGREML	AF	SAF
van Graan et al. (321)	0.23	0.11	-0.08		0.27	0.31	0.16	0.04	0.18	0.43						AM	AGREML	BN	SAF
Van Vleck et al. (322)						0.31	0.15	0.02	0.20	0.39						AM	DFREML	MB	USA
Van Vleck et al. (322)						0.27	0.12	-0.03	0.17	0.32						AM	DFREML	MB	USA
Van Vleck et al. (322)						0.44	0.06	0.06	0.16	0.48						AM	DFREML	CO	USA
Van Vleck et al. (322)						0.46	0.06	0.07	0.14	0.51						AM	DFREML	CO	USA
Van Vleck et al. (322)						0.36	0.05	0.11	0.14	0.41						AM	DFREML	CO	USA
Van Vleck and Cundiff (323)	0.44					0.25										SD	DFREML	MBC	USA
Van Vleck and Cundiff (323)	0.47					0.19										SD	DFREML	MBC	USA
Vargas et al. (324)						0.29	0.18	-0.03		0.37						AM	DFREML	BR	USA
Varona et al. (325)	0.26	0.05	-0.33		0.23	0.50										AM	GIBBS	GE	USA
Vesely and Robison (326)	0.67					0.31										PHS	---	HE	USA
Vesely and Robison (326)	0.46					0.31										ODR	---	HE	USA
Vesely and Robison (326)	0.29					0.31										MHS	---	HE	USA
Veseth et al. (327)	0.18					0.17					0.20					PHS	HM3	HE	USA
Vogt and Marlowe (328)						0.07										ODR	---	AN	USA
Vogt and Marlowe (328)						0.06										ODR	---	AN	USA
Vogt and Marlowe (328)						0.07										ODR	---	HE	USA
Vogt and Marlowe (328)						0.14										ODR	---	HE	USA
Wagnon and Rollins (329)						0.42										PHS	---	---	USA
Wagnon and Rollins (329)						0.57										PHS	---	---	USA
Wakungu et al. (330)	0.40					0.38										SD	ML	SA	KEN
Waldron et al. (331)	0.24	0.11	0.37	0.03	0.39	0.15	0.14	-0.35	0.21	0.14						AM	EMREML	HE	NZ
Waldron et al. (331)	0.33	0.04	0.28	0.06	0.40	0.14	0.11	0.06	0.15	0.21						AM	EMREML	AN	NZ
Waldron et al. (331)	0.32	0.06	0.13	0.07	0.37	0.13	0.15	0.00	0.09	0.20						AM	EMREML	AN	NZ
Willis and Wilson (332)	0.67					0.38										PHS	---	SG	CUB
Wilson et al. (333)						0.55										PHS	---	HE	USA
Wilson et al. (334)						0.22										PHS	---	CX	USA
Wilson et al. (335)	0.26					0.25										PHS	---	AN	USA
Wilson et al. (335)	0.15					0.35										PHS	---	HE	USA
Wilson et al. (336)						0.13										PHS	---	CX	USA
Wilson et al. (337)	0.41					0.16										SD	AQF	HE	USA
Wilson et al. (337)	0.19					0.39	0.10									SD	AQF	AN	USA
Winder et al. (338)	0.46					0.18										PHS, SMGS	---	RA	USA
Woodward et al. (339)	0.28					0.39										SM	EMREML	SI	USA
Wright et al. (340)						0.12	0.09	0.16		0.19						SMGS	EMREML	SI	USA
Zarazua et al. (341)	0.18															PHS	---	IN	MEX
Unweighted mean of estimates	0.37	0.15	-0.24	0.06	0.36	0.27	0.17	-0.23	0.14	0.25	0.26	0.20	-0.40	0.15	0.24				
Minimum estimate	0.03	0.01	-1.05	0.00	-0.02	-0.01	0.00	-1.00	0.02	0.01	-0.02	0.01	-0.98	0.03	0.00				
Maximum estimate	1.00	1.02	0.99	0.75	0.72	0.95	0.76	1.00	0.67	0.81	0.77	0.70	0.55	0.30	0.74				
Number of estimates	372	193	159	77	166	504	280	233	171	243	123	50	45	23	50				

<sup>a</sup>AM= animal model, DDR= daughter on dam regression analysis, FS= full-sib correlation, MHS= maternal half-sib correlation, ODR= mean offspring on dam regression analysis, OMR= offspring on midparent regression analysis, OSR= mean offspring on sire regression analysis, PHS= paternal half-sib correlation, RRAM= random regression animal model SD= sire-dam model, SDMGS= combination of sire-dam and sire-maternal grand sire model, SM= sire model, SMGS= sire-maternal grand sire model, SSR= son on sire regression analysis.

<sup>b</sup>AGREML= analytical-gradients REML, AIREML= average-information REML, AQF= approximate quadratic forms, DFREML= derivative-free REML, EMREML= expectation-maximization REML, GIBBS= Gibbs sampling, HM1= Henderson's Method 1, HM2= Henderson's Method 2, HM3= Henderson's Method 3, MHM4= modified Henderson's Method 4, MIVQUE= minimum variance quadratic unbiased estimation, ML= maximum likelihood, MML= modified maximum likelihood, PEA= Pseudo-Expectation approach, REML= restricted maximum likelihood, THA= tilde-hat approach.

<sup>c</sup>AF= Afrikaner, AL= Alentejana, AN= Angus, AV= Asturiana de los Valles, AX= Composite (50% Africander, 25% Shorthorn, 25% Hereford), AXB= Composite (25% Africander + 25% Shorthorn + 25% Hereford + 25% Brahman), BA= Brangus, BB= Belgian Blue, BF1= Backcross to Brahman sires, BH= F1 Brahman x Hereford, BI= Bali, BL= Blonde d'Aquitaine, BM= Beefmaster, BN= Bonsmara, BO= Boran, BP= Bruna dels Pirineus, BR= Brahman, BS= Brown Swiss, BV= Bovelder, BX= Brahman cross, CC= Canchim, CGC= Composite (50% Red Angus, 25% Charolais, 25% Tarentaise), CH= Charolais, CN= Chianina, CO= Composites: MARC I, MARC II, and MARC III, CSL= Composite (44% British, 25% Charolais, 25% Simmental, 6% Limousin), CT= Costeno Con Cuernos, CX= Crossbreeds, DE= Devon, DM= double muscled breed groups, DS= dairy synthetic (Holstein, Brown Swiss, Simmental) and 40% beef breeds), GD= Gudali, GE= Gelbvieh, GI= Gir, GO= Gobra, GU= Guzera, GX= percentage Gelbvieh, HB= F1 Hereford x Brahman, HE= Hereford, HF1= Backcross to Hereford sires, HO= Holstein, HS= Hereford-Shorthorn cross, HW= Hamwo, IN= Indu-Brazil, JB= Japanese Black, JBR= Japanese Brown, JE= Jersey, LJ= Limousin, MA= Maine Anjou, MB= mixed breeds, MBC= mixed breeds and crosses, MBS= multibreed synthetic beef cattle, MC= mixed crosses, MF1= mixed F1 crosses, MIII= MARC III, MS= Mashona, ND= N'Dama, NE= Nelore, NG= Nguni, NK= Nkone, PHE= Polled Hereford, PI= Pinzgauer, RA= Red Angus, RO= Romosinuano, RP= Red Poll, SA= Sahiwal, SB= Simmental-Brahman cross, SD= South Devon, SE= Senepol, SG= Santa Gertrudis, SH= Shorthorn, SI= Simmental, SLB= Swedish Friesian, SM= Sanmartinero, SU= Sussex, SX= Percentage Simmental, SY= Beef Synthetic, SY1= Beef Synthetic # 1, TA= Tabapua, TC= Tropicane, TT= Tarentaise, WA= Wakwa, WB= Welsh Black, WO= Wokulups, ZE= Zebu.

<sup>d</sup>AB= Addis Ababa, ARG= Argentina, AUS= Australia, BEL= Belgium, BRA= Brazil, CAM= Cameroon, CAN= Canada, COL= Colombia, CUB= Cuba, ETH= Ethiopia, FRA= France, GER= Germany, GHA= Ghana, IDN= Indonesia, IRL= Ireland, ITA= Italy, JPN= Japan, KEN= Kenya, KOR= Korea, MEX= Mexico, NGR= Nigeria, NZ= New Zealand, PAK= Pakistan, POR= Portugal, SAF= South Africa, SEN= Senegal, SPA= Spain, SWE= Sweden, SWL= Switzerland, TAN= Tanzania, THA= Thailand, TUR= Turkey, UGA= Uganda, UK= United Kingdom, URU= Uruguay, USA= United States, VEN= Venezuela, ZIM= Zimbabwe.

al destete y ganancia diaria de peso. El número, la media no ponderada y el rango (valor mínimo y máximo) de los estimadores de cada parámetro genético para cada característica de crecimiento también se muestran en el Cuadro 1, al final del mismo. Se presenta un total de 2,689 estimadores individuales, provenientes de 89 grupos raciales localizados en 38 países.

Los autores que se repiten dos o más veces en el cuadro, reportaron estimadores de parámetros genéticos para diferentes categorías de un mismo factor. Por ejemplo, estimadores para una misma característica se reportaron para diferentes razas, sexos, estaciones experimentales, métodos de estimación, regiones geográficas o países. La abreviatura AM usada dentro la columna que proporciona información sobre los modelos estadísticos utilizados se refiere a variantes del modelo animal (e.g., modelo animal con efecto genético materno, modelo animal con efecto del ambiente materno permanente, modelo animal multivariado). En esta revisión, todas las variantes del modelo animal reportadas en la literatura se consideraron como una misma variante y todas ellas son citadas como “modelo animal”.

La mayoría de los estudios genéticos (125) para características de crecimiento predestete de bovinos ha sido realizada en los Estados Unidos, seguida por 73 estudios genéticos realizados en Brasil, 29 en Canadá y 25 en Australia, mientras que REML (siglas en inglés de Máxima Verosimilitud Restringida) libre de derivadas ha sido el método de estimación más aplicado (en 107 estudios), seguido por REML basado en un algoritmo de información promedio (en 28 estudios) y REML basado en un algoritmo de esperanza-maximización (en 18 estudios). Del número total de estudios revisados (337), sólo en 10 se utilizó muestreo Gibbs para estimar parámetros genéticos.

### ***Peso al nacimiento***

*Estimadores de heredabilidad directa.* La media de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para peso al nacimiento (0.37;  $n=372$ ) indica que los efectos directos para peso al nacimiento son moderadamente

trait were reported for different breeds, sexes, experimental stations, methods of estimation, geographical regions or different countries. The AM abbreviation used within the column that provides information about models refers to variants of the animal model (e.g., animal model with maternal genetic effect, animal model with permanent environmental dam effect, multivariate animal model). All variants of the animal model reported in the literature were considered as one same variant and all of them are cited as “animal model” in this review.

Most genetic studies (125) of preweaning growth traits of cattle have been carried out in the United States, followed by 73 genetic studies performed in Brazil, 29 in Canada, and 25 in Australia, while Derivative-Free REML (Restricted Maximum Likelihood) has been the most applied method of estimation (in 107 studies), followed by Average-Information (in 28 studies) and Expectation-Maximization REML (in 18 studies). Of the total number of studies reviewed (337), only in 10 Gibbs sampling was used to estimate genetic parameters.

### ***Birth Weight***

*Estimates of direct heritability.* The mean of the estimates of direct heritability for birth weight (0.37;  $n=372$ ) indicates that direct effects for birth weight are moderately heritable and genetic gain might be achieved through single-trait selection. The weighted (0.31) and unweighted (0.35) means of estimates of direct heritability for birth weight reported by others<sup>(5)</sup> are similar to the unweighted mean of estimates of direct heritability obtained in the present study. The range of the estimates of direct heritability was very wide. The minimum estimate, obtained with a sire-dam model and Average-Information REML, was 0.03 for Simmental, Brahman and Simmental-Brahman crosses raised in Mexico<sup>(6)</sup>. The maximum estimate, obtained with paternal half-sib correlation, was 1.0 for Hereford cattle under United States conditions<sup>(7)</sup>. However, only twelve estimates of direct heritability had a value greater than 0.7.

The estimates of direct heritability for birth weight were highly variable among them. For example,

heredables y que es posible lograr avance genético por medio de selección para una sola característica. La media ponderada (0.31) y la no ponderada (0.35) de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para peso al nacimiento reportadas por otros autores<sup>(5)</sup> son similares a la media no ponderada de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa reportada en el presente estudio. El rango de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa fue muy amplio. El estimador mínimo, obtenido con un modelo vaca-semental y REML basado en un algoritmo de información promedio, fue 0.03 para Simmental, Brahman y cruza Simmental-Brahman criados en México<sup>(6)</sup>. El estimador máximo, obtenido mediante correlación entre medios hermanos paternos, fue 1.0 para ganado Hereford en condiciones estadounidenses<sup>(7)</sup>. Sin embargo, sólo 12 estimadores de heredabilidad directa tuvieron un valor mayor que 0.7.

Los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para peso al nacimiento fueron altamente variables entre ellos. Por ejemplo, Ríos-Utrera *et al.*<sup>(8)</sup>, Martínez y Galíndez<sup>(9)</sup>, Carter *et al.*<sup>(10)</sup>, Eriksson *et al.*<sup>(11)</sup>, Mackinnon *et al.*<sup>(12)</sup> y Knights *et al.*<sup>(13)</sup> reportaron estimadores de heredabilidad directa de 0.22, 0.31, 0.40, 0.50, 0.61 y 0.70, respectivamente. En general, las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para peso al nacimiento fueron similares para las razas con mayor número de estimadores: Angus (0.34; n=35), Brahman (0.32; n=14), Charoláis (0.38; n=21), Hereford (0.43; n=81), Limousin (0.29; n=6), Nelore (0.34; n=24) y Simmental (0.36; n=17). Para peso al nacimiento, la media de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa obtenidos mediante correlación entre medios hermanos paternos (0.38; n=127) fue similar a las medias de los estimadores correspondientes obtenidos con modelos animal (0.37; n=154) y semental (0.34; n=7). La media de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa obtenidos con modelos semental-abuelo materno fue menor (0.28; n=25).

*Estimadores de heredabilidad materna.* Los efectos genéticos maternos fueron menos heredables que los efectos genéticos directos para peso al nacimiento. La media y el número de los estimadores de heredabilidad materna fueron 0.14 y 194, respectivamente. La media no ponderada de

Ríos-Utrera *et al.*<sup>(8)</sup>, Martínez and Galíndez<sup>(9)</sup>, Carter *et al.*<sup>(10)</sup>, Eriksson *et al.*<sup>(11)</sup>, Mackinnon *et al.*<sup>(12)</sup> and Knights *et al.*<sup>(13)</sup> reported estimates of direct heritability of 0.22, 0.31, 0.40, 0.50, 0.61 and 0.70, respectively. In general, the means of the estimates of direct heritability for birth weight were similar for the breeds with greater number of estimates: Angus (0.34; n=35), Brahman (0.32; n=14), Charolais (0.38; n=21), Hereford (0.43; n=81), Limousin (0.29; n=6), Nelore (0.34; n=24) and Simmental (0.36; n=17). For birth weight, the mean of the estimates of direct heritability obtained with paternal half-sib analyses (0.38; n=127) was similar to the means of corresponding estimates obtained with animal (0.37; n=154) and sire models (0.34; n=10). The mean of the estimates of direct heritability obtained with sire-maternal grand sire models was somewhat smaller (0.28; n=25).

*Estimates of maternal heritability.* Maternal genetic effects were less heritable than direct genetic effects for birth weight. The mean and the number of the estimates of maternal heritability were 0.14 and 194, respectively. The unweighted mean of estimates of maternal heritability for birth weight reported here is identical to the equivalent weighted mean (0.14) published in a previous review<sup>(5)</sup>. Like the estimates of direct heritability, the estimates of maternal heritability were in a wide range. The smallest estimate of maternal heritability (0.01), obtained with Derivative-Free REML fitting an animal model, was reported by for Colombian Costeño con Cuernos cattle<sup>(14)</sup>. The greatest estimate of maternal heritability (1.02) was obtained with paternal half-sib correlation and Hereford cattle reared in the United States<sup>(15)</sup>. Very few (two) estimates of maternal heritability were above 0.7. Other estimates within this range were: 0.11<sup>(16)</sup>, 0.26<sup>(17)</sup>, 0.33<sup>(18)</sup>, 0.55<sup>(19)</sup>, 0.63<sup>(20)</sup> and 0.83<sup>(21)</sup>, which show important variability. The means of the estimates of maternal heritability for birth weight by breed were: 0.17 (n=24), 0.10 (n=11), 0.14 (n=14), 0.20 (n=37), 0.08 (n=3), 0.09 (n=11) and 0.11 (n=10) for Angus, Brahman, Charoláis, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore and Simmental, respectively.

*Estimates of direct-maternal genetic correlation.* The 159 estimates of the genetic correlation between

los estimadores de heredabilidad materna para peso al nacimiento aquí reportada es idéntica a la media ponderada correspondiente (0.14) publicada en una revisión previa<sup>(5)</sup>. Al igual que los estimadores de heredabilidad directa, los estimadores de heredabilidad materna se encontraron dentro de un amplio rango. El menor estimador de heredabilidad materna (0.01), obtenido con REML libre de derivadas ajustando un modelo animal, fue reportado para ganado Costeño con Cuernos colombiano<sup>(14)</sup>. El mayor estimador de heredabilidad materna (1.02) fue obtenido mediante correlación entre medios hermanos paternos de la raza Hereford en los Estados Unidos<sup>(15)</sup>. Muy pocos (dos) estimadores de heredabilidad materna fueron mayores que 0.7. Otros estimadores dentro de este rango fueron: 0.11<sup>(16)</sup>, 0.26<sup>(17)</sup>, 0.33<sup>(18)</sup>, 0.55<sup>(19)</sup>, 0.63<sup>(20)</sup> y 0.83<sup>(21)</sup>, los cuales muestran variación importante. Las medias por raza de los estimadores de heredabilidad materna fueron: 0.17 (n=24), 0.10 (n=11), 0.14 (n=14), 0.20 (n=37), 0.08 (n=3), 0.09 (n=11) y 0.11 (n=10) para Angus, Brahman, Charolais, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore y Simmental, respectivamente.

*Estimadores de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos.* Los 159 estimadores de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos encontrados en la literatura para peso al nacimiento tuvieron una media de -0.24. Los estimadores estuvieron dentro de un rango que fue de casi -1.00 a casi 1.00. El estimador mínimo (-1.05) fue publicado para ganado Hereford estadounidense<sup>(20)</sup>. El estimador máximo de 0.99 fue reportado para ganado Sahiwal en Kenia<sup>(22)</sup>. Aunque con diferentes signos, estos dos estimadores extremos pueden reflejar la ausencia de efectos abuela y(o) semental x hato en los modelos. En contraste, Meyer<sup>(3)</sup>, Snelling *et al*<sup>(23)</sup> y Plasse *et al*<sup>(24)</sup> reportaron estimadores de correlación genética cercanos a cero (0.03, 0.04 y 0.06), los cuales indican que tales efectos tuvieron poca asociación genética. Las medias negativas de los estimadores de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos para las razas Angus, Brahman, Charolais, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore y Simmental fueron variables (-0.16, n=18; -0.09, n=11; -0.43, n=14; -0.25, n=36; -0.38, n=3; -0.06, n=4; y -0.25, n=10, respectivamente).

direct and maternal effects found in the literature for birth weight had a mean of -0.24. The estimates ranged from almost -1.00 to almost 1.00. The minimum estimate (-1.05) was published for American Hereford cattle<sup>(20)</sup>. The maximum estimate of 0.99 was reported for Sahiwal cattle in Kenya<sup>(22)</sup>. Although with different signs, these two extreme estimates may reflect the absence of grandmaternal and(or) sire x herd interaction effects in the models. In contrast, Meyer<sup>(3)</sup>, Snelling *et al*<sup>(23)</sup> and Plasse *et al*<sup>(24)</sup> reported near zero estimates of direct-maternal genetic correlation (0.03, 0.04 and 0.06), which indicate that such effects had little genetic association. The negative means of the estimates of direct-maternal genetic correlation for Angus, Brahman, Charolais, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore and Simmental breeds were variable (-0.16, n=18; -0.09, n=11; -0.43, n=14; -0.25, n=36; -0.38, n=3; -0.06, n=4; and -0.25, n=10, respectively).

*Estimates of maternal permanent environmental effects.* Seventy seven (77) estimates of the maternal permanent environmental variance as a proportion of the phenotypic variance were found for birth weight. Such estimates had a mean of 0.06, which indicates that maternal permanent environmental effects have little influence on birth weight compared to direct and maternal genetic effects. In a previous literature review<sup>(4)</sup> also was concluded that permanent environmental effects had little influence on birth weight, and a weighted mean of 0.03 was reported for such effects as a proportion of the phenotypic variance. A very large estimate (0.75), which was the greatest estimate found for birth weight, was reported for Santa Gertrudis cattle reared in Brazil<sup>(25)</sup>. In great contrast to this result, other researchers<sup>(26-31)</sup> reported that the estimate of the proportion of the phenotypic variance due to permanent environmental dam effects was zero for birth weight. Although the smallest and the greatest estimates found in the literature revealed a wide range, the estimates of the maternal permanent environmental variance as a proportion of the phenotypic variance were less variable than the estimates of the three genetic parameters examined above. The means of the estimates of the maternal permanent environmental variance as a proportion

**Estimadores de efectos del ambiente materno permanente.** Setenta y siete (77) estimadores de la fracción de la varianza fenotípica debida al ambiente materno permanente se encontraron para peso al nacimiento. Estos estimadores tuvieron una media de 0.06, la cual indica que el ambiente materno permanente tiene poca influencia sobre peso al nacimiento, comparado con los efectos genéticos directos y maternos. En una revisión previa de literatura<sup>(4)</sup> también se concluyó que los efectos del ambiente materno permanente tuvieron poca influencia sobre peso al nacimiento, y se reportó una media ponderada de 0.03 para tales efectos como proporción de la varianza fenotípica. Un estimador muy grande (0.75), el cual fue el mayor estimador encontrado para peso al nacimiento, se reportó para ganado Santa Gertrudis criado en Brasil<sup>(25)</sup>. En gran contraste con este resultado, otros investigadores<sup>(26-31)</sup> reportaron que el estimador de la fracción de la varianza fenotípica debida a efectos del ambiente materno permanente fue cero para peso al nacimiento. Aunque el mayor y el menor estimador encontrados en la literatura revelaron un amplio rango, los estimadores de la varianza del ambiente materno permanente como proporción de la varianza fenotípica fueron menos variables que los estimadores de los tres parámetros genéticos examinados en los apartados anteriores. Las medias de los estimadores de la varianza del ambiente materno permanente como proporción de la varianza fenotípica para peso al nacimiento fueron similares para Angus (0.05, n=8), Brahman (0.04, n=5), Charolais (0.06, n=4), Hereford (0.04, n=15), Limousin (0.04, n=2), Nelore (0.03, n=5) y Simmental (0.05, n=5).

**Estimadores de heredabilidad total.** Para peso al nacimiento, la media (0.36; n=166) de los estimadores de heredabilidad total fue muy similar a la media de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa. El rango de los estimadores fue de -0.02 a 0.72. El estimador negativo fue reportado para ganado Hereford (n=4,423) criado en los Estados Unidos<sup>(20)</sup>. El estimador positivo fue reportado para ganado puro y cruzado (n=3,936) criado en este mismo país<sup>(32)</sup>. Los estimadores de heredabilidad total para peso al nacimiento manifestaron gran variación, como lo indican los estimadores (0.25,

of the phenotypic variance for birth weight were similar for Angus (0.05, n=8), Brahman (0.04, n=5), Charolais (0.06, n=4), Hereford (0.04, n=15), Limousin (0.04, n=2), Nelore (0.03, n=5) and Simmental (0.05, n=5).

**Estimates of total heritability.** For birth weight, the mean (0.36; n=166) of the estimates of total heritability was highly comparable to the mean of the estimates of direct heritability. The range of the estimates was between -0.02 and 0.72. The negative estimate was reported for Hereford cattle (n=4,423) reared in the United States<sup>(20)</sup>. The positive estimate was reported for purebred and crossbred cattle (n=3,936) reared in the same country<sup>(32)</sup>. The estimates of total heritability for birth weight manifested large variation as indicate the estimates (0.25, 0.35, 0.40, 0.45, 0.50, 0.62) obtained in other studies<sup>(33-38)</sup>. The means of the estimates of total heritability for Angus, Brahman, Charolais and Nelore resembled each other (0.36, 0.35, 0.34, 0.33, respectively), but the mean of the estimates of total heritability for Hereford was somewhat greater (0.44), whereas the mean for Simmental was somewhat smaller (0.29).

### **Weaning weight**

**Estimates of direct heritability.** On average, direct effects for weaning weight were less heritable than direct effects for birth weight (0.27 vs 0.37). The weighted mean of estimates of direct heritability for weaning weight (0.24) obtained by Koots *et al*<sup>(5)</sup> is comparable to the corresponding unweighted mean obtained in the present review. Weaning weight was the trait with the most estimates of direct heritability (n=504). The range of the estimates of direct heritability for weaning weight was wide. The smallest estimate (-0.01) was obtained applying the Henderson's Method 2 on purebred and crossbred cattle data from the United States<sup>(39)</sup>. On the contrary, the greatest estimate (0.95) was obtained applying Average-Information REML fitting a sire-maternal grand sire model on Italian Charolais data<sup>(40)</sup>. Only three estimates of direct heritability were larger than 0.7.

Estimates (0.19, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, 0.40, 0.43, 0.50, 0.55, 0.58, 0.62) for cattle reared in Australia<sup>(3)</sup>,

0.35, 0.40, 0.45, 0.50, 0.62) obtenidos en otros trabajos<sup>(33-38)</sup>. Las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad total para Angus, Brahman, Charolais y Nelore fueron muy parecidas (0.36, 0.35, 0.34, 0.33, respectivamente), pero la media de los estimadores de heredabilidad total para Hereford fue mayor (0.44), mientras que la media para Simmental fue menor (0.29).

### ***Peso al destete***

***Estimadores de heredabilidad directa.*** En promedio, los efectos directos para peso al destete fueron menos heredables que los efectos directos para peso al nacimiento (0.27 vs 0.37). La media ponderada de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para peso al destete (0.24) obtenida por Koots *et al*<sup>(5)</sup> es similar a la media no ponderada correspondiente obtenida en la presente revisión. Peso al destete fue la característica con el mayor número de estimadores de heredabilidad directa (n= 504). El rango de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para peso al destete fue amplio. El menor estimador (-0.01) fue obtenido aplicando el Método 2 de Henderson en datos de ganado estadounidense puro y cruzado<sup>(39)</sup>. Por el contrario, el mayor estimador (0.95) fue obtenido con REML basado en un algoritmo de información promedio ajustando un modelo semental-abuelo materno en datos de Charolais italiano<sup>(40)</sup>. Sólo tres estimadores de heredabilidad directa fueron mayores que 0.7.

Estimadores (0.19, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, 0.40, 0.43, 0.50, 0.55, 0.58, 0.62) para ganado criado en Australia<sup>(3)</sup>, Francia<sup>(40)</sup>, Uruguay<sup>(41)</sup>, Brasil<sup>(42)</sup>, Canadá<sup>(43)</sup>, Kenia<sup>(44)</sup>, España<sup>(45)</sup>, Portugal<sup>(46)</sup>, Bélgica<sup>(47)</sup> y los Estados Unidos<sup>(48)</sup> son buenos indicadores de la gran variabilidad de los 504 estimadores incluidos en este rango. Cuando se promedió por raza, las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para peso al destete fueron, en general, similares para Angus (0.31; n=67), Brahman (0.26; n=17), Charolais (0.28; n=26), Hereford (0.24; n=113), Limousin (0.23; n=13), Nelore (0.26; n=45) y Simmental (0.26; n=20). Para peso al destete, la media de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa obtenidos mediante correlación entre medios hermanos paternos (0.30;

France<sup>(40)</sup>, Uruguay<sup>(41)</sup>, Brazil<sup>(42)</sup>, Canada<sup>(43)</sup>, Kenya<sup>(44)</sup>, Spain<sup>(45)</sup>, Portugal<sup>(46)</sup>, Belgium<sup>(47)</sup> and the United States<sup>(48)</sup> are good indicators of the great variability of the 504 estimates included in this range. When averaged by breed, the means of the estimates of direct heritability for weaning weight were, in general, similar for Angus (0.31; n=67), Brahman (0.26; n=17), Charolais (0.28; n=26), Hereford (0.24; n=113), Limousin (0.23; n=13), Nelore (0.26; n=45) and Simmental (0.26; n=20). For weaning weight, the mean of the estimates of direct heritability obtained with paternal half-sib correlation (0.30; n=143) tended to be larger than the means of corresponding estimates obtained with animal (0.25; n=245), sire (0.23; n=38), and sire-maternal grand sire models (0.25; n=29).

***Estimates of maternal heritability.*** Maternal genetic effects for weaning weight were, on average, lowly heritable (0.17), and were, basically, as heritable as maternal genetic effects for birth weight. The unweighted mean of the estimates of maternal heritability for weaning weight of the present review is in close proximity to the corresponding weighted means reported in two previous literature reviews<sup>(4,5)</sup>. The estimates of maternal heritability for weaning weight ranged from 0.00 to 0.76. The minimum estimate was for Mexican Angus<sup>(18)</sup>. The maximum estimate, which was the only estimate greater than 0.7, was for Canadian Hereford<sup>(49)</sup>. Other variable estimates (0.15, 0.21, 0.30, 0.36, 0.40, 0.47) within this range were for Brangus<sup>(19)</sup>, Gobra<sup>(50)</sup>, Asturiana de los Valles<sup>(51)</sup>, Nelore<sup>(52)</sup>, Hereford<sup>(53)</sup> and Senepol cattle<sup>(54)</sup>. Generally, the mean of the estimates of maternal heritability for Angus (0.16), Brahman (0.13), Charolais (0.14), Hereford (0.23), Limousin (0.15), Nelore (0.12) and Simmental (0.13) cattle were low and similar to each other. The numbers of estimates of maternal heritability were: 43, 14, 12, 51, 8, 29, and 12, respectively.

***Estimates of direct-maternal genetic correlation.*** The mean of the estimates of the direct-maternal genetic correlation for weaning weight was -0.23, which was calculated for 233 estimates found. The range of the estimates was from negative perfect (-1.00) to positive perfect correlation (1.00). The smallest

n=143) tendió a ser mayor que las medias de los estimadores correspondientes obtenidos con modelos animal (0.25; n=245), semental (0.23; n=38) y semental-abuelo materno (0.25; n=29).

*Estimadores de heredabilidad materna.* Los efectos genéticos maternos para peso al destete fueron, en promedio, poco heredables (0.17) y fueron, básicamente, tan heredables como los efectos genéticos maternos para peso al nacimiento. La media no ponderada de los estimadores de heredabilidad materna para peso al destete de la presente revisión es parecida a las medias ponderadas correspondientes reportadas en dos revisiones bibliográficas anteriores<sup>(4,5)</sup>. Los estimadores de heredabilidad materna para peso al destete estuvieron dentro de un rango que fue de 0.00 a 0.76. El estimador mínimo fue para Angus mexicano<sup>(18)</sup>. El estimador máximo, el cual fue el único estimador mayor que 0.7, fue para Hereford Canadiense<sup>(49)</sup>. Otros estimadores variables (0.15, 0.21, 0.30, 0.36, 0.40, 0.47) dentro de este rango fueron para ganado Brangus<sup>(19)</sup>, Gobra<sup>(50)</sup>, Asturiana de los Valles<sup>(51)</sup>, Nelore<sup>(52)</sup>, Hereford<sup>(53)</sup> y Senepol<sup>(54)</sup>. En general, las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad materna para ganado Angus (0.16), Brahman (0.13), Charolais (0.14), Hereford (0.23), Limousin (0.15), Nelore (0.12) y Simmental (0.13) fueron bajas y similares entre ellas. Los números de estimadores de heredabilidad materna fueron: 43, 14, 12, 51, 8, 29 y 12, respectivamente.

*Estimadores de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos.* La media de los estimadores de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos para peso al destete fue -0.23, la cual fue calculada para 233 estimadores encontrados en la literatura. El rango de los estimadores fue de una correlación perfecta negativa (-1.00) a correlación perfecta positiva (1.00). El menor estimador fue obtenido para ganado Hereford canadiense aplicando REML libre de derivadas y ajustando un modelo animal<sup>(49)</sup>. El mayor estimador también fue obtenido aplicando REML libre de derivadas ajustando un modelo animal, pero para ganado Tabapua brasileño<sup>(55)</sup>. Algunos ejemplos de estimadores intermedios (-0.72, -0.61, 0.05, 0.38, 0.89) de la

estimate was obtained applying Derivative-Free REML fitting an animal model for Canadian Hereford cattle<sup>(49)</sup>. The greatest estimate also was obtained applying Derivative-Free REML fitting an animal model but for Brazilian Tabapua cattle<sup>(55)</sup>. Some examples of intermediate estimates of direct-maternal genetic correlation for weaning weight are those (-0.72, -0.61, 0.05, 0.38, 0.89) obtained by Ríos-Utrera *et al*<sup>(8)</sup>, Rasali *et al*<sup>(56)</sup>, Nephawe *et al*<sup>(57)</sup>, Núñez-Domínguez *et al*<sup>(58)</sup> and Ishida and Mukai<sup>(59)</sup>. For weaning weight, the means of the estimates of direct-maternal genetic correlation for Angus (-0.27), Brahman (-0.13), Charolais (-0.47), Hereford (-0.37), Limousin (-0.27), Nelore (-0.06) and Simmental (-0.18) were more variable, in contrast to the mean of the estimates of direct and maternal heritability. The numbers of estimates by breed were: 35, 11, 11, 49, 8, 20, and 12, respectively.

*Estimates of maternal permanent environmental effects.* The mean of the estimates (n=171) of the maternal permanent environmental variance as a proportion of the phenotypic variance for weaning weight was greater than the corresponding mean for birth weight (0.14 vs 0.06). Crews and Kemp<sup>(60)</sup>, for several crosses in Canada, reported an estimate of the proportion of the phenotypic variance due to maternal permanent environmental effects for weaning weight of 0.02, which was the smallest estimate found. In contrast, an estimate of 0.67, which was the greatest estimate found, was obtained for Santa Gertrudis cattle in Brazil<sup>(25)</sup>. Estimates of 0.10<sup>(61)</sup>, 0.15<sup>(50)</sup>, 0.20<sup>(62)</sup>, 0.26<sup>(23)</sup> and 0.35<sup>(63)</sup> also were found, indicating variation among them. Angus (0.10), Brahman (0.12), Charolais (0.13), Limousin (0.11), Nelore (0.12) and Simmental (0.09) had similar means of the estimates of the maternal permanent environmental variance as a proportion of the phenotypic variance for weaning weight. However, the corresponding mean of the estimates for Hereford (0.18) was two fold greater than the corresponding mean of the estimates for Simmental. Meyer<sup>(3)</sup>, who concluded that weaning weight in Herefords was primarily determined by permanent environmental effects due to the dam, reported an estimate (0.23) similar to the unweighted mean obtained here for Hereford.



correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos para peso al destete son los obtenidos por Ríos-Utrera *et al*<sup>(8)</sup>, Rasali *et al*<sup>(56)</sup>, Nephawe *et al*<sup>(57)</sup>, Núñez-Domínguez *et al*<sup>(58)</sup> e Ishida y Mukai<sup>(59)</sup>. Para peso al destete, las medias de los estimadores de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos para Angus (-0.27), Brahman (-0.13), Charolais (-0.47), Hereford (-0.37), Limousin (-0.27), Nelore (-0.06) y Simmental (-0.18) fueron más variables, en contraste con las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa y materna. Los números de estimadores por raza fueron: 35, 11, 11, 49, 8, 20 y 12, respectivamente.

*Estimadores de efectos del ambiente materno permanente.* La media de los estimadores (n=171) de la varianza del ambiente materno permanente como proporción de la varianza fenotípica para peso al destete fue mayor que la media correspondiente para peso al nacimiento (0.14 vs 0.06). Crews y Kemp<sup>(60)</sup>, para diversas cruzas en Canadá, reportaron un estimador de la fracción de la varianza fenotípica debida a efectos del ambiente materno permanente para peso al destete de 0.02, el cual fue el menor estimador encontrado. En contraste, para ganado Santa Gertrudis en Brasil<sup>(25)</sup>, se obtuvo un estimador de 0.67, el cual fue el mayor estimador encontrado. Estimadores con valores de 0.10<sup>(61)</sup>, 0.15<sup>(50)</sup>, 0.20<sup>(62)</sup>, 0.26<sup>(23)</sup> y 0.35<sup>(63)</sup> también fueron encontrados, indicando variación entre ellos. Angus (0.10), Brahman (0.12), Charolais (0.13), Limousin (0.11), Nelore (0.12) y Simmental (0.09) tuvieron similares medias de los estimadores de la varianza del ambiente materno permanente como proporción de la varianza fenotípica para peso al destete. Sin embargo, la media correspondiente para Hereford (0.18) fue dos veces mayor que la media correspondiente para Simmental. Meyer<sup>(3)</sup>, y se concluyó que el peso al destete en Hereford estuvo principalmente determinado por efectos del ambiente permanente debido a la madre, reportando un estimador (0.23) similar a la media no ponderada obtenida para Hereford en este estudio.

*Estimadores de heredabilidad total.* La media de los 243 estimadores de heredabilidad total para peso al destete fue 0.25. Los estimadores mínimo (0.01) y máximo (0.81) de heredabilidad total revelaron

*Estimates of total heritability.* The mean of the 243 estimates of total heritability for weaning weight was 0.25. The minimum (0.01) and the maximum (0.81) estimates of total heritability revealed a wide range. The minimum estimate was reported for double muscled cattle in Canada<sup>(49)</sup>. The maximum estimate was reported for Hereford cattle in the United States<sup>(38)</sup>. Only two estimates of total heritability exceeded 0.7. Other estimates of total heritability for weaning weight inside this range were: 0.20<sup>(30)</sup>, 0.30<sup>(64)</sup>, 0.40<sup>(65)</sup>, 0.50<sup>(66)</sup> and 0.64<sup>(56)</sup>. In general, the means of the estimates of total heritability were similar for Angus, Brahman, Charolais, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore and Simmental. Such means were: 0.28 (n=35), 0.22 (n=11), 0.18 (n=11), 0.22 (n=49), 0.25 (n=8), 0.26 (n=20) and 0.21 (n=12), respectively.

#### **Average daily gain**

*Estimates of direct heritability.* The mean of the estimates of direct heritability for average daily gain was practically equal to the mean of the estimates of direct heritability for weaning weight (0.26 vs 0.27). Koots *et al*<sup>(5)</sup> obtained a similar weighted mean of estimates of direct heritability for average daily gain (0.29). The number of estimates of direct heritability found for average daily gain was 123. Estimates of direct heritability for average daily gain ranged from a negative (-0.02) to a positive estimate (0.77). The negative estimate was published for a variety of breeds and crosses in the United States<sup>(39)</sup>; the positive estimate was published for Nelore cattle in Brazil<sup>(67)</sup>. The maximum estimate found was the only estimate greater than 0.7. Burrow<sup>(68)</sup>, Miller and Wilton<sup>(69)</sup>, DeNise *et al*<sup>(70)</sup>, Shibata and Kumazaki<sup>(71)</sup>, Salgado and Franke<sup>(72)</sup> and Magaña *et al*<sup>(73)</sup> reported variable estimates, which were: 0.14, 0.22, 0.32, 0.42, 0.55 and 0.60, respectively.

*Estimates of maternal heritability.* Fifty estimates of maternal heritability for average daily gain were found in the literature. Those estimates had a mean of 0.20. The estimates of maternal heritability for average daily gain analyzed by Koots *et al*<sup>(5)</sup> had a weighted mean (0.24) similar to the unweighted mean obtained in the present analysis. The estimates

un amplio rango. El estimador mínimo fue reportado para ganado con doble músculo en Canadá<sup>(49)</sup>. El estimador máximo fue reportado para ganado Hereford en los Estados Unidos<sup>(38)</sup>. Sólo dos estimadores de heredabilidad total excedieron 0.7. Otros estimadores de heredabilidad total para peso al destete dentro de este rango fueron: 0.20<sup>(30)</sup>, 0.30<sup>(64)</sup>, 0.40<sup>(65)</sup>, 0.50<sup>(66)</sup> y 0.64<sup>(56)</sup>. En general, las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad total fueron similares para Angus, Brahman, Charoláis, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore y Simmental. Las medias fueron: 0.28 (n=35), 0.22 (n=11), 0.18 (n=11), 0.22 (n=49), 0.25 (n=8), 0.26 (n=20) y 0.21 (n=12), respectivamente.

### **Ganancia diaria de peso**

*Estimadores de heredabilidad directa.* La media de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para ganancia diaria de peso fue prácticamente igual a la media de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para peso al destete (0.26 vs 0.27). Koots *et al*<sup>(5)</sup> obtuvieron una media ponderada similar (0.29) de estimadores de heredabilidad directa para ganancia diaria de peso. El número de estimadores de heredabilidad directa encontrados para ganancia diaria de peso fue 123. Los estimadores de heredabilidad directa para ganancia diaria de peso se encontraron dentro de un rango que fue de un estimador negativo (-0.02) a un estimador positivo (0.77). El estimador negativo fue publicado para varias razas y cruzas en los Estados Unidos<sup>(39)</sup>; el estimador positivo fue publicado para ganado Nelore en Brasil<sup>(67)</sup>. El máximo estimador encontrado fue el único estimador mayor que 0.7. Burrow<sup>(68)</sup>, Miller y Wilton<sup>(69)</sup>, DeNise *et al*<sup>(70)</sup>, Shibata y Kumazaki<sup>(71)</sup>, Salgado y Franke<sup>(72)</sup> y Magaña *et al*<sup>(73)</sup> reportaron estimadores variables, los cuales fueron: 0.14, 0.22, 0.32, 0.42, 0.55 y 0.60, respectivamente.

*Estimadores de heredabilidad materna.* Cincuenta estimadores de heredabilidad materna para ganancia diaria de peso fueron encontrados en la literatura. Estos estimadores tuvieron una media de 0.20. Los estimadores de heredabilidad materna para ganancia diaria de peso analizados por Koots *et al*<sup>(5)</sup> tuvieron una media ponderada (0.24) similar a la media no

of heritability for maternal effects ranged widely. For Spanish Asturiana de los Valles cattle, a near zero estimate (0.01) was reported<sup>(45)</sup>, which suggest that maternal genetic effects have little or nil influence on average daily gain. In contrast, for Canadian Hereford, a relatively great estimate (0.70) was reported<sup>(49)</sup>, which indicates that the maternal genetic component have large effects on average daily gain. As occurred with estimates of direct heritability, estimates of maternal heritability for average daily gain varied largely. For example, Sapp *et al*<sup>(74)</sup>, Roso *et al*<sup>(75)</sup>, Stålhammar and Philipsson<sup>(76)</sup>, Deese and Koger<sup>(77)</sup> and Pang *et al*<sup>(49)</sup> reported estimates of 0.14, 0.20, 0.31, 0.46 and 0.53, respectively.

*Estimates of direct-maternal genetic correlation.* The mean of the estimates of the genetic correlation between direct and maternal effects for average daily gain was -0.40. This mean estimate was about two fold smaller than the means of the estimates of the direct-maternal genetic correlation for birth and weaning weight. The 45 estimates found were within a wide range. This range included positive and negative estimates, although most of the estimates were negative. Of the total number of estimates only three were positive. To some extent, this last finding could be the reason of the smaller mean estimate of such correlation obtained for average daily gain, compared to corresponding mean estimates for birth and weaning weight. The minimum estimate (-0.98), obtained with an animal model and Derivative-Free REML, was reported for double muscled cattle in Canada<sup>(49)</sup>. The maximum estimate (0.55), obtained with an animal model and Average-Information REML, was reported for Japanese Black cattle raised in Japan<sup>(59)</sup>. Some negative estimates reported were: -0.79<sup>(78)</sup>, -0.63<sup>(79)</sup>, -0.51<sup>(80)</sup>, -0.35<sup>(74)</sup>, -0.25<sup>(81)</sup> and -0.05<sup>(82)</sup>. The three positive estimates were reported by Ishida and Mukai<sup>(59)</sup>, Stålhammar and Philipsson<sup>(76)</sup> and Deese and Koger<sup>(77)</sup>.

*Estimates of maternal permanent environmental effects.* The estimates of the maternal permanent environmental variance as a proportion of the phenotypic variance for average daily gain had a mean of 0.15 (n=23). The range of the estimates

ponderada obtenida en el presente análisis. Los estimadores de heredabilidad para efectos maternos se distribuyeron dentro de un rango muy amplio. Para ganado español Asturiana de los Valles fue reportado<sup>(45)</sup> un estimador cercano a cero (0.01), el cual sugiere que los efectos genéticos maternos tienen poca o nula influencia sobre la ganancia diaria de peso. En contraste, para Hereford canadiense, se publicó<sup>(49)</sup> un estimador relativamente grande (0.70), el cual indica que el componente genético materno tiene grandes efectos sobre la ganancia diaria de peso. Como ocurrió con los estimadores de heredabilidad directa, los estimadores de heredabilidad materna para ganancia diaria de peso variaron grandemente. Por ejemplo, Sapp *et al*<sup>(74)</sup>, Roso *et al*<sup>(75)</sup>, Stålhammar y Philipsson<sup>(76)</sup>, Deese y Koger<sup>(77)</sup> y Pang *et al*<sup>(49)</sup> mencionan estimadores de 0.14, 0.20, 0.31, 0.46 y 0.53, respectivamente.

*Estimadores de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos.* La media de los estimadores de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos fue -0.40 para ganancia diaria de peso. Este estimador promedio fue casi dos veces más pequeño que el estimador promedio de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos para peso al nacimiento y peso al destete. Los 45 estimadores encontrados estuvieron dentro de un amplio rango, el cual incluyó estimadores positivos y negativos; del número total de estimadores sólo tres fueron positivos. En cierto grado, este último hallazgo puede ser la causa de haber obtenido un menor estimador promedio de dicha correlación para ganancia diaria de peso, comparado con el estimador promedio correspondiente para peso al nacimiento y peso al destete. El estimador mínimo (-0.98), obtenido con un modelo animal y REML libre de derivadas, se reportó para ganado con doble músculo en Canadá<sup>(49)</sup>. El estimador máximo (0.55), obtenido con un modelo animal y REML basado en un algoritmo de información promedio, se mencionó para ganado Japonés Negro criado en Japón<sup>(59)</sup>. Algunos estimadores negativos en la literatura fueron: -0.79<sup>(78)</sup>, -0.63<sup>(79)</sup>, -0.51<sup>(80)</sup>, -0.35<sup>(74)</sup>, -0.25<sup>(81)</sup> y -0.05<sup>(82)</sup>. Los tres estimadores positivos correspondieron a Ishida y Mukai<sup>(59)</sup>, Stålhammar y Philipsson<sup>(76)</sup>, y Deese y Koger<sup>(77)</sup>.

of the maternal permanent environmental variance as a proportion of the phenotypic variance for average daily gain was not as large as the corresponding range for birth and weaning weight. Both, the minimum (0.03) and the maximum estimate (0.33), for Limousin and Hereford cattle raised in Sweden, were obtained by Stålhammar and Philipsson<sup>(76)</sup>. Other estimates within this range were obtained by Gunski *et al*<sup>(83)</sup>, Shi *et al*<sup>(81)</sup>, Corbet *et al*<sup>(31)</sup> and Prayaga and Henshall<sup>(84)</sup>, who reported estimates of 0.06, 0.09, 0.17 and 0.22, respectively.

*Estimates of total heritability.* The mean of the estimates of total heritability for average daily gain (0.24) was extremely similar to the mean of the estimates of total heritability for weaning weight (0.25), but somewhat smaller than the mean of the estimates of total heritability for birth weight (0.36). Fifty estimates of total heritability were found for average daily gain. Such estimates ranged from 0.00 for Canadian crossbred cattle<sup>(60)</sup> to 0.74 for American Hereford<sup>(38,85)</sup>. Estimates of total heritability reported in previous studies (72,86,87,88) were variable (0.08, 0.19, 0.36, 0.41).

## CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

The analysis of estimates of genetic parameters, published in the scientific literature from 1946 through 2006, showed that the genotype of the calf was more important than the genotype of the dam to determine preweaning growth traits, as indicated by the moderate means of estimates of direct heritability and the low means of estimates of maternal heritability. Maternal permanent environmental effects had a larger influence on weaning weight and average daily gain than on birth weight. However, the means of the estimates of total heritability suggest that total genetic progress to single-trait selection would be possible for birth weight, weaning weight and average daily gain. Estimates within each of the five genetic parameters varied greatly for each of the three preweaning growth traits. Such variation may reflect differences in breed groups, data source (field or experimental), methods of estimation, effects included in the model, number of records, measurement errors, sex,

*Estimadores de efectos del ambiente materno permanente.* Los estimadores de la varianza del ambiente materno permanente como proporción de la varianza fenotípica para ganancia diaria de peso tuvieron una media de 0.15 (n=23). El rango de los estimadores de la varianza del ambiente materno permanente como proporción de la varianza fenotípica para ganancia diaria de peso no fue tan grande como el rango correspondiente para peso al nacimiento y peso al destete. Ambos, el estimador mínimo (0.03) y el estimador máximo (0.33), para ganado Limousin y Hereford criado en Suecia, se obtuvieron por Stålhammar y Philipsson<sup>(76)</sup>. Otros estimadores dentro de este rango se obtuvieron por Gunski *et al*<sup>(83)</sup>, Shi *et al*<sup>(81)</sup>, Corbet *et al*<sup>(31)</sup> y Prayaga y Henshall<sup>(84)</sup>, quienes mencionaron estimadores de 0.06, 0.09, 0.17 y 0.22, respectivamente.

*Estimadores de heredabilidad total.* La media de los estimadores de heredabilidad total para ganancia diaria de peso (0.24) fue muy similar a la media de los estimadores de heredabilidad total para peso al destete (0.25), pero fue algo menor que la media de los estimadores de heredabilidad total para peso al nacimiento (0.36). Cincuenta estimadores de heredabilidad total se encontraron para ganancia diaria de peso. Tales estimadores fueron de 0.00 para ganado cruzado canadiense<sup>(60)</sup> a 0.74 para Hereford estadounidense<sup>(38,85)</sup>. Los estimadores de heredabilidad total reportados en estudios previos<sup>(72,86,87,88)</sup> fueron variables (0.08, 0.19, 0.36, 0.41).

## CONCLUSIONES E IMPLICACIONES

Los análisis de los estimadores de parámetros genéticos, publicados en la literatura científica de 1946 a 2006, revelaron que el genotipo del becerro fue más importante que el genotipo de la vaca para determinar características de crecimiento predestete, como lo indican las medias moderadas de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa y las medias bajas de los estimadores de heredabilidad materna. Los efectos del ambiente materno permanente tuvieron mayor influencia sobre peso al destete y ganancia diaria de peso que sobre peso al nacimiento. Sin embargo, las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad total sugieren que

environment and management. Estimates of direct heritability, maternal heritability, and total heritability greater than 0.7, were rare. The means of the estimates of direct heritability and of maternal heritability for weaning weight were similar for Angus, Brahman, Charolais, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore and Simmental, despite the fact that *B. indicus* breeds (Nelore and Brahman) are generally raised under harsh environments with poor management. However, the means of the estimates of direct-maternal genetic correlation for weaning weight were different among the most genetically studied breeds mentioned above. For weaning weight, the mean of the estimates of direct heritability obtained with paternal half-sib analyses tended to be larger than the means of corresponding estimates obtained with animal, sire, and sire-maternal grand sire models, suggesting that paternal half-sib analyses may result in biased estimates of heritability.

*End of english version*

---

progreso genético, como respuesta a la selección para una sola característica, es posible para peso al nacimiento, peso al destete y ganancia diaria de peso. Los estimadores dentro de cada uno de los cinco parámetros genéticos variaron grandemente para cada una de las tres características de crecimiento predestete. Esta variación puede reflejar diferencias en raza, fuente de la información (experimento o campo), método de estimación, efectos incluidos en el modelo, número de registros, errores de medición, sexo, ambiente y manejo. Estimadores de heredabilidad directa, heredabilidad materna y heredabilidad total mayores que 0.7 fueron poco frecuentes en la literatura. Las medias de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa y heredabilidad materna para peso al destete fueron similares para Angus, Brahman, Charolais, Hereford, Limousin, Nelore y Simmental, a pesar del hecho de que las razas *B. indicus* (Nelore y Brahman) son generalmente criadas en ambientes hostiles con manejo deficiente. Sin embargo, las medias de los estimadores de la correlación genética entre efectos directos y maternos para peso al destete fueron

diferentes entre las razas genéticamente más estudiadas aquí mencionadas. Para peso al destete, la media de los estimadores de heredabilidad directa obtenidos mediante correlación entre medios hermanos paternos tendió a ser mayor que las medias de los estimadores correspondientes obtenidos con modelos animal, semental y semental-abuelo materno, sugiriendo que el método de la correlación entre medios hermanos paternos puede proporcionar estimadores sesgados de heredabilidad.

## LITERATURA CITADA

- Mercadante MEZ, Lôbo RB, de los Reyes BA. Parámetros genéticos para características de crecimiento en cebuinos de carne. *Arch Latinoam Prod Anim* 1995;3:45-89.
- Davis GP. Genetic parameters for tropical beef cattle in Northern Australia: A review. *Aust J Agric Res* 1993;44:179-198.
- Meyer K. Variance components due to direct and maternal effects for growth traits of Australian beef cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 1992;31:179-204.
- Mohiuddin G. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters of some performance traits in beef cattle. *Anim Breed Abstr* 1993;61:495-522.
- Koots KR, Gibson JP, Wilton JW. Analyses of published genetic parameter estimates for beef production traits. 1. Heritability. *Anim Breed Abstr* 1994;62:309-338.
- Rosales-Alday J, Elzo MA, Montaña-Bermúdez M, Vega-Murillo VE, Reyes-Valdez A. Genetic parameters for birth and weaning weights in Simmental-Brahman herds under Mexican subtropical conditions. *Téc Pecu Méx* 2004;42:333-346.
- Gregory KE, Blunn CT, Baker ML. A study of some of the factors influencing the birth and weaning weights of beef calves. *J Anim Sci* 1950;9:338-346.
- Ríos-Utrera A, Martínez-Velázquez G, Tsuruta S, Bertrand JK, Vega-Murillo VE, Montaña-Bermúdez M. Estimates of genetic parameters for growth traits of Mexican Charolais cattle. *Tec Pecu Mex* 2007;45(2):121-130.
- Martínez G, Galíndez R. Genetic and environmental trends for birth and weaning weights in registered Brahman cattle. In: Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-60. 2006.
- Carter AH, Morris CA, Baker RL, Bennett GL, Johnson DL, Hunter JC, Hickey SM. Long-term selection for yearling weight or postweaning gain in Angus cattle. *N Z J Agric Res* 1990;33:49-61.
- Eriksson S, Näsholm A, Johansson K, Philipsson J. Genetic parameters for calving difficulty, stillbirth, and birth weight for Hereford and Charolais at first and later parities. *J Anim Sci* 2004;82:375-383.
- Mackinnon MJ, Meyer K, Hetzel DJS. Genetic variation and covariation for growth, parasite resistance and heat tolerance in tropical cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 1991;27:105-122.
- Knights SA, Baker RL, Gianola D, Gibb JB. Estimates of heritabilities and of genetic and phenotypic correlations among growth and reproductive traits in yearling Angus bulls. *J Anim Sci* 1984;58:887-893.
- Martínez RA, Pérez JE, Herazo T. Estimation of genetic parameters and variance components for growth traits in Costeño Con Cuernos cattle in Colombian humid tropic. In: Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil. [CD-ROM]. Session 32, Utilization of Breed Resources, Communication No. 32-20. 2006.
- Nelsen TC, Short RE, Urick JJ, Reynolds WL. Genetic variance components of birth weight in a herd of unselected cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1984;59:1459-1466.
- Pico BA, Naser FWC, van Wyk JB. Genetic parameters for growth traits in South African Brahman cattle. *S Afr J Anim Sci* 2004;34(Suppl 2):44-46.
- Elzo MA, Martínez G, Gonzáles F, Huertas H. Variabilidad y predicciones genéticas aditivas, no aditivas y totales para la producción de ganado de carne en el rebaño multirracial Sanmartinero-Cebú de La Libertad. *R Corpoica* 2001;3:51-64.
- Núñez-Domínguez R, Ramírez-Valverde R, Hernández-Alvarez O, Ruiz-Flores A, Domínguez-Viveros J, García-Muñoz JG. Single vs bivariate analyses for the genetic evaluation of growth traits in Mexican cattle populations. In: Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-67. 2006.
- Kriese LA, Bertrand JK, Benyshek LL. Genetic and environmental growth trait parameter estimates for Brahman and Brahman-derivative cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69:2362-2370.
- Cantet RJC, Kress DD, Anderson DC, Doornbos DE, Burfening PJ, Blackwell RL. Direct and maternal variances and covariances and maternal phenotypic effects on preweaning growth of beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1988;66:648-660.
- Naazie A, Makarechian M, Berg RT. Genetic, phenotypic, and environmental parameter estimates of calving difficulty, weight, and measures of pelvic size in beef heifers. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69:4793-4800.
- Migose SA, Itatsia ED, Muhuyi WB, Kahi AK. Direct and maternal (co)variance components and genetic parameters for growth traits in the Sahiwal cattle in Kenya. In: Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 32, Utilization of Breed Resources, Communication No. 32-21. 2006.
- Snelling WM, MacNeil MD, Kress DD, Anderson DC, Tess MW. Factors influencing genetic evaluations of linebred Hereford cattle in diverse environments. *J Anim Sci* 1996;74:1499-1510.
- Plasse D, Arango J, Fossi H, Camaripano L, Llamozas G, Pierre A, Romero R. Genetic and non-genetic trends for calf weights in a *Bos indicus* herd upgraded to pedigree Brahman. *Livest Res Rural Devel* 2004;16(7) [on line]. <http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd16/7/plas16046.htm>; accessed April 1, 2005.
- Ribeiro PMT, Ferraz JBS, Eler JP. Parâmetros genéticos e nível de endogamia em bovinos da raça Santa Gertrudis no Brasil. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2000;52(6). [on line]. [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S0102-09352000000600015&lng=en&nrm=iso](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-09352000000600015&lng=en&nrm=iso). Accessed August 24, 2006.
- Hetzel DJS, Quaas RL, Seifert GW, Bean KG, Burrow HM, Aspden WJ. Genetic parameters for growth of tropical beef cattle. In: Proc 8th Conf Australian Assoc Anim Breed Genet. Hamilton, NZ. 1990:517-520.

27. Tosh JJ, Kemp RA, Ward DR. Variance components estimates for birth weight and weaning weight in a composite beef cattle population [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1995;73(Suppl 1):118.
28. Grotheer VV, Röhe R, Kalm E. Entwicklung einer zuchtwertschätzung für fleischrinder in Deutschland. 2. Mitteilung: Schätzung genetischer parameter. *Züchtungskunde* 1997;69:349-365.
29. Marcondes CR, Bergmann JAG, Eler JP, Ferraz JBS, Pereira JCC, Penna VM. Análise de alguns critérios de seleção para características de crescimento na raça Nelore. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2000;52(1) [on line]. [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S0102-09352000000100018&lng=en&nrm=iso](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-09352000000100018&lng=en&nrm=iso). Accessed August 24, 2006.
30. Norris D, Banga C, Benyi K, Sithole BC. Estimation of genetic parameters and variance components for growth traits of Nguni cattle in Limpopo province, South Africa. *Trop Anim Hlth Prod* 2004;36:801-806.
31. Corbet NJ, Shephera RK, Burrow HM, Prayaga KC, van der Westhuizen J, Bosman DJ. Evaluation of Bonsmara and Belmont Red cattle breeds in South Africa. 2. Genetic parameters for growth and fertility. *Aust J Exp Agric* 2006;46:213-223.
32. Andries KM, Schalles RR, Franke DE. Estimates of direct and maternal heritability and correlations for birth weight and weaning weight from hybrid cattle [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1994;72(Suppl 1):148.
33. Maiwashe AN, Bradfield MJ, Theron HE, van Wyk JB. Genetic parameter estimates for body measurements and growth traits in South African Bonsmara cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 2002;75:293-300.
34. Trus D, Wilton JW. Genetic parameters for maternal traits in beef cattle. *Can J Anim Sci* 1988;68:119-128.
35. Ferreira GB, MacNeil MD, Van Vleck LD. Variance components and breeding values for growth traits from different statistical models. *J Anim Sci* 1999;77:2641-2650.
36. Lee DH, Bertrand JK. Investigation of genotype x country interactions for growth traits in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 2002;80:330-337.
37. Mwansa PB, Crews DH, Wilton JW, Kemp RA. Multiple trait selection for maternal productivity in beef cattle. *J Anim Breed Genet* 2002;119:391-399.
38. Brown CJ, Johnson ZB, Wright DW. Pre- and postnatal direct and maternal additive genetic influences on preweaning growth traits of beef calves. In: *Proc 4th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Edinburgh. 1990;15:267-270.
39. Swiger LA, Koch RM, Gregory KE, Arthaud VH, Rowden WW, Ingalls JE. Evaluating pre-weaning growth of beef calves. *J Anim Sci* 1962;21:781-786.
40. Quintanilla R, Laloë D, Renand G. Heterogeneity of variances across regions for weaning weight in Charolais breed. In: *Proc 7th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Montpellier, France [CD-ROM]. Session 18, Genotype by environment interaction, Communication No. 18-10. 2002.
41. De Mattos D, Bertrand JK, Misztal I. Investigation of genotype x environment interactions for weaning weight for Herefords in three countries. *J Anim Sci* 2000;78:2121-2126.
42. Cabrera ME, Garnero A del V, Lôbo RB, Gunski RJ. Efecto de la incorporación de la covarianza genética directa-materna en el análisis de características de crecimiento en la raza Nelore. *Livest Res Rural Devel* 2001;13(3) [on line]. <http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd12/1/cabr133.htm>. Accessed April 1, 2005.
43. Fahmy MH, Lalande G. Genetic and environmental trends in preweaning performance of beef Shorthorn calves. *Can J Anim Sci* 1973;53:637-640.
44. Wasike CB, Ojango JMK, Kahi AK. Genetic parameters for growth and reproductive traits in the Kenya Boran cattle. In: *Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-90. 2006.
45. Gutiérrez JP, Fernández I, Alvarez I, Royo LJ, Goyache F. Sire x contemporary group interactions for birth weight and preweaning growth traits in the Asturiana de los Valles beef cattle breed. *Livest Sci* 2006;99:61-68.
46. Carolino N, Espadinha P, Gama LT. Heritability estimates for reproductive, growth and carcass traits in the Alentejana breed of cattle. In: *Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-33. 2006.
47. Gengler N, Seutin C, Boonen F, Van Vleck LD. Estimation of genetic parameters for growth, feed consumption, and conformation traits for doubled-muscled Belgian Blue bulls performance-tested in Belgium. *J Anim Sci* 1995;73:3269-3273.
48. Northcutt SL, Wilson DE. Genetic parameter estimates and expected progeny differences for mature size in Angus cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1993;71:1148-1153.
49. Pang H, Liu MF, Makarechian M, Berg RT. Estimation of variance components due to direct and maternal effects for growth traits of young beef bulls in four breed groups. In: *Proc 5th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Guelph, Ontario, Canada. 1994;17:229-232.
50. Diop M, Dodenhoff J, Van Vleck LD. Estimates of direct, maternal and grandmaternal genetic effects for growth traits in Gobra cattle. *Genet Mol Biol* 1999;22:363-367.
51. Gutiérrez JP, Canon J, Goyache F. Estimation of direct and maternal genetic parameters for preweaning traits in the Asturiana de los Valles beef cattle breed through animal and sire models. *J Anim Breed Genet* 1997;114:261-266.
52. Ribeiro MN, Pimenta Filho EC, Martins GA, Sarmento JLR, Filho RM. Herdabilidade para efeitos direto e materno de características de crescimento de bovinos Nelore no estado da Paraíba. *R Bras Zootec* 2001;30:1224-1227.
53. Hohenboken WD, Brinks JS. Relationships between direct and maternal effects on growth in Herefords: II. Partitioning of covariance between relatives. *J Anim Sci* 1971;32:26-34.
54. Wright DW, Johnson ZB, Brown CJ, Wildeus S. Variance and covariance estimates for weaning weight of Senepol cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69:3945-3951.
55. Fridrich AB, Silva MA, Fridrich D, Corrêa GSS, Silva LOC, Sakaguti ES, Ferreira IC, Valente BD. Interação genótipo x ambiente e estimativas de parâmetros genéticos de características ponderais de bovinos Tabapuã. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2005;57:663-672.
56. Rasali DP, Crow GH, Shrestha JNB, Kennedy AD, Brûlé-Babel A. Multiple trait estimates of genetic parameters for juvenile growth and calving traits in Canadian Angus cattle. *Can J Anim Sci* 2005;85:309-316.
57. Nephawe KA, Naser FWC, Roux CZ, Theron HE, van der Westhuizen J, Erasmus GJ. Sire x ecological region interaction in Bonsmara cattle. *S Afr J Anim Sci* 1999;29:189-201.
58. Núñez-Domínguez R, Van Vleck LD, Boldman KG, Cundiff LV. Correlations for genetic expression for growth of calves of Hereford and Angus dams using a multivariate animal model. *J Anim Sci* 1993;71:2330-2340.

## PARÂMETROS GENÉTICOS PARA CRECIMENTO PREDESTETE DE BOVINOS

59. Ishida T, Mukai F. Estimation of dominance genetic variances for reproductive traits and growth traits of calves in Japanese Black cattle. *Anim Sci J* 2004;75:285-294.
60. Crews DH, Kemp RA. Genetic parameters among preweaning and carcass traits of crossbred beef cattle. *Proceedings, Western Section, Ame Soc Anim Sci* 1998;49:65-68.
61. Lee C, Van Tassell CP, Pollak EJ. Estimation of genetic variance and covariance components for weaning weight in Simmental cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1997;75:325-330.
62. Meyer K, Carrick MJ, Donnelly BJP. Genetic parameters for growth traits of Australian beef cattle from a multibreed selection experiment. *J Anim Sci* 1993;71:2614-2622.
63. Cyrillo JNSG, de Alencar MM, Razoock AG, Mercadante MEZ, Figueiredo LA. Modelagem e estimação de parâmetros genéticos e fenotípicos para pesos do nascimento à seleção (378 dias) de machos Nelore. *R Bras Zootec* 2004;33:1405-1415.
64. Phocas F, Donoghue K, Graser HU. Investigation of three strategies for an international genetic evaluation of beef cattle weaning weight. *Genet Sel Evol* 2005;37:361-380.
65. Kars AA, Erasmus GJ, van der Westhuizen J. Variance component and heritability estimates for growth traits in the Nguni cattle stud at Bartlow Combine. *S Afr J Anim Sci* 1994;24:129-132.
66. Mello SP, Alencar MM, Silva LOC, Barbosa RT, Barbosa PF. Estimativas de (co)variâncias e Tendências genéticas para pesos em um rebanho Canchim. *R Bras Zootec* 2002;31(Suppl 3):1707-1714.
67. Silva AHG, Torres JR, Carneiro GG, Monteiro LA, Pereira CS. Estimativas de heritabilidade de ganhos de peso do nascimento à desmama, aos 12 meses e aos 18 meses e de peso aos 18 meses de idade de machos e fêmeas da raça Nelore. *Arq Esc Vet UFMG* 1979;31:187-195.
68. Burrow HM. Variances and covariances between productive and adaptive traits and temperament in a composite breed of tropical beef cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 2001;70:213-233.
69. Miller SP, Wilton JW. Genetic relationships among direct and maternal components of milk yield and maternal weaning gain in a multibreed beef herd. *J Anim Sci* 1999;77:1155-1161.
70. DeNise SK, Torabi M, Ray DE, Rice R. Genetic parameter estimates for preweaning traits of beef cattle in a stressful environment. *J Anim Sci* 1988;66:1899-1906.
71. Shibata T, Kumazaki K. Studies on the development of improved strains of Japanese beef cattle. 2. Genetic and environmental effects on preweaning growth of Japanese Brown calves. *Anim Breed Abstr* 1984;52:7116.
72. Salgado DJ, Franke DE. Variance components for preweaning traits of crossbred beef cattle [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1996;74(Suppl 1):106.
73. Magaña JG, Estrada R, Segura JC. Genetic parameters and genetic trends for growth traits in Brahman cattle in tropics of Mexico. In: *Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 16, Adaptation to Tropical Environments, Communication No. 16-14. 2006.*
74. Sapp RL, Rekaya R, Bertrand JK. Teat scores in first-parity Gelbvieh cows: Relationship with suspensory score and calf growth traits. *J Anim Sci* 2004;82:2277-2284.
75. Roso VM, Schenkel FS, Miller SP, Wilton JW. Additive, dominance, and epistatic loss effects on preweaning weight gain of crossbred beef cattle from different *Bos taurus* breeds. *J Anim Sci* 2005;83:1780-1787.
76. Stålhammar H, Philipsson J. Sex-specific genetic parameters for weaning and post-weaning gain in Swedish beef cattle under field conditions. *Acta Agric Scand Sect A Anim Sci* 1997;47:138-147.
77. Deese RE, Koger M. Maternal effects on preweaning growth rate in cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1967;26:250-253.
78. Gusso J, Braccini Neto J, Cobuci JA. Genetic parameters of average daily gain in one Hereford cattle herd. In: *Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-45. 2006.*
79. Eriksson S, Näsholm A, Johansson K, Philipsson J. Genetic analyses of field-recorded growth and carcass traits for Swedish beef cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 2003;84:53-62.
80. Cardoso FF, Cardellino RA, Campos LT. Componentes de (co)variância e parâmetros genéticos para caracteres productivos à desmama de bezerros Angus criados no estado do Rio Grande do Sul. *R Bras Zootec* 2001;30:41-48.
81. Shi MJ, Laloë D, Ménissier F, Renand G. Estimation of genetic parameters of preweaning performance in the French Limousin cattle breed. *Genet Sel Evol* 1993;25:177-189.
82. Fernandes HD, Ferreira GBB, Rorato PRN. Tendências e parâmetros genéticos para características pré-desmama em bovinos da raça Charolês criados no Rio Grande do Sul. *R Bras Zootec* 2002;31(Suppl 1):321-330.
83. Gunski RJ, Garner AV, Borjas AR, Bezerra LAF, Lôbo RB. Estimativas de parâmetros genéticos para características incluídas em critérios de seleção em gado Nelore. *Ciência Rural* 2001;31:603-607.
84. Prayaga KC, Henshall JM. Adaptability in tropical beef cattle: genetic parameters of growth, adaptive and temperament traits in a crossbred population. *Aust J Exp Agric* 2005;45:971-983.
85. Johnston DJ, Benyshek LL, Bertrand JK, Johnson MH, Weiss GM. Estimates of genetic parameters for growth and carcass traits in Charolais cattle. *Can J Anim Sci* 1992;72:493-499.
86. Demeke S, Naser FWC, Schoeman SJ. Variance components and genetic parameters for early growth traits in a mixed population of purebred *Bos indicus* and crossbred cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 2003;84:11-21.
87. Simonelli SM, Silva MA, Silva LOC, Pereira JCC, Souza JER, Ventura RV, Valente BD. Critérios de seleção para características de crescimento em bovinos na raça Nelore. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2004;56:374-384.
88. Miller SP, Wilton JW, Sullivan PG, Armstrong SL, Griffiths SJ, Vandervoort GE. Distributions of genetic evaluations obtained with a multi-breed animal model. In: *Proc 5th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Guelph, Ontario, Canada. 1994;17:245-248.*
89. Aaron DK, Thrift FA, Parish NR. Genetic parameter estimates for preweaning growth traits in Santa Gertrudis cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1987;65:1495-1499.
90. Abdullah AR, Olutogun O. Genetic evaluation of body weight and linear body measurements of N'Dama bull calves at birth in Nigeria. In: *Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. 2006. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-27.*
91. Ahunu BK, Arthur PF, Kissiedu HWA. Genetic and phenotypic parameters for birth and weaning weights of purebred and crossbred Ndama and West African Shorthorn cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 1997;51:165-171.
92. Albuquerque LG, Meyer K. Estimates of direct and maternal genetic effects for weights from birth to 600 days of age in Nelore cattle. *J Anim Breed Genet* 2001;118:83-92.

93. Albuquerque LG, Meyer K. Estimates of covariance functions for growth from birth to 630 days of age in Nelore cattle. *J Anim Sci* 2001;79:2776-2789.
94. Alenda R, Martin TG. Genetic parameters and consequences of selection for growth traits in a beef herd selected for yearling weight. *J Anim Sci* 1987;64:366-372.
95. Andrade VJ, Torres JR, Carneiro GG, Gomes FR, Miranda JFF, Salvo AEW. Estimativas de heritabilidade do peso de bezerras da raça Guzerá aos 205 dias de idade. *Arq Esc Vet UFMG* 1974;26:171-181.
96. Ap Dewi I, Ulutas Z, Owen JB, Axford RFE, Saatci M. Genetic and commercial progress in a group breeding scheme for an upland suckler breed. In: *Proc 6th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Armidale, NSW, Australia. 1998;23:169-172.*
97. Armstrong SL, Miller SP, Wilton JW, Griffiths S. Combining purebred and crossbred data in multibreed genetic evaluation of beef cattle. In: *Proc 5th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Guelph, Ontario, Canada. 1994;17:249-252.*
98. Arnason Th, Kassa-Mersha H. Genetic parameters of growth of Ethiopian Boran cattle. *Anim Prod* 1987;44:201-208.
99. Arnold JW, Bertrand JK, Benyshek LL, Ludwig C. Estimates of genetic parameters for live animal ultrasound, actual carcass data, and growth traits in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69:985-992.
100. Arthur PF, Liu MF, Makarechian M. Estimates of direct and maternal heritabilities for growth and lifetime production traits in beef cows. In: *Proc 5th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Guelph, Ontario, Canada. 1994;17:225-228.*
101. Arthur PF, Archer JA, Johnston DJ, Herd RM, Richardson EC, Parnell PF. Genetic and phenotypic variance and covariance components for feed intake, feed efficiency, and other postweaning traits in Angus cattle. *J Anim Sci* 2001;79:2805-2811.
102. Aziz MA, Nishida S, Suzuki K, Nishida A. Estimation of direct and maternal genetic and permanent environmental effects for weights from birth to 356 days of age in a herd of Japanese Black cattle using random regression. *J Anim Sci* 2005;83:519-530.
103. Baharin K, Beilharz RG. Estimation of genetic parameters in beef cattle from records of performance. *Aust J Exp Agric Anim Husb* 1975;15:731-739.
104. Bakir G, Kaygisiz A, Ülker H. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters for birth weight in Holstein Friesian cattle. *Pak J Biol Sci* 2004;7:1221-1224.
105. Barkhouse KL, Van Vleck LD, Cundiff LV, Buchanan DS, Marshall DM. Comparison of sire breed solutions for growth traits adjusted by mean expected progeny differences to a 1993 base. *J Anim Sci* 1998;76:2287-2293.
106. Barlow R, Dettmann EB. Genetic and phenotypic parameters for pre-weaning growth and weaning conformation of Angus cattle. *Aust J Agric Res* 1978;29:373-379.
107. Barlow R, O'Neill GH. Performance of Hereford and crossbred Hereford cattle in the subtropics of New South Wales: Genetic analyses of pre-weaning performance of first-cross calves. *Aust J Agric Res* 1980;31:417-427.
108. Bennett GL, Gregory KE. Genetic (co)variances among birth weight, 200-day weight, and postweaning gain in composites and parental breeds of beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1996;74:2598-2611.
109. Benyshek LL, Little DE. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters associated with pelvic area in Simmental cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1982;54:258-263.
110. Bergmann JAG, Torres JR, da Fonseca CG, Carneiro GG. Estimativas de parâmetros genéticos em características ponderais de animais Nelore. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zoot* 1983;35:427-435.
111. Berruecos JM, Robison OW. Preweaning growth in Brahman [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1968;27:1124.
112. Bertrand JK, Benyshek LL. Variance and covariance estimates for maternally influenced beef growth traits. *J Anim Sci* 1987;64:728-734.
113. Berweger Baschnagel M, Moll J, Künzi N. Comparison of models to estimate maternal effects for weaning weight of Swiss Angus cattle fitting a sire x herd interaction as an additional random effect. *Livest Prod Sci* 1999;60:203-208.
114. Bishop SC. Phenotypic and genetic variation in body weight, food intake and energy utilization in Hereford cattle. In: *Proc 4th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Edinburgh. 1990;15:263-266.*
115. Blackwell RL, Knox JH, Shelby CE, Clark RT. Genetic analysis of economic characteristics of young Hereford cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1962;21:101-107.
116. Boldman KG, Van Vleck LD, Gregory KE, Cundiff LV. Estimates of direct and maternal parameters for 200 d weight in purebred and composite lines of beef cattle [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69(Suppl 1):203.
117. Bourdon RM, Brinks JS. Genetic, environmental and phenotypic relationships among gestation length, birth weight, growth traits and age at first calving in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1982;55:543-553.
118. Bradfield MJ, Graser HU, Johnston DJ. Investigation of genotype x production environment interaction for weaning weight in the Santa Gertrudis breed in Australia. *Aust J Agric Res* 1997;48:1-5.
119. Brinks JS, Clark RT, Kieffer NM, Urlick JJ. Estimates of genetic, environmental and phenotypic parameters in range Hereford females. *J Anim Sci* 1964;23:711-716.
120. Brown CJ, Galvez VM. Maternal and other effects on birth weight of beef calves. *J Anim Sci* 1969;28:162-167.
121. Buchanan DS, Nielsen MK, Koch RM, Cundiff LV. Selection for growth and muscling score in beef cattle. II. Genetic parameters and predicted response. *J Anim Sci* 1982;55:526-532.
122. Bueno RS, Ferraz JBS, Eler JP, Torres RA, Mourao GB, Balieiro JCC, Mattos EC, Pedrosa VB. Genetic parameters of growth and adaptive traits in a composite beef cattle population (*Bos taurus* x *Bos indicus*). In: *Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 16, Adaptation to Tropical Environments, Communication No. 16-II. 2006.*
123. Bullock KD, Bertrand JK, Benyshek LL. Genetic and environmental parameters for mature weight and other growth measures in Polled Hereford cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1993;71:1737-1741.
124. Burfening PJ, Kress DD, Friedrich RL, Vaniman DD. Phenotypic and genetic relationships between calving ease, gestation length, birth weight and preweaning growth. *J Anim Sci* 1978;47:595-600.
125. Burfening PJ, Kress DD, Friedrich RL, Vaniman DD. Calving ease and growth rate of Simmental-sired calves. II. Genetic parameters estimates. *J Anim Sci* 1978;46:930-936.
126. Burfening PJ, Kress DD, Friedrich RL. Calving ease and growth rate of Simmental-sired calves. III. Direct and maternal effects. *J Anim Sci* 1981;53:1210-1216.



## PARÂMETROS GENÉTICOS PARA CRECIMIENTO PREDESTETE DE BOVINOS

127. Burris MJ, Blunn CT. Some factors affecting gestation length and birth weight of beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1952;11:34-41.
128. Campêlo JEG, Lopes PS, Torres RA, Silva LOC, Euclides RF, Araújo CV, Pereira CS. Maternal effects on the genetic evaluation of Tabapuã beef cattle. *Genet Mol Bio* 2004;27:517-521.
129. Cantet RJC, Gianola D, Misztal I, Fernando RL. Estimates of dispersion parameters and of genetic and environmental trends for weaning weight in Angus cattle using a maternal animal model with genetic grouping. *Livest Prod Sci* 1993;34:203-212.
130. Cardellino RA, Cardellino MG. Herdabilidades dos caracteres de produção à desmama em bovinos Hereford no Rio Grande do Sul. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1984;13:557-563.
131. Cardellino RA, de Castro LFS. Herdabilidades e correlações genéticas de peso e ganho de peso em bovinos da raça Nelore. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1987;16:28-39.
132. Carter RC, Kincaid CM. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters in beef cattle. II. Heritability estimates from parent-offspring and half-sib resemblances. *J Anim Sci* 1959;18:323-330.
133. Choi SB, Lee JW, Kim NS, Na SH, Keown JF, Van Vleck LD. Estimation of genetic parameters for direct, maternal and grandmaternal genetic effects for birth, weaning and six months weights of Hanwoo (Korean cattle). *Asian-Aus J Anim Sci* 2000;13:149-154.
134. Corrêa MBB, Dionello NJL, Cardoso FF, Campos LT. Genetic and phenotypic trends of pre-weaning productive traits of Devon cattle in the Rio Grande do Sul. In: *Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-59. 2006.
135. Crews DH, Lowerison M, Caron N, Kemp RA. Genetic parameters among growth and carcass traits of Canadian Charolais cattle. *Can J Anim Sci* 2004;84:589-597.
136. Cubas AC, Pereira CS, Penna VM, Torres JR, Fonseca CG. Interação touro x sexo em bezerras da raça Nelore. I. Pesos à desmama e aos 12 meses de idade. *Arq Esc Vet UFMG* 1981;33:341-350.
137. Cundiff LV, Gregory KE, Long CR. Genetic variation among and within herds of Angus and Hereford cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1975;41:1270-1280.
138. Cunningham EP, Henderson CR. Estimation of genetic and phenotypic parameters of weaning traits in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1965;24:182-187.
139. Dawson WM, Phillips RW, Black WH. Birth weight as a criterion of selection in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1947;6:247-257.
140. de Alencar MM, Barbosa PF, Barbosa RT, Vieira RC. Parâmetros genéticos para peso e circunferência escrotal em touros da raça Canchim. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1993;22:572-583.
141. de Figueiredo EAP, Milagres JC, Silva MA, Garcia JA, Gomes FR. Estudo de fatores genéticos na fase de cria de gado de corte no estado do Rio Grande do Sul. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1980;9:453-467.
142. de Freitas AR, Favoretti AC, de Alencar MM, Pegorin MJ. Uso da máxima verossimilhança restrita e transformação canônica para estimação de parâmetros genéticos de características de crescimento em bovinos. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1994;23:394-401.
143. de los Reyes A, Elzo MA, Fries LA, Roso VM, Carvalheiro R. Non-genetic, additive and non-additive genetic effects for animal model analyses of weaning weights in a Nelore x Hereford multibreed population in Brazil. In: *Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-77. 2006.
144. De Mattos D, Misztal I, Bertrand JK. Variance and covariance components for weaning weight for Herefords in three countries. *J Anim Sci* 2000;78:33-37.
145. de Oliveira JA, Pereira GT, Bergamaschine AF, Fagliari JJ. Fatores de meio e herança que afetam os pesos e o ganho de peso de bezerras Guzerá na fase de aleitamento. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zoot* 1986;38:761-771.
146. de Oliveira JA, Lôbo RB. Estudo genético do peso ao nascimento em bovinos da raça Guzerá. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1983;12:575-588.
147. de Souza JC, Gadini CH, da Silva LOC, Ramos AA, Euclides Filho K, de Alencar MM, Ferraz Filho PB, Van Vleck LD. Estimates of genetic parameters and evaluation of genotype x environment interaction for weaning weight in Nelore cattle. *Arch Latinoam Prod Anim* 2003;11:94-100.
148. Dickerson GE, Künzi N, Cundiff LV, Koch RM, Arthaud VH, Gregory KE. Selection criteria for efficient beef production. *J Anim Sci* 1974;39:659-673.
149. Dinkel CA, Busch DA. Genetic parameters among production, carcass composition and carcass quality traits of beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1973;36:832-846.
150. Dodenhoff J, Van Vleck LD, Kachman SD, Koch RM. Parameter estimates for direct, maternal, and grandmaternal genetic effects for birth weight and weaning weight in Hereford cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1998;76:2521-2527.
151. Dodenhoff J, Van Vleck LD, Wilson DE. Comparison of models to estimate genetic effects for weaning weight of Angus cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1999;77:3176-3184.
152. Domínguez-Viveros J, Núñez-Domínguez R, Ramírez-Valverde R, Ruiz-Flores A. Evaluación genética de variables de crecimiento en bovinos Tropicarne: I. Selección de modelos. *Agrociencia* 2003;37:323-335.
153. Dong MC, Quaas RL, Pollak EJ. Estimation of genetic parameters of calving ease and birth weight by a threshold model [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69(Suppl 1):204.
154. Donoghue KA, Bertrand JK. Investigation of genotype by country interactions for growth traits for Charolais populations in Australia, Canada, New Zealand and USA. *Livest Prod Sci* 2004;85:129-137.
155. Duangjinda M, Bertrand JK, Misztal I, Druet T. Estimation of additive and nonadditive genetic variances in Hereford, Gelbvieh, and Charolais by method R. *J Anim Sci* 2001;79:2997-3001.
156. Dunn RJ, Magee WT, Gregory KE, Cundiff LV, Koch RM. Genetic parameters in straightbred and crossbred beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1970;31:656-663.
157. Eler JP, Lôbo RB, Rosa AN. Influência de fatores genéticos e de meio em pesos de bovinos da raça Nelore criados no estado de São Paulo. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1989;18:103-111.
158. Eler JP, Van Vleck LD, Ferraz JBS, Lôbo RB. Estimation of variances due to direct and maternal effects for growth traits of Nelore cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1995;73:3253-3258.
159. Elzo MA, Manrique C, Ossa G, Acosta O. Additive and nonadditive genetic variability for growth traits in the Turipaná Romosinuano-Zebu multibreed herd. *J Anim Sci* 1998;76:1539-1549.
160. Elzo MA, Wakeman DL. Covariance components and prediction for additive and nonadditive preweaning growth genetic effects in an Angus-Brahman multibreed herd. *J Anim Sci* 1998;76:1290-1302.

161. Eriksson S, Näsholm A, Johansson K, Philipsson J. Sex-specific genetic parameters for field recorded birth weight of beef calves. In: Proc 7th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Montpellier, France [CD-ROM]. Session 02, Breeding ruminants for meat production, Communication No. 02-25. 2002.
162. Euclides Filho K, Silva MA, Milagres JC, Gomes FR. Estimativas de parâmetros genéticos e fenotípicos de pesos e ganhos de peso durante o aleitamento. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1978;7:234-244.
163. Everett RW, Magee WT. Maternal ability and genetic ability of birth weight and gestation length. *J Dairy Sci* 1965;48:957-961.
164. Everitt GC, Jury KE. Beef production from the dairy herd: Calving performance of cows. *New Zealand J Agric Res* 1972;15:228-251.
165. Everling DM, Ferreira GBB, Rorato PRN, Roso VM, Marion AE, Fernandes HD. Estimativas de herdabilidade e correlação genética para características de crescimento na fase de pré-desmama e medidas de perímetro escrotal ao sobreano em bovinos Angus-Nelore. *R Bras Zootec* 2001;30(Suppl 6):2002-2008.
166. Fan LQ, Bailey DRC, Shannon NH. Genetic parameter estimation of postweaning gain, feed intake, and feed efficiency for Hereford and Angus bulls fed two different diets. *J Anim Sci* 1995;73:365-372.
167. Ferraz JBS, Eler JP, Ribeiro PMT. Genetic study of Santa Gertrudis cattle in Brazil. *Livest Res Rural Devel* 2000;12 (2). [on line]. <http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd12/1/ferr122a.htm>. Accessed April 1, 2005.
168. Ferraz JBS, Mourão GB, Eler JP, Balieiro JCC, Mattos ECM. Genetic parameters of a Brazilian beef *Bos taurus* x *Bos indicus* composite, estimated through three models. In: Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-26. 2006.
169. Ferraz Filho PB, Ramos A de A, da Silva LOC, de Souza JC, de Alencar MM, Malhado CHM. Tendência genética dos efeitos direto e materno sobre os pesos à desmama e pósdesmama de bovinos da raça Tabapuã no Brasil. *R Bras Zootec* 2002;31:635-640.
170. Ferraz Filho PB, Ramos A de A, da Silva LOC, de Souza JC, de Alencar MM. Alternative animal models to estimate heritabilities and genetic correlations between direct and maternal effects of pre and post-weaning weights of Tabapuã cattle. *Arch Latinoam Prod Anim* 2004;12:119-125.
171. Ferreira VCP, Penna VM, Bergmann JAG, Torres RA. Interação genótipo-ambiente em algumas características produtivas de gado de corte no Brasil. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2001;53(3). [on line]:[http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S0102-09352001000300019&lng=en&nrm=iso](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-09352001000300019&lng=en&nrm=iso). Accessed August 24, 2006.
172. Fouilloux MN, Renand G, Laloë D. Genetic evaluation using commercial carcass data in French beef cattle. In: Proc 7th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Montpellier, France [CD-ROM]. 2002. Session 02, Breeding ruminants for meat production, Communication No. 02-20. 2006.
173. Franke DE, Burns WC. Sheath area in Brahman and grade Brahman calves and its association with preweaning growth traits. *J Anim Sci* 1985;61:398-401.
174. García EFJ, Martínez GJC, Parra BGM, Cienfuegos REG. Parámetros genéticos de variables de crecimiento predestete de ganado Nelore de registro en México [resumen]. XLI Reunión nacional de investigación pecuaria. Cuernavaca, Mor., México 2005:174.
175. Garrick DJ, Pollak EJ, Quaas RL, Van Vleck LD. Variance heterogeneity in direct and maternal weight traits by sex and percent purebred for Simmental-sired calves. *J Anim Sci* 1989;67:2515-2528.
176. Graser HU, Hammond K. Mixed model procedures for the Australian beef industry. I. Multiple-trait model for estimation of breeding values for 200-day and final weights of cattle. *Aust J Agric Res* 1985;36:527-535.
177. Gregory KE, Cundiff LV, Koch RM. Genetic and phenotypic (co)variances for growth and carcass traits of purebred and composite populations of beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1995;73:1920-1926.
178. Gressler MGM, Pereira JCC, Bergmann JAG, Andrade VJ, Paulino MF, Gressler SL. Aspectos genéticos do peso à desmama e de algumas características reprodutivas de fêmeas Nelore. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2005;57:533-538.
179. Groeneveld E, Mostert BE, Rust T. The covariance structure of growth traits in the Afrikaner beef population. *Livest Prod Sci* 1998;55:99-107.
180. Haile-Mariam M, Kassa-Mersha H. Estimates of direct and maternal (co)variance components of growth traits in Boran cattle. *J Anim Breed Genet* 1995;112:43-52.
181. Hamann HK, Wearden S, Smith WH. Estimation of genetic and environmental factors affecting weaning weights of creep-fed cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1963;22:316-319.
182. Heyns H. Genetiese parameters van speeneienskappe by afrikanerkalwers. *S Afr Tydskr Veek* 1977;7:149-155.
183. Hill JR, Legates JE, Dillard EU. Inheritance of maternal effects in beef cattle [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1966;25:264.
184. Iloeje MU. Components of variance for growth traits among Zebu and South Devon beef cattle in southeastern Nigeria. *Livest Prod Sci* 1986;14:231-238.
185. Itulya SB, Ray DE, Roubicek CB, Benson CR. Genetic parameters, maternal ability and related selection criteria for unsupplemented Hereford range cows. *J Anim Sci* 1987;64:1630-1637.
186. Iwaisaki H, Tsuruta S, Misztal I, Bertrand JK. Genetic parameters estimated with multitrait and linear spline-random regression models using Gelbvieh early growth data. *J Anim Sci* 2005;83:757-763.
187. Jenkins TG, Kaps M, Cundiff LV, Ferrell CL. Evaluation of between- and within-breed variation in measures of weight-age relationships. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69:3118-3128.
188. Johnson ZB, Wright DW, Brown CJ, Bertrand JK, Brown AH. Effect of including relationships in the estimation of genetic parameters of beef calves. *J Anim Sci* 1992;70:78-88.
189. Johnson MZ, Schalles RR, Dikeman ME, Golden BL. Genetic parameter estimates of ultrasound-measured longissimus muscle area and 12th rib fat thickness in Brangus cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1993;71:2623-2630.
190. Kalm E, Pabst W, Lindhe B, Langholz HJ. Estimation of breeding values of beef bulls - Hereford and Charolais - based on data from the field recording scheme in Sweden. II. The relationship and the heritability of birth weight, 200-day weight and yearling weight. *Livest Prod Sci* 1978;5:393-403.
191. Kaps M, Herring WO, Lamberson WR. Genetic and environmental parameters for mature weight in Angus cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1999;77:569-574.
192. Keeton LL, Green RD, Golden BL, Anderson KJ. Estimation of variance components and prediction of breeding values for scrotal

## PARÁMETROS GENÉTICOS PARA CRECIMIENTO PREDESTETE DE BOVINOS

- circumference and weaning weight in Limousin cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1996;74:31-36.
193. Kemp RA, Wilton JW, Schaeffer LR. Phenotypic and genetic parameter estimates for gestation length, calving ease and birth weight in Simmental cattle. *Can J Anim Sci* 1988;68:291-294.
  194. Kennedy BW, Henderson CR. Components of variance of growth traits among Hereford and Aberdeen Angus calves. *Can J Anim Sci* 1975;55:493-502.
  195. Khan UN, Dahlin A, Zafar AH, Saleem M, Chaudhry MA, Philipsson J. Sahiwal cattle in Pakistan: Genetic and environmental causes of variation in body weight and reproduction and their relationship to milk production. *Anim Sci* 1999;68:97-108.
  196. Khan RN, Akhtar S. Estimates of genetic parameters of some growth traits in Jersey cattle. *Asian-Aus J Anim Sci* 1995;8:567-570.
  197. Khombe CT, Hayes JF, Cue RI, Wade KM. Estimation of direct additive and maternal additive genetic effects for weaning weight in Mashona cattle of Zimbabwe using an individual animal model. *Anim Sci* 1995;60:41-48.
  198. Knapp B, Clark RT. Revised estimates of heritability of economic characteristics in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1950;9:582-587.
  199. Knapp B, Nordskog AW. Heritability of growth and efficiency in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1946;5:62-70.
  200. Koch RM. Selection in beef cattle. III. Correlated response of carcass traits to selection for weaning weight, yearling weight and muscling score in cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1978;47:142-150.
  201. Koch RM, Cundiff LV, Gregory KE, Dickerson GE. Genetic and phenotypic relations associated with preweaning and postweaning growth of Hereford bulls and heifers. *J Anim Sci* 1973;36:235-239.
  202. Koch RM, Gregory KE, Cundiff LV. Selection in beef cattle. II. Selection response. *J Anim Sci* 1974;39:459-470.
  203. Koch RM, Cundiff LV, Gregory KE. Heritabilities and genetic, environmental and phenotypic correlations of carcass traits in a population of diverse biological types and their implications in selection programs. *J Anim Sci* 1982;55:1319-1329.
  204. Koch RM, Cundiff LV, Gregory KE. Cumulative selection and genetic change for weaning or yearling weight or for yearling weight plus muscle score in Hereford cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1994;72:864-885.
  205. Koury Filho W, Jubileu JS, Eler JP, Ferraz JBS, Pereira E, Cardoso EP. Parâmetros genéticos para escore de umbigo e características de produção em bovinos da raça Nelore. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2003;55(5). [on line]. [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S0102-09352003000500013&lng=en&nrm=iso](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-09352003000500013&lng=en&nrm=iso). Accessed August 24, 2006.
  206. Kriese LA, Bertrand JK, Benyshek LL. Age adjustment factors, heritabilities and genetic correlations for scrotal circumference and related growth traits in Hereford and Brangus bulls. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69:478-489.
  207. Laloë D, Renand G, Ménissier F, Astruc JM, Gaillard J, Sapa J. Genetic parameters among preweaning calf performance for beef breeds in French field record programs. In: *Proc 3rd World Congr Sheep and Beef Cattle Breeding*. Paris, France. 1988;1:355-358.
  208. Lamb MA, Robison OW, Tess MW. Genetic parameters for carcass traits in Hereford bulls. *J Anim Sci* 1990;68:64-69.
  209. Lasley JF, Day BN, Comfort JE. Some genetic aspects of gestation length, and birth and weaning weights in Hereford cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1961;20:737-741.
  210. Lee C, Pollak EJ. Genetic antagonism between body weight and milk production in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 2002;80:316-321.
  211. Legault CR, Touchberry RW. Heritability of birth weight and its relationship with production in dairy cattle. *J Dairy Sci* 1962;45:1226-1233.
  212. Lehmann RP, Gaines JA, Carter RC, Bovard KP, Kincaid CM. Selection indexes for weanling traits in beef calves. *J Anim Sci* 1961;20:53-57.
  213. Liu MF, Makarechian M, Berg RT. Comparison of genetic and phenotypic parameters in a purebred and a synthetic beef cattle population. *Can J Anim Sci* 1991;71:279-285.
  214. Liu MF, Bailey DRC, Shannon NH. Estimation of genetic and environmental determinations for growth traits in feedlot performance tested young beef bulls. In: *Proc 5th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Guelph, Ontario, Canada. 1994;17:214-217.
  215. Lôbo RB, de los Reyes A, Ferraz JBS, Bezerra LAF, Mercadante MEZ, Duarte FAM. Bivariate animal model analysis of growth weights and scrotal circumference of Nelore cattle in Brazil. In: *Proc 5th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Guelph, Ontario, Canada. 1994;17:199-201.
  216. MacNeil MD. Genetic evaluation of an index of birth weight and yearling weight to improve efficiency of beef production. *J Anim Sci* 2003;81:2425-2433.
  217. MacNeil MD. Genetic evaluation of the ratio of calf weaning weight to cow weight. *J Anim Sci* 2005;83:794-802.
  218. MacNeil MD, Cundiff LV, Dinkel CA, Koch RM. Genetic correlations among sex-limited traits in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1984;58:1171-1180.
  219. Magnabosco C de U, Lôbo RB, Famula TR. Bayesian inference for genetic parameter estimation on growth traits for Nelore cattle in Brazil, using the Gibbs sampler. *J Anim Breed Genet* 2000;117:169-188.
  220. Marcondes CR, Gavio D, Bittencourt TCC, Rocha JCMC, Lôbo RB, Bezerra LAF, Tonhati H. Estudo de modelo alternativo para estimação de componentes de (co)variância e predição de valores genéticos de características de crescimento em bovinos da raça Nelore. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2002;54(1). [on line]. [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S0102-09352002000100014&lng=en&nrm=iso](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-09352002000100014&lng=en&nrm=iso). Accessed August 24, 2006.
  221. Marlowe TJ, Vogt DW. Heritabilities, phenotypic correlations, and genetic correlations involving preweaning gain and weaning grade of beef calves. *J Anim Sci* 1965;24:502-506.
  222. Marques LFA. Variação genética aditiva do crescimento da raça Simental no Brasil, em dois períodos. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1994;23:1021-1029.
  223. Marques LFA, Milagres JC, Silva MA, Castro ACG. Fatores genéticos que influenciam o crescimento de gado Guzerá em regiões do Espírito Santo e MG. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1983;12:200-212.
  224. Marques LFA, Pereira JCC, Oliveira HN, Pereira CS, Bergmann JAG. Componentes de (co)variância e parâmetros genéticos de características de crescimento da raça Simental no Brasil. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 1999;51:363-370.
  225. Marques LFA, Pereira JCC, Oliveira HN, Silva MA, Bergmann JAG. Análise de características de crescimento da raça Simental. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2000;52(5). [on line]. [http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S0102-0935200000500020&lng=en&nrm=iso](http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-0935200000500020&lng=en&nrm=iso). Accessed August 24, 2006.

226. Martins GA, Filho RM, Lima FAM, Lôbo RNB. Influência de fatores genéticos e de meio sobre o crescimento de bovinos da raça Nelore no estado do Maranhão. R Bras Zootec 2000;29:103-107.
227. Martins Filho R, Malhado CHM, Bozzi R, Carneiro PLS, Buzzatti V, Azevedo DMMR. Genetic correlations between growth traits and growth curve parameters of Nelore cattle. In: Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil. [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-61. 2006.
228. Mascioli AS, de Alencar MM, Barbosa PF, de Novaes AP, Oliveira MCS. Estimativas de parâmetros genéticos e proposição de critérios de seleção para pesos na raça Canchim. R Soc Bras Zootec 1996;25:72-82.
229. Mason WE, Beilharz RG, Carraill R. An analysis of beef cattle growth records available in South-Eastern Australia. Aust J Exp Agric Anim Husb 1970;10:262-266.
230. Massey ME, Benyshek LL. Estimates of genetic and environmental effects on performance traits from Limousin field data. J Anim Sci 1981;52:37-43.
231. Mavrogenis AP, Dillard EU, Robison OW. Genetic Analysis of postweaning performance of Hereford bulls. J Anim Sci 1978;47:1004-1013.
232. Mello SP, Alencar MM, Toral FLB, Gianlorenço VK. Estimativas de parâmetros genéticos para características de crescimento e produtividade em vacas da raça Canchim, utilizando-se inferência bayesiana. Rev Bras Zootec 2006;35:92-97.
233. Mercadante MEZ, Lôbo RB, de Oliveira HN. Estimativas de (co)variâncias entre características de reprodução e de crescimento em fêmeas de um rebanho Nelore. R Bras Zootec 2000;29:997-1004.
234. Mercadante MEZ, Lôbo RB. Estimativas de (co)variâncias e parâmetros genéticos dos efeitos direto e materno de características de crescimento de fêmeas de um rebanho Nelore. R Bras Zootec 1997;26:1124-1133.
235. Meyer K. Estimates of covariance components for growth traits of Australian Charolais cattle. Aust J Agric Res 1993;44:1501-1508.
236. Meyer K. Covariance matrices for growth traits of Australian Polled Hereford cattle. Anim Prod 1993;57:37-45.
237. Meyer K. Estimates of genetic parameters and breeding values for New Zealand and Australian Angus cattle. Aust J Agric Res 1995;46:1219-1229.
238. Meyer K. Estimates of genetic parameters for weaning weight of beef cattle accounting for direct-maternal environmental covariances. Livest Prod Sci 1997;52:187-199.
239. Meyer K, Johnston DJ, Graser HU. Estimates of the complete genetic covariance matrix for traits in multi-trait genetic evaluation of Australian Hereford cattle. Aust J Agric Res 2004;55:195-210.
240. Milagres JC, de Araújo CR, Teixeira NM, Torres RA. Influências de meio e herança sobre os pesos ao nascer, aos 205 e aos 365 dias de idade de animais Nelore criados no nordeste do Brasil. R Soc Bras Zootec 1993;22:455-465.
241. Minyard JA, Dinkel CA. Heritability and repeatability of weaning weight in beef cattle. J Anim Sci 1965;24:1072-1074.
242. Miquel C, Cartwright TC. Comparison of heritabilities in crossbred and purebred cattle [abstract]. J Anim Sci 1963;22:815.
243. Miranda JF, Carneiro GG, Torres JR, Gomes FR. Heritabilidade de peso ao nascimento de bezerras da raça Guzerá. Arq Esc Vet UFMG 1975;27:15-22.
244. Morris CA, Wilson JA, Bennett GL, Cullen NG, Hickey SM, Hunter JC. Genetic parameters for growth, puberty, and beef cow reproductive traits in a puberty selection experiment. N Z J Agric Res 2000;43:83-91.
245. Mostert BE, Groeneveld E, Rust T, van der Westhuizen J. Multitrait variance component estimation of South African beef breeds for growth traits. In: Proc 6th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Armidale, NSW, Australia. 1998;23:145-148.
246. Mpiri DB, Mpiri MT, Robison OW. Factors affecting birth weights of the Boran cattle in Tanzania. Bull Anim Hlth Prod Afr 1987;35:154-159.
247. Mrode RA, Thompson R. Genetic parameters for body weight in beef cattle in Britain. In: Proc 4th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Edinburgh. 1990;15:271-274.
248. Mucari TB, de Oliveira JA. Análise genético-quantitativa de pesos aos 8, 12, 18 e 24 meses de idade em um rebanho da raça Guzerá. R Bras Zootec 2003;32(Suppl 1):1604-1613.
249. Nadarajah K, Notter DR, Marlowe TJ, Eller AL. Evaluation of phenotypic and genetic trends in weaning weight in Angus and Hereford populations in Virginia. J Anim Sci 1987;64:1349-1361.
250. Nájera Ayala JM, Pereira JCC, de Oliveira HN. Efeito genéticos e não genéticos sobre características ponderais de duas populações da raça Nelore. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec 1991;43:81-91.
251. Neely JD, Johnson BH, Dillard EU, Robison OW. Genetic parameters for testes size and sperm number in Hereford bulls. J Anim Sci 1982;55:1033-1040.
252. Nelsen TC, Short RE, Urick JJ, Reynolds WL. Heritabilities and genetic correlations of growth and reproductive measurements in Hereford bulls. J Anim Sci 1986;63:409-417.
253. Nelsen TC, Kress DD. Estimates of heritabilities and correlations for production characters of Angus and Hereford calves. J Anim Sci 1979;48:286-292.
254. Nephawe KA, Maiwashe A, Theron HE. The effect of herd of origin on weight gain and feed intake of beef bulls at centralized testing centres in South Africa. In: Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-20. 2006.
255. Nesper FWC, Konstantinov KV, Erasmus GJ. The inclusion of herd-year-season by sire interaction in the estimation of genetic parameters in Bonsmara cattle. S Afr J Anim Sci 1996;26:75-78.
256. Nobre PRC, Rosa NA, da Silva LOC. Influência de fatores genéticos e de meio sobre os pesos de gado Nelore no estado da Bahia, Brasil. R Soc Bras Zootec 1985;14:338-357.
257. Nobre PRC, Filho KE, Rosa AN. Repetibilidade e herdabilidade do peso ao nascer de gado Nelore por estação de nascimento. R Soc Bras Zootec 1987;16:370-376.
258. Nobre PRC, Misztal I, Tsuruta S, Bertrand JK, Silva LOC, Lopes PS. Analyses of growth curves of Nelore cattle by multiple-trait and random regression models. J Anim Sci 2003;81:918-926.
259. Pabst W, Kilkenny JB, Langholz HJ. Genetic and environmental factors influencing calf performance in pedigree beef cattle in Britain. 2. The relationship between birth, 200-day and 400-day weights and the heritability of weight for age. Anim Prod 1977;24:41-48.
260. Pahnish OF, Stanley EB, Bogart R, Roubicek CB. Influence of sex and sire on weaning weights of Southwestern range calves. J Anim Sci 1961;20:454-458.

## PARÂMETROS GENÉTICOS PARA CRECIMIENTO PREDESTETE DE BOVINOS

261. Pahnish OF, Roberson RL, Taylor RL, Brinks JS, Clark RT, Roubicek CB. Genetic analyses of economic traits measured in range-raised Herefords at preweaning and weaning ages. *J Anim Sci* 1964;23:562-568.
262. Pani SN, Krause GF, Lasley JF. The importance of sire x sex interactions for preweaning and weaning traits. *J Anim Sci* 1977;45:1254-1260.
263. Pereira E, Eler JP, Ferraz JBS. Análise genética de algumas características reprodutivas e suas relações com o desempenho ponderal na raça Nelore. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2001;53:720-727.
264. Peters SO, Nwosu IC, Ozoje MO, Ikeobi CON. Genetic parameter estimates for growth traits in cattle genotypes. In: *Proc 6th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Armidale, NSW, Australia. 1998;23:101-104.
265. Philipsson J. Studies on calving difficulty, stillbirth and associated factors in Swedish cattle breeds. III. Genetic parameters. *Acta Agric Scand* 1976;26:211-220.
266. Phocas F, Laloë D. Genetic parameters for birth and weaning traits in French specialized beef cattle breeds. *Livest Prod Sci* 2004;89:121-128.
267. Pimenta Filho EC, Martins GA, Sarmiento JLR, Ribeiro MN, Filho RM. Estimativas de herdabilidade de efeitos direto e materno de características de crescimento de bovinos Guzerá, no estado da Paraíba. *R Bras Zootec* 2001;30:1220-1223.
268. Pitchford WS, Mirzaei HM, Deland MPB, Afolayan RA, Rutley DL, Verbyla AP. Variance components for birth and carcass traits of crossbred cattle. *Aust J Exp Agric* 2006;46:225-231.
269. Plasse D, Verde O, Arango J, Camaripano L, Fossi H, Romero R, Rodríguez CM, Rumbos JL. (Co)variance components, genetic parameters and annual trends for calf weights in a Brahman herd kept on floodable savana. *Genet Mol Res* 2002;1:282-297. [on line]. <http://www.funpecrp.com.br/gmr/year2002/vol4-1/index.htm>. Accessed May 10, 2005.
270. Plasse D, Verde O, Fossi H, Romero R, Hoogesteijn R, Bastidas P, Bastardo J. (Co)variance components, genetic parameters and annual trends for calf weights in a pedigree Brahman herd under selection for three decades. *J Anim Breed Genet* 2002;119:141-153.
271. Pons SB, Milagres JC, Teixeira NM. Efeitos de fatores genéticos e de ambiente sobre o crescimento e o escore de conformação em bovinos Hereford no Rio Grande do Sul. I. Peso e escore de conformação à desmama. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1989;18:391-401.
272. Quaas RL, Elzo MA, Pollak EJ. Analysis of Simmental data: estimation of direct and maternal genetic (co)variances [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1985;61(Suppl 1):221.
273. Quintanilla R, Varona L, Pujol MR, Piedrafita J. Maternal animal model with correlation between maternal environmental effects of related dams. *J Anim Sci* 1999;77:2904-2917.
274. Redman KJ, Brinks JS. Genetic parameters for linear and performance measures in Simmental cattle [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69(Suppl 1):207.
275. Renand G. Genetic parameters of French beef breeds used in crossbreeding for young bull production. I. Live performance. *Genet Sel Evol* 1985;17:153-170.
276. Reynolds WL, Urick JJ, Veseth DA, Kress DD, Nelsen TC, Short RE. Genetic parameters by son-sire covariances for growth and carcass traits of Hereford bulls in a nonselected herd. *J Anim Sci* 1991;69:1000-1007.
277. Ribeiro S, Eler JP, Formigoni IB, Pedrosa VB, Balieiro JCC, Mattos ECM, Ferraz JBS. Influence of genotype x environment interaction on weaning weight of Nelore cattle. In: *Proc 8th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil [CD-ROM]. Session 3, Beef Cattle Breeding, Communication No. 03-78. 2006.
278. Rico C, Planas T. Comportamiento hasta el destete de terneros Charolais [resumen]. En: *Memorias de la Asociación Latinoamericana de Producción Animal*. México. 1986;21:18.
279. Robinson DL. Genetic variances and covariances for live weight in Queensland beef cattle. In: *Proc 8th Conf Australian Assoc Anim Breed Genet*. Hamilton, NZ. 1990:435-438.
280. Robinson DL. Estimation and interpretation of direct and maternal genetic parameters for weights of Australian Angus cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 1996;45:1-11.
281. Robinson DL, O'Rourke PK. Genetic parameters for liveweights of beef cattle in the tropics. *Aust J Agric Res* 1992;43:1297-1305.
282. Rodríguez-Almeida FA, Van Vleck LD, Cundiff LV, Kachman SD. Heterogeneity of variance by sire breed, sex, and dam breed in 200- and 365-day weights of beef cattle from a top cross experiment. *J Anim Sci* 1995;73:2579-2588.
283. Rollins WC, Wagnon KA. A genetic analysis of weaning weights in a range beef herd operated under optimum and sub-optimum nutritional regimes. *J Anim Sci* 1956;15:125-133.
284. Román PSI. Estimación de componentes de varianza y covarianza en una población multirracial de ganado bovino dentro de un sistema de doble propósito en el trópico mexicano [tesis maestría]. México, DF: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México; 2006.
285. Rönningen K, Lampkin K, Gravir K. Zebu cattle in East-Africa. 2. Estimates of heritability and phenotypic correlation for some traits in Boran cattle. *Swedish J Agric Res* 1972;2:218-228.
286. Rosa AN, Silva MA, Ludwig A. Parâmetros genéticos e fenotípicos de pesos corporais ajustados pela curva de crescimento de animais da raça Nelore. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1978;7:329-345.
287. Rosales-Alday J, Elzo MA, Montañó-Bermúdez M, Vega-Murillo VE. Genetic parameters and trends for preweaning growth traits in the Mexican Simmental population. *Téc Pecu Méx* 2004;42:171-180.
288. Roso VM, Fries LA. Componentes principais em bovinos da raça Polled Hereford à desmama e sobreano. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1995;24:728-735.
289. Rust T, Groeneveld E, Mostert BE. A comparison between multivariate direct and maternal (co)variance components for growth traits in Southern African Simmentaler beef cattle and those estimated on other continents. In: *Proc 6th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Armidale, NSW, Australia. 1998;23:125-128.
290. Sakaguti ES, Silva MA, Quaas RL, Martins EN, Lopes OS, da Silva LOC. Avaliação do crescimento de bovinos jovens da raça Tabapuã, por meio de análises de funções de covariâncias. *R Bras Zootec* 2003;32:864-874.
291. Sampaio IBM, Pereira JCC, Torres JR. Heritabilidade e causas de variação de pesos ao nascer, à desmama e aos 365 dias de idade de animais da raça Gir no estado de MG. *Arq Esc Vet UFMG* 1980;32:137-141.
292. Sarmiento JLR, Pimenta Filho EC, Ribeiro MN, Filho RM. Efeitos ambientais e genéticos sobre o ganho em peso diário de bovinos Nelore no estado da Paraíba. *R Bras Zootec* 2003;32:325-330.
293. Schaeffer LR, Wilton JW. Estimation of variances and covariances for use in a multiple trait beef sire evaluation model. *Can J Anim Sci* 1981;61:531-538.

294. Scherre JF, Carneiro GG, Fonseca CG, Pereira CS, Sampaio IBM. Estudo genético-quantitativo de pesos de bezerras ao nascimento, aos 90 e 205 dias de idade num rebanho Nelore no trópico semi-árido. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zoot* 1988;40:205-223.
295. Schoeman SJ, Jordaan GF. Multitrait estimation of direct and maternal (co)variances for growth and efficiency traits in a multibreed beef cattle herd. *S Afr J Anim Sci* 1999;29:124-136.
296. Sharma AK, Willms L, Hardin RT, Berg RT. Selection response in a purebred Hereford and a multi-breed synthetic population of beef cattle. *Can J Anim Sci* 1985;65:1-9.
297. Shelby CE, Clark RT, Woodward RR. The heritability of some economic characteristics of beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1955;14:372-385.
298. Shelby CE, Harvey WR, Clark RT, Quesenberry JR, Woodward RR. Estimates of phenotypic and genetic parameters in ten years of Miles City R. O. P. steer data. *J Anim Sci* 1963;22:346-353.
299. Shepard HH, Green RD, Golden BL, Hamlin KE, Perkins TL, Diles JB. Genetic parameter estimates of live animal ultrasonic measures of retail yield indicators in yearling breeding cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1996;74:761-768.
300. Silva LOC, Milagres JC, Silva MA, Regazzi AJ, Euclides RF. Análise genética de pesos de animais Nelore em várias idades. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1983;12:177-186.
301. Silveira JC, McManus C, Mascioli AS, da Silva LOC, da Silveira AC, Garcia JAS, Louvandini H. Fatores ambientais e parâmetros genéticos para características produtivas e reprodutivas em um rebanho Nelore no estado do Mato Grosso do Sul. *R Bras Zootec* 2004;33:1432-1444.
302. Smith GM, Fitzhugh HA, Cundiff LV, Cartwright TC, Gregory KE. A genetic analysis of maturing patterns in straightbred and crossbred Hereford, Angus and Shorthorn cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1976;43:389-395.
303. Smith BA, Brinks JS, Richardson GV. Estimation of genetic parameters among reproductive and growth traits in yearling heifers. *J Anim Sci* 1989;67:2886-2891.
304. Splan RK, Cundiff LV, Van Vleck LD. Genetic parameters for sex-specific traits in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1998;76:2272-2278.
305. Splan RK, Cundiff LV, Dikeman ME, Van Vleck LD. Estimates of parameters between direct and maternal genetic effects for weaning weight and direct genetic effects for carcass traits in crossbred cattle. *J Anim Sci* 2002;80:3107-3111.
306. Swalve HH. Estimation of direct and maternal (co)variance components for growth traits in Australian Simmental beef cattle. *J Anim Breed Genet* 1993;110:241-252.
307. Swiger LA. Genetic and environmental influences on gain of beef cattle during various periods of life. *J Anim Sci* 1961;20:183-188.
308. Swiger LA, Gregory KE, Sumption LJ, Breidenstein BC, Arthaud VH. Selection indexes for efficiency of beef production. *J Anim Sci* 1965;24:418-424.
309. Talib C, Sivarajasingam S, Hinch GN, Bamualim A. Factor influencing preweaning and weaning of Bali (*Bos sondaicus*) calves. In: *Proc 6th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Armidale, NSW, Australia. 1998;23:141-144.
310. Tanida H, Hohenboken WD, DeNise SK. Genetic aspects of longevity in Angus and Hereford cows. *J Anim Sci* 1988;66:640-647.
311. Tawah CL, Mbah DA, Rege JEO, Oumate H. Genetic evaluation of birth and weaning weight of Gudali and two-breed synthetic Wakwa beef cattle populations under selection in Cameroon: Genetic and phenotypic parameters. *Anim Prod* 1993;57:73-79.
312. Tawonezvi HPR. Growth of Mashona cattle on range in Zimbabwe. II. Estimates of genetic parameters and predicted response to selection. *Trop Anim Hlth Prod* 1989;21:170-174.
313. Tawonezvi HPR, Brownlee JWI, Ward HK. Studies on growth of Nkone cattle. 3. Estimation of genetic parameters. *Zimbabwe. J Agric Res* 1986;24:37-42.
314. Tess MW, Jeske KE, Dillard EU, Robison OW. Sire x environment interactions for growth traits of Hereford cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1984;59:1467-1476.
315. Thrift FA, Dillard EU, Shrode RR, Butts WT. Genetic parameter estimates based on selected and control beef cattle populations. *J Anim Sci* 1981;53:57-61.
316. Tongthainan Y, Sirisom P. Heritability estimates and effects of Charolais breed on birth weight and weaning weight of Charolais x Brahman crossbreds. In: *Proc 6th World Congr Genet Appl Livest Prod*. Armidale, NSW, Australia. 1998;23:165-168.
317. Torres RA, Silva MA, Torres JR. Fatores de meio e herança que afetam os pesos e o ganho de peso de bezerras Gir na fase de aleitamento. *R Soc Bras Zootec* 1979;8:488-496.
318. Tosh JJ, Kemp RA, Ward DR. Estimates of direct and maternal genetic parameters for weight traits and backfat thickness in a multibreed population of beef cattle. *Can J Anim Sci* 1999;79:433-439.
319. Trail JCM, Sacker GD, Fisher IL. Crossbreeding beef cattle in Western Uganda. *Anim Prod* 1971;13:153-163.
320. van der Westhuizen RR, Rust T. The effect of heterogeneity for yearling weights measured in different test phases of the South African national beef cattle improvement scheme. *S Afr J Anim Sci* 2002;32:171-174.
321. van Graan AC, Naser FWC, van der Westhuizen J, Theron HE. Genetic and phenotypic parameter estimates of gestation length and birth weight in Bonsmara cattle. *S Afr J Anim Sci* 2004;34(Suppl 2):95-97.
322. Van Vleck LD, Gregory KE, Bennett GL. Direct and maternal genetic covariances by age of dam for weaning weight. *J Anim Sci* 1996;74:1801-1805.
323. Van Vleck LD, Cundiff LV. Sex effects on breed of sire differences for birth, weaning, and yearling weights. *J Anim Sci* 1998;76:1528-1534.
324. Vargas CA, Elzo MA, Chase CC, Olson TA. Genetic parameters and relationships between hip height and weight in Brahman cattle. *J Anim Sci* 2000;78:3045-3052.
325. Varona L, Misztal I, Bertrand JK. Threshold-linear versus linear-linear analysis of birth weight and calving ease using an animal model: I. Variance component estimation. *J Anim Sci* 1999;77:1994-2002.
326. Vesely JA, Robison OW. Genetic and maternal effects on preweaning growth and type score in beef calves. *J Anim Sci* 1971;32:825-831.
327. Veseth DA, Reynolds WL, Urick JJ, Nelsen TC, Short RE, Kress DD. Paternal half-sib heritabilities and genetic, environmental, and phenotypic correlation estimates from randomly selected Hereford cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1993;71:1730-1736.
328. Vogt DW, Marlowe TJ. A further study of the genetic parameters involving preweaning growth rate and weaning grade in beef calves [abstract]. *J Anim Sci* 1966;25:265.
329. Wagnon KA, Rollins WC. Heritability estimates of post-weaning growth to long yearling age of range beef heifers raised on grass. *J Anim Sci* 1959;18:918-924.

## PARÁMETROS GENÉTICOS PARA CRECIMIENTO PREDESTETE DE BOVINOS

330. Wakhungu JW, Rege JEO, Itulya S. Genetic and phenotypic parameters and trends in production and reproductive performance of the Kenya Sahiwal cattle. *Bull Anim Hlth Prod Afr* 1991;39:365-372.
331. Waldron DF, Morris CA, Baker RL, Johnson DL. Maternal effects for growth traits in beef cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 1993;34:57-70.
332. Willis MB, Wilson A. Factors affecting birth weight of Santa Gertrudis calves. *Anim Prod* 1974;18:231-236.
333. Wilson LL, Dinkel CA, Ray DE, Minyard JA. Beef cattle selection indexes involving conformation and weight. *J Anim Sci* 1963;22:1086-1090.
334. Wilson LL, Stout JM, Ziegler JH, Simpson MJ, Varela-Alvarez H, Rugh MC, Watkins JL. Heritability of live and carcass characters in a crossbred beef herd. *J Hered* 1971;62:123-125.
335. Wilson LL, Rishel WH, Harvey WR. Influence of herd, sire and herd x sire interactions on live and carcass characters of beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1972;35:502-506.
336. Wilson LL, McCurley JR, Ziegler JH, Watkins JL. Genetic parameters of live and carcass characters from progeny of polled Hereford sires and Angus-Holstein cows. *J Anim Sci* 1976;43:569-576.
337. Wilson DE, Berger PJ, Willham RL. Estimates of beef growth trait variances and heritabilities determined from field records. *J Anim Sci* 1986;63:386-394.
338. Winder JA, Brinks JS, Bourdon RM, Golden BL. Genetic analysis of absolute growth measurements, relative growth rate and restricted selection indices in Red Angus cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1990;68:330-336.
339. Woodward BW, Pollak EJ, Quaas RL. Parameter estimation for carcass traits including growth information of Simmental beef cattle using restricted maximum likelihood with a multiple-trait model. *J Anim Sci* 1992;70:1098-1109.
340. Wright HB, Pollak EJ, Quaas RL. Estimation of variance and covariance components to determine heritabilities and repeatability of weaning weight in American Simmental cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1987;65:975-981.
341. Zarazua I, Tewolde A, Cruz C. Relaciones genéticas y no genéticas entre peso al nacer de la cría y periodo de gestación de la madre en ganado Cebú [resumen]. En: *Memorias de la Asociación Latinoamericana de Producción Animal*. México, 1986;21:10.

